SOME CULTURAL ASPECTS OF MUSLIM RULE IN INDIA



Some Cultural Aspects of Muslim Rule in India

BY

10661 S. M. JAFFAR, B.A., M.R A.S. (London)

Author of

"The Mughal Empire from Babar to Aurangzeb"
"Education in Muslim India" and
"Mediæval India under
Muslim Kings"
etc.

FIRST EDITION: 1939

701.0.75402 Jal

PESHAWAR

S. MUHAMMAD SADIQ KHAN, PUBLISHER

Published by S. Muhammad Sadiq Khan, Publisher, Khudadad Street, Kissa Khani, Peshawar City, (India).

10061 1.5.1959 901.095402/10

Copyright:
All rights of reproduction and
translation reserved by the author.

Printed at: The Imperial (Elec.) Press, Govt. Printers, Sadar Road, Peshawar (India).

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY

SIR GEORGE CUNNINGHAM

K.C.S.I., K.C.I.E., O.B.E., I.C.S.

GOVERNOR

OF

The North-West Frontier Province

this

book is

with permission

dedicated

with

profound respect



PREFACE

The enduring contributions of Islam to the cultural heritage of India and the constructive work done by Muslim Kings in this country are subjects seldom spoken of and rarely referred to in the existing historical literature on the Muslim Period of Indian history. Unfortunately enough, "The Cultural Heritage of India", recently published in three bulky volumes, dealing with almost every phase of Hindu life and thought and incorporating the contributions of over one hundred Hindu scholars, contains but one small chapter on 'Islamic Culture', written by a Muslim writer. The worst of all is that no Muslim statesman or scholar has seriously turned his attention towards this subject. Of late, the demand for the preservation of Islamic culture has been great, but no organized literary effort has so far been launched to achieve the object. The natural result is that both Islam and Muslim Rule in India have been grossly misrepresented. The need for a cultural history of Muslim India has never been so urgent as in these days, and it was to fill this long-felt want-a want which would have been very aptly filled if " The Cultural Heritage of India" had been compiled truly national lines-that I have ventured to put before the public the results of my researches that have long awaited publication.

I do confess that I have not been able to do full justice to the subjects dealt with in this book, but I must say that an undertaking to give within the compass of a small volume a complete panorama of Muslim Rule in India—its achievements in the arts of peace and its contributions to the cultural heritage of India—is impossible for one man, however gifted and competent, and that the task cannot be successfully accomplished without the collaboration of a number of specialists and the crystallization

of their researches into a much bigger work. In the pages that await the reader, attention is focussed only on a few of the cultural aspects of Muslim Rule, viz., the State, the Administrative System, Toleration Education, Fine Arts, Social Life, Religious Influences and Economic Development—aspects which to my mind appear to be sufficient to reveal the refined side of a rule or ruler Pending compilation of the bigger work, for which this book is of course a poor substitute, I shall try to improve upon it in its subsequent editions, should they be called for. For the present, I shall deem myself amply rewarded if this effort proves useful to a certain extent and paves the way for further researches on the subject which is so interesting and instructive and yet so sadly neglected.

At the end I must acknowledge the deep debt of gratitude I owe to the contemporary chroniclers and modern writers whose works I have consulted in preparing this book, to the Hon'ble Mr. J. Almond, Bar-at-Law, I. C. S., Judicial Commissioner, North-West Frontier Province for kindly permitting me to publish it and to His Excellency Sir George Cunningham, K.C.S.I., K. C. I. E., O. B. E., I. C. S., Governor of the North-West Frontier Province, for graciously agreeing to the book being dedicated to him.

Peshawar City, February, 1939.

S. M. Jaffar.

CORRIGENDA

Page 9 line 16 for parosal read parasol.

Page 168 line 2 for purificatoy read purificatory.

Page 175 line 5 for dresess read dresses.

Page 180 line 24 for danty read dainty.

Page 186 line 18 for most the read the most.

Page 208 line 25 for aproach read approach.



CONTENTS

PREFACE	•••	 		 vii-viii
ABBREVIATIONS	***	 ***	***	 xii
INTRODUCTION.	***	 		 1-4

CHAPTER I - THE STATE

Introductory—Persian influence—Privileges and powers of Indo-Muslim King—Ilis limitations—Their real importance—Influence of secular State on Islam—Darbar or Court—The Law of Succession. ... pp. 5-24.

CHAPTER II - ADMINISTRATIVE SYSTEM

Introductory—Administrative Divisions—Law and Justice
—Social Legislation—Postal Service—Police Force—
Secret Service—Government Service—Famine Relief—
Taxation—Revenue System under the Sultanat—Under
Sher Shah—Under the Badshahat—Means of Communication and Transportation. ... pp. 25-48.

CHAPTER III - TOLERATION

Introductory—Intolerance impossible—False charges of intolerance: (1) Destruction of temples, (2) Imposition of Jizia and (3) Oppression—Toleration under the Mughals—False charges against Aurangzeb. ... pp. 49-74.

CHAPTER IV - EDUCATION

Introductory—Universities, Madrasas and Maktabs—Method of teaching—Curriculum—Aims and objects of Muslim education—Other features of—.—How Muslim Kings encouraged education among their Hindū subjects—Evolution of Urdū language—Female Education—Libraries—Literary Societies—Introduction of paper in India—Technical Education—Musalmāns' contributions to Indian educational thought and practice. ... pp. 75-90.

/ CHAPTER V - ARCHITECTURE

Introductory—Monuments of Early Muslim Period—Buildings of Qutb-ud-Din Aibak—.-, of Iltūtmish—.-, 'Alā-ud-Din —.-, Tughluq Sultāns—Firoz Tughluq's Public Works—Buildings of Sayyad and Lodhi Kings—Monuments in independent kingdoms: Sind and Multān—Kashmīr—Jaunpur—Bengāl—Gujarāt—Mālwa: Bahmanid Kingdom—Monuments of Mughal Emperors—Babar's Masjids—Humāyūn's wooden structures—Buildings of Sher Shāh—.-, Akbar—.-, Jahāngīr—.-, Shāh Jahan—.-, Aurangzeb—Their Cultural Importance—Sculpture, Bas-reliefs and Tile-work. ... pp. 91-112.

· CHAPTER VI - GARDENING

Introductory—Muslims' love of natural beauty—Famous Flowers—Famous Fruits—Pre-Mughal Gardens—Gardens in Provincial Kingdoms—Gardens of the Great Mughals—Their chief features—Legacy of the Great Mughals—Cultural importance of gardens. ... pp. 113-124.

CHAPTER VII - PAINTING

Introductory—Bābar's love of painting—Bibzādian School and its influence—Humāyūn's interest in painting—Akbar's attitude towards painting and a change in Muslim conception of this art—Progress of painting under him—Under Jahāngīr—Under Shāh Jahān—Under 'Alamgīr and others—Cultural Importance of painting—Art of illuminating books—Art of illustrating books—Calligraphy as a fine art. ... pp, 125-140.

CHAPTER VIII - POETRY

Introductory—Progress in Pre-Mughal Period—In Provincial Kingdoms—In Mughal Period—Some striking features of poetry—Mushā'ras or poetical symposiums—Cultural importance of poetry. ... pp. 141-154.

CHAPTER IX - MUSIC

Introductory—Music in the Early Muslim Period—In Provincial Kingdoms—In the Mughal Period—Technique of Indian Music—Some Musical Instruments—Dancing—Cultural Influence of music—Kings' example followed by their Courtiers and others. ... pp. 155-166.

CHAPTER X - SOCIAL LIFE

Introductory—Domestic Events—Houses and Furniture
—Dresses—Ladies' Dresses—Cosmetics and Toilets—
Jewellery—Food and Drink—Amusements and Recreations
—Morals and Manners—Etiquette. ... pp. 167-186,

CHAPTER XI - RELIGIOUS INFLUENCES

Introductory—Religious Freedom—Tabligh or Religious Propaganda—Slavery—Position of Woman—Pardah System—Ancient Indian Pardah—Islamic Pardah—Pardah in Muslim India—Contributions of Islām to Hindū Culture—Influence of Hindū Culture on Indian Muslims—Bhakti Movement. ... pp. 187 208.

CHAPTER XII - ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

Introductory—Agriculture—Peasants' standard of living—Cottage Industries—Industrial development under the Sultānat—In Provincial Kingdoms—In the Mughal Period—Internal Trade — Commerce — Money-lending — Coinage—Weights and Measures—Economic condition of people during the Early Muslim Period—During the Mughal Period. ... pp. 209-226.

CONCLUSION

Achievements of Muslim Rulers—Was their rule in India a rule of foreigners?—Are Muslims foreigners in India? ... pp. 227 234.

BIBLIOGRAPHY ... pp. 235-242.

INDEX ... pp. 243-252,

ABBREVIATIONS

A. M. ... Aligarh Magazine, The (Aligarh).

B. I. S. ... Bibliotheca Indica Series of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal, (Calcutta).

Elliot ... History of India as told by its own
Historians translated by Sir Henry
Elliot and edited by Dowson.

Ferishta ... Tārīkh-i-Ferishta, translated by Briggs.

H. S. ... Hakluyt Society.

I. G. I. ... Imperial Gazetteer of India, The.

J. A. S. B. Journal of the (Royal) Asiatic Society of Bengal, (Calcutta).

J. R. A. S. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland (London).

J. R. S. A. ... Journal of the Royal Society of Arts, (London).

Law ... Promotion of Learning in India by N. N. Law.

M. A. S. B. ... Memoirs of the (Royal) Asiatic Society of Bengal, (Calcutta).

M. U. J. ... Muslim University Journal, (Aligarh).

N. K. P. ... Newal Kishor Press (Lucknow).

P. A. S. B. ... Proceedings of the (Royal) Asiatic Society of Bengal (Calcutta).

INTRODUCTION

In almost all available books on Indian history the period of Muslim rule in India is depicted in the darkest possible colours and Muslim rulers are almost invariably described as tyrants and blood thirsty monsters, whose unrestrained autocracy found its full expression in fanaticism - in the forcible propagation of Islam, in the persecution of the Hindus, in the destruction of their temples and in the suppression of their genius. No avenue is left unexplored and no piece of evidence is left unexploited to show that while the rulers rolled in luxuries the ruled groaned under gross inequalities and servile conditions. Nothing can be farther from truth and no graver or more grotesque distortion or disfigurement of history can be conceived. In the ensuing pages we will examine these and various other equally false and funny charges while dealing with some of the cultural aspects of Muslim rule in India and also correct the mother-mistake-that it was a foreign rule-. for most of the mistaken views, maliciously propagated against it, have sprung up from this mistake.

There is enough in the existing literature on Indo-Islamic history to show that the Muslim kings of India were great conquerors, endowed

a

with uncommon military genius, but there is very little in it to convey the least idea that they were also gifted with a keen zest for social life and gentle arts and that their victories in the arts of peace were no less remarkable than their achievements in the science of war. The present is an endeavour to reveal the cultural aspects of their rule, and from the ensuing account it will be evident that they made mighty contributions to almost every department of Indian life and thought- so mighty in fact that during the millennium of their rule in India they worked a complete revolution in the life and thought of her people. If prosperity of the people of all classes and creeds and progress of learning and education, recognition of civic rights and universal toleration in the widest sense of the word, maintenance of law and order and even-handed distribution of justice without fear or favour, encouragement of arts and crafts, industries and commerce, unstinted appreciation of virtue and worth irrespective of the rank, race or religion of those possessing them and complete identification with the interests of the country are the true index of the intellectual and cultural advancement of a people and a correct criterion of the national character of a governpronounce the Muslim ment, then we must kings of India as highly civilized national rulers of India, and enough proof of this will be found the following pages. If Bentham's conception of democracy as embodied in his statement "the greatest happiness of the greatest

number" is correct, it will be found that the aims and objects of democracy were amply achieved during the 'despotic' rule of Muslim kings, chiefly during the Mughal Period, when peace and prosperity reigned everywhere in Muslim India. And, if it is a fact that "it is through its arts that a people is known', the chapters devoted to such fine arts as architecture, sculpture, gardening, painting, poetry, music etc. will afford ample testimony to show what kind of people the Muslim rulers of India were and what their culture was. Political topics such as the State and the system of administration; social subjects such as slavery, status of woman, Pardah system, games and recreations, feasts and festivals, etc.; social legislation, aiming at the suppression of Sati and infanticide among the Ilindus and of immorality, gambling and drinking among the people in general; some of the teachings of Islam and their influence on Hindu religious thought and practice; and some economic features such as encouragement of agriculture and industries, administration of famine relief, construction of canals and wells for purposes of irrigation, etc. are some other subjects of interest and importance dealt with in this book in order to bring out the culture of Indian Muslim kings and their contributions to the cultural heritage of India. The achievements of Muslim kings in various departments of life may not be equal to those of their preceptors of Arabia, but they were certainly superior to those of the

contemporary crowned heads of other countries. The fact that they were able to establish their rule in a country so rich in resources and inhabited by such warlike races as the Rajpūts and govern it with remarkable success for so many centuries with the co-operation and support, without offending the susceptibilities or provoking any reaction of the people over whom they ruled is a glowing tribute to the refined side of their rule and a good testimony to the national character of their government.* It will be only when annals of their history are stripped of the passion and prejudice of generations and purged of the communal hatred they have been stored with that their noble efforts at establishing peace and a popular government, based on the acquiescent good-will of the people of all classes and creeds, in a disturbed and casteridden country, will be appreciated and their real place in history determined. While reading through the account that awaits the reader it will be fair to bear in mind the fact that mountains had not yet been tunnelled, the globe had not yet been girdled, space had not yet been conquered - science, in short, had not yet achieved its victories - when Muslim kings ruled in India.

^{*}We shall have the occasion to refer to this question again at the end of the book. See CONCLUSION where it has been dealt with under the heading "Was Muslim Rule in India a Rule of Foreigners?" Also see The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, pp. 387—89.

CHAPTER I

THE STATE

We begin with the institution of Indo-Islamic State, but before pro-Introductory. ceeding with it we consider it necessary to explain the terms Sultan and Sultānat and Bādshāh and Bādshāhat, which have been so frequently used in the history books on the Muslim Period and to which we shall refer time and again in this volume. words Sultan and Sultanat are derived from a common root, Salat, which means to prevail, to exercise authority, to possess dominion etc. Thus, while the word Sullan may be taken to mean one who wields authority, i.e., monarch, the word Sullanat may be interpreted monarchy or monarchical State. Both words are used in regard to that form of government or State which came into existence in the Islamic World after the abdication of Imām Hasan, the fifth Khalifa of Islam, but which was contemplated neither by the Qur-an nor by the Apostle. In this book the terms Sultan and Sultanat are used to represent the early Muslim rulers of India and their kingdom or State, while the terms Badshah (king) and Bādshāhat (kingdom) are employed to mean the Mughal emperors and their empire respectively.* Far from theocracy, the Sultanat and the Bādshāhat of Delhi were essentially secular institutions, based not on Islamic law (Sharīyat) but on Persian traditions. This momentous change from the theocratic government (Khilāfat or Caliphate) of the Qur-ān to absolute monarchy (Sultānat or Bādshāhat) was very largely due to the influx of Persian ideas into Muslim society and hence to Persian influence. In order to understand and appreciate the acts and administrations of the Sultāns and the Bādshāhs of India, it is necessary to make a short reference to those of the Persian traditions which exerted an appreciable influence on Islām and its institutions.

The political or territorial expansion of the

Commonwealth of Islām went
apace and the standards of Islām,
bearing the emblem of crescent,
were carried far and wide by the Musalmāns
under the ennobling influence of their religion.
With the conquest of Persia and the transfer
of the seat of government to Baghdād, Persian
ideas and ideals began to flow fast into the rank

The early Muslim rulers of India styled themselves as Sultāns and hence their kingdom and the form of government under them are called Sultānat; while the Mughal emperors preferred the designation of Bādshāh and hence the name of their kingdom and the form of their government Bādshāhat. The terms Sultān and Bādshāh and Sultānat and Bādshāhat are essentially one in their meaning and differ little from each other in their significance.

and file of the followers of Islam, changing their spiritual outlook into one material. Coming into close contact with the Persians, the Denizens of the Desert, as the Arabs are significantly called, took an extraordinary fancy to the ideas of the former. The conquerors were literally conquered by the culture of the conquered people.* They took such a fancy to the culture of the Persians that in their eager fasci-' nation for it they did not even pause to pick and choose from Persian ideas but assimilated them wholesale in almost every department of administration and in every aspect of their social life. Politically, they adopted the principles of Persian government-the division and organization of the various departments of the State, including their names, the personality of the Persian king, his seraglio, his slaves, his servants; State ceremonials and all other symbols of sovereignty, including his dress, the rules of military organization and equipment, the tactics of war and even the titles and designations of the rank and file-in fact every minute detail of administration Socially, they imbibed the ideas of the Persians about social pleasures and pastimes and borrowed from them the chase, chess and Chaugan (polo), drinking, music and songs and even the spring festival of Nauroz. Culturally, they made Persian their court language and took over almost all Persian ideas, including Ta'bir or the science of interpreting dreams. Of all these ideas, the most important

^{*} Vide J.A.S.B. (1935), Vol. I, pp. 127 ff.

and significant from the present (i.e., political) point of view was the theory of divine right, the most distinctive feature of Persian monarchy, which may be referred to at length. In relation to his subjects, the Persian monarch 'was their lord and master, absolute disposer of their lives, liberties, and property; the sole foundation of law and right, incapable himself of doing wrong, irresponsible, irresistible-a sort of god upon earth; one whose favour was happiness, at whose frown men trembled, before whom all bowed themselves down, with the lowest and humblest obeisance.' Obviously, Islām, which militates against despotism and preaches perfect democracy, could not be reconciled to this naked exposition of despotism, least of all to the divinity of a mortal, the mainstay of despotic rule. But the position was somewhat simplified when the virtue of divinity was associated not with the person of the monarch but with the office he occupied. From Baghdad these ideas of kingship travelled into Ghaznīn, as also to other parts of the world, and thence made their way into India with the march of Musalmans into that country.

India, an ancient country like Persia, offered a most favourable field for the Privileges and cultivation of such ideas. Here the submissiveness of the people and the ancient traditions of the country furnished a most congenial atmosphere for the establishment of absolute monarchy.

The Muslim king had before him the precedents of Persian monarchs and the examples of Indian Rājas of old, to whom divine honours were paid by their subjects. The prerogatives he enjoyed included the royal titles, the Khutba. the Sikka and certain other symbols of sovercignty to distinguish him from the rest of the people. Whenever he went out, he was accompanied by a grand procession and surrounded with dazzling splendour. The overt acts of sovereignty, which proclaimed his accession to the throne, were the recitation of the Khutba or public sermon and the issue of the Sikka or coins in his name. Among other symbols of sovereignty may be mentioned the crown and the throne, Chatr or royal parosal and Durbāsh* or royal baton, Sāibān or royal canopy and Naubat or royal band and 'Alams or standards, bearing the emblems of 'fish and crescent', which no one could use unless specially permitted by the king. As to the extent of respect he commanded, suffice it to say that he was looked upon as 'God in human form' and hence entitled to all divine honours. People of all: classes, for instance, prostrated themselves before him while he was present and when: absent, they stood up at the mention of his name as a mark of respect and even bowed towards the seat of his government. Salutations

^{*} The Dürbāsh (meaning keep off) was a wooden staff branching at the top and plated with gold. It was used, as its name suggests, to keep the common people at a distance from the king when he appeared in public.

were offered to the vacant throne, even to the wooden sandals and quiver placed on it as symbols of sovereignty.* The king was styled as Zillullāh or 'shadow of God' on earth and divine honours were paid to him. It is stated that once when a curtain was drawn before Emperor Humāyūn on the occasion of a public audience, the gathering exclaimed, "Behold the illumination of the Divine Being". Akbar the Great was regarded as Insan-i-Kamil or perfect man and Sijda was done to him.; Thus from the very nature of the case, the Sultanat (as also the Badshahat) of Delhi was a purely secular institution and the Sultan (as also the Badshah) was an absolute monarch, enjoying unlimited powers. He was bound by no law, was restricted by no rule, was subject to no ministerial check and was guided by no will other than his own. his actions he was responsible to no earthly power and answerable to no human authority. He possessed the power of declaring war and

^{*} See Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, p. 102; and J.A.S.B. (1935), Vol. I, pp. 128-29.

[†] Akbar has been subjected to a most severe criticism for permitting Sijda (prostration) to be done to him. It must be remembered, however, that he was not the only king to whom Sijda was done. Many a king before him had received this and similar other tributes from his subjects, in India as well as in Persia. It is wholly unjust to treat his case as an isolated instance and to condemn it on this score. (See The Mughal Empire From Bābar To Aurangzeb, pp. 134-35).

concluding peace, appointing and dismissing civil as well as military officers, raising and remitting taxes, settling disputes, administering justice and devising and enforcing necessary measures for the protection of person and property of the people under his sway. In other words, all civil and military powers were vested in him and he was all in all.*

All this was in theory. In practice, the facts of the case and the practical His limitations. necessities of the hour set certain substantial checks to his otherwise unlimited powers, e.g. (1) The two main functions of the Muslim Government in India in those times were Jahangiri and Jahandari or conquest and consolidation respectively. Conquest or territorial expansion was the ruling passion of the life of almost every Indian Sultan and Badshah. The North conquered, the conquest of the South was contemplated and undertaken with such vigour that the invasions of the Deccan became an essential departmental head of the administration under the Sultanat as well as the Badshahat of Delhi. The fact that no outward expansion was possible without internal peace! made it imperative for the Muslim ruler to gain the good-will of his subjects by taking real interest in their well-being before launching upon his career of conquest, and this put a reasonable check, however imperceptibly, on his wide

See J.A.S.B. (1935) Vol. I, pp. 128 ff.: and Mughal Kingship And Nobility, pp. 71 ff.

powers. (2) Though the Muslim doctrine of obedience to the sovereign was a great source of strength to the Sultan and the Badshah, and was fully exploited and even wrongly applied at times, yet the obedience enjoined by the Qur-an is not unqualified. If a ruler failed to perform, his duties towards his subjects, he forfeited his claims to their obedience. Rebellions against unlawful sovereigns were declared lawful and were not unknown to Muslim India. The fear of revolts and revolutions, which were so frequent in those times, exercised an important check on the royal authority and the ruler tried his best to secure the greatest happiness of the greatest number. This then, implied the recognition of at least some elementary principles of civilized government, such as freedom of .. worship and liberty of conscience, even-handed distribution of justice, and protection person and property of the people. Though the circle of active voters was gradually narrowed down to a few of the leading men of the capital city and then to only one man, i.c., the sovereign, who himself nominated his own successor and though the elective theory was thrown to the background, yet the principle of election, indicating the ultimate sovereignty of the people, was there and offered a loop-hole for removing an undesirable king. (4) In order to collect State dues without using force, it was likewise incumbent upon the king to afford protection to the people of all classes, if needs be. even against the ruling race. This too implied

some respect for the sentiments of the subjects and, therefore, a check on the power of the king. (5) In his own faith, the king might not have had a strong belief and he might have been a latitudinarian, he could not, however, help maintaining an outward show of respect for the faith of his forefathers. He could not claim exemption from the operation of the Muslim Canon Law, before which he was no better than the humblest and the poorest of his coreligionists who could, at least in theory, sue him, if aggrieved, in the court of the Qazi (judge). He could not alter the Quranic law and had to bow to the decisions of Mujtaluds on points of difference, though he often won over the corrupt Ulama who turned and twisted the meaning of some verses of the sacred text to suit his requirements. But, though he could make rules and regulations consistent with the Shariyat, he could not transgress it in any circumstances. This also tended to keep him within his bounds. (6) The exalted nature of the office, i.e., Sultanat or Badshahat, surrounded as it was by a halo of divinity, necessitated strict adherence to the high standard of justice, generosity, tolerance, forgiveness, magnanimity, chivalry and a number of other virtues which, if he pursued, made his despotic rule not only possible but pleasant and popular. (7) With the march of time, the militant fury of the invader abated and the Muslim king, in course of time, came to be looked upon as a custodian of the person and property of the people and a

patron of art and literature. As such, he undertook to perform, in peaceful times, many other functions of a civilized government, viz., upkeep of roads and highways, promotion of learning and education, establishment of almshouses and hospitals, encouragement of arts and industries, protection of trade and commerce, and administration of famine relief. (8) The fear of the disaffected joining the independent rulers outside the ambit of the Delhi Empire, coupled with the fear that any interference in the internal affairs of local principalities which, though subdued and reduced to the state of feudatories, were yet autonomous to all intents and purposes, would incur their hostilities, must have kept the kings well within their bounds. (9) Though, strictly speaking, there was no appreciable, automatic, regular and permanent check of a landed or feudal aristocracy or of an organized public opinion, yet the presence of a highly developed bureaucracy must have meant a most effective limitation of the autocratic authority, more so because in most cases it was neither seen nor/ felt. (10) Finally, the fact that almost all the rulers had undergone a regular routine of public service and that their sons and successors, in fact all the princes of the royal blood, had to serve a regular apprenticeship, would have given them an insight and experience which must have materially moderated the rigours of monarchy and considerably lightened the

theoretically absolute tone of their rule.*

The importance of the limitations enumerated above cannot be exaggerat-Their real They tended to establish importance some sort of democratic government in India by indirectly impressing upon the mind of the ruler the necessity of securing the greatest happiness of the greatest number. Many a time absolute monarchy passed through ultracritical stages and underwent serious modifications in its form and tone; and more than once did India reach the verge of witnessing a constitutional monarchy during the pre-Mughal period: The reigns of weak rulers like Ārām, Rukn-ūd-Dīn and Kaiqubād of the so called Slave Dynasty, Mubārak Shāh of the Khiljī Dynasty, Muhammad Shāh II, Humāyūn and Mahmūd of the Tughluq Dynasty, the successors of Khizr Khān, and Ibrāhīm Lodhī, so also the disturbed reigns of Muhammad Tughluq and Ibrāhīm Lodhī and the mild character of Nasīr-ud-Dīn Mahmud of the Slave Dynasty, Jalal-ud-Din. Firoz of the Khiljī Dynasty and Firoz Shāh of the Tughluq Dynasty offered a most favourable field for planting a popular government in India, but no advantage was taken of these and other opportunities that presented themselves at times and hence nothing was accomplished in that direction. If a strong anti-monarchical party had

^{*} Vide J.A.S.B. Vol. I (1935) pp. 133 ff; and The Splendour That Was 'Ind, p. 204.

been organized and if the various circumstances had been fully exploited, a change, fraught with far-reaching consequences, must have taken place. Apart from an utter lack of organization, there were some other factors which arrested and even retarded the growth of public opinion and prevented the establishment of some sort of constitutional government. These were want of appreciation, abundance of jealousies and conflicting interests, the egotism and arrogance of the nobles and the ignorance and indifference of the people in general. The Mughal emperors ruled India in a most constitutional manner and the aims and objects of democracy were almost fully achieved during their rule. (Vide infra).

The influence of a purely secular State on the aims and ideals of Islam and Influence of on all that it stands for was secular State detrimental.* The transfer of on Islam. the seat of government from Medina to Damascus with the rise of the Ommayades and the secularization of the State led. to many unwholesome, inhuman and even monstrous acts. The Shariyat was not allowed to interfere with the State; it was, on the other hand, subordinated to and made to serve the interests of the sovereign and the State. With the fall of Madain and the flow of wealth into the ranks of Islam, the entire outlook of its followers underwent a great change and capitalistic

See J.A.S.B. (1935) Vol. I, pp. 126 ff.

tendencies began to dominate their minds. Some of the true sons of Islam did in fact feel agitated at this material advancement at the cost of spiritual salvation, but theirs was a voice in the wilderness. As early as the Khilafat of Hazrat Usmān we notice that a faithful friend and follower of the Prophet, with whom poverty was his pride, was exiled into the desert for raising his voice against the changing state of affairs. Hussain, a grandson of the Prophet refused to take oath of fealty to the impious Yazīd and the result was the Tragedy of Karbala, the greatest tragedy recorded in the annals of the world.* When the Ommayades came to power and when the centre of gravity of the Muslim World shifted to Baghdad, the Khalifas and the Sultans came out as the exact prototypes of the old Persian kings. Religious requirements were relegated to the background and spiritual salvation was out of question. In India, the: conquest of the country placed its resources at the disposal of the conqueror and opened up fresh opportunities for indulgence in pleasures and luxuries. Under the circumstances, there is no wonder that some of the fundamental laws of Islam were violated by the Sultanat and that in concurrence with the corrupt Ulamā. It must, however, be pointed out that the Sultans were always anxious to safeguard the honour and to promote the interests of Islam as long as they

^{*}For an account of this tragedy, see A Short History of the Saracens by S. Ameer Ali, pp. 83 ff.

did not collide or come into conflict with the State. The shrewd politicians of the age argued that the Sultanat had formulated its own laws, based on Persian traditions and Indian usages, which were different from and even opposed to those of Islam but conducive to the State. They subscribed to and propagated the theory that 'the secular state was a twin sister of the faith, only different in the nature of its functions'. Some of them went even so far as to declare that the Sultan in his own sphere was in no wisc inferior to the Prophets and Walis in theirs. To those who were always out to find or forge moral and religious support for the Sultanat, the Quranic injunction Ati-ullaha wa ati-ur-Rasul wa ulil amr-i-minkum* i.e. "Obey Allah, and obey the Apostle, and obey those in authority from amongst you" was full of possibilities of ingenious interpretations, best-suited to buttress the moral claims of the Sultan. They lost no time in declaring 'He who obeys the Sultan obeys the Lord Mcrciful'. Little wonder if the people addressed the king as Khudavand-i-Alam or Master of the Universe, an important attribute of the Almighty God. After the dismemberment of the Sultanat of Delhi these ideas flowed into the provincial kingdoms, where the local rulers represented the Delhi Sultans in their true colours. The Badshahat was but another name of the Sultanat and the Badshahs or Mughal emperors were also absolute monarchs. perhaps more absolute than their predecessors. When Akbar the Great declared himself the

Lord spiritual as well as temporal of his subjects, whether on his own motion or encouraged by others and 'the whole country agreed to the position without much protest', it was only a logical culmination of the above developments.*

Such, in short, was the status of the Sultan and the Badshah of India. Obviously enough, there was no room within the State for a Sultan or a Badshah to play the part of Din Panah or Defender of the Faith. The State and the Church were united in his person; but unlike before, the latter was harnessed to the former and its interests were often subordinated to those of material well-being. Only a few kings, such as Sultan Firoz Shah Tughluq and Aurangzeb the Great Mughal, made some efforts to bring the State into line with the tenets of their religion; but their policy proved to be abortive, if not actually harmful, because the Hindus did not like to be ruled in accordance with the tenets of Islam even if they were calculated to be most beneficial for them.

[&]quot;See J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, pp 126 ff; Mughal Kingship And Nobility, pp. 1 ff. and 150 ff.; Muntakhabut-Tawārikh (Ranking), Vol. 1I, pp. 279-80; and Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, pp. 1 ff. It is the height of injustice to treat Akbar's case as an isolated phenomenon, for his acts were quite in keeping with or rather in continuation of the traditions of India on the one hand and of Persia on the other. (See The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, pp. 114 ff; Muntakhab-ut-Tawārikh, Vol. II, pp. 279-80; and A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, pp. 405 ff.)

The familiar custom of holding what are now called Darbars (courts) had Darbar been popular in Persia from times or Court. immemorial. In India it became a permanent institution during the first fifty years of the Muslim period. The Sultans of Delhi held Darbars on a number of public occasions, such as the coronation of a monarch, the commemoration of a victory or an important event, the consummation of the marriage of a royal prince or princess, the celebration of the birth of a baby or the anniversary of a member of the royal family. This in no wise exhausts the long list of public occasions; extraordinary convocations were held when, for instance, a foreign ambassador or a distinguished guest was welcomed. There were other Darbars popularly known as Jashus, which were held to celebrate certain social and religious festivals and were noted for pomp and pageantry, culminating in a lavish distribution of robes of honour and rewards. Nothing was spared to make each Darbar an occasion of unparallelled happiness. It is impossible to describe even in a bare outline the court etiquette and ceremonies observed on each occasion. The place and position of all persons, their apparel appearance, and various rules of conduct and the ceremony of presentation to the Sultan, with its usual accompaniments of Kornish.*

^{*} Kornish is a mode of salutation in which the palm of the right hand is placed upon the forehead, and the head is bent downwards. (J.A.S.B., 1935, Vol. I, p. 165).

Taslim* and Zaminbos, t were paid the utmost attention at each Darbar. While approaching His Imperial Majesty, a visitor prostrated himself at the royal feet in all humility and addressed the Emperor in a refined language, using the choicest of words at his command. I For assisting the Sultan in the discharge of his ceremonial functions and public duties, there was a separate staff of officials, the most prominent of whom were Barbak or Private Secretary, Hājib or Supervisor of the Ceremonies of Court Presentation, and Vakil-i-dar who performed the secretarial functions of the Court. The Mughals' fondness for pomp and show surpassed that of their predecessors. They made Court etiquette and ceremonial much more elaborate and impressive. Under Shah Jahan the Magnificent the

[&]quot;The salutation, called Taslim, consists in placing the back of the right hand on the ground, and then raising it gently till the person stands erect, when he puts the palm of his hand upon the crown of his head, which pleasing manner of salutation signifies that he is ready to give himself as an offering"—Ain-i-Akbari.

[†] Zaminbos (literally, kissing the ground) was a mode of salutation, in which a person approaching royal presence bowed his forehead to the ground and then proceeded towards the throne, making low obeisance three times at regular intervals in obedience to the calls of the Naqib. (J.A.S.B., 1935, Vol. I, p. 165; and Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, pp. 102, 135-36).

[‡] For some more information on the subject (i.e. court etiquette) vide J. A. S. B., 1935, Vol. I, pp. 164 ff.

[§] For a list of these and other officers and their duties see J. A. S. B., 1935, Vol. I, pp. 167-69.

Mughal Court presented a most picturesque scene. Imagine him gracefully dressed in jewelled robes, worked with gold threads, majestically sitting under a canopy on the famous Peacock Throne, with its 'tail blazing in the shifting natural colours of rubies, sapphires and emeralds', placed on a marble platform in the Dīwān-i-Khās, itself a veritable paradise on earth, where the Princes of the royal blood and the grandees of the Empire occupied their allotted seats and where a number of decently dressed pages (Ghilmans) stood and waited for orders, and granting an audience or receiving a foreign embassy or presiding over some important function and you will get but a glimpse of the glory of that great Court. So perfect in short was the splendour that surrounded the Sovereign and his Court that even the eyes most accustomed to the pomp of Paris were dazzled at the sight. Whether this impressive display of pomp and power was in any way conducive to the growth of culture may be open to question, but it is an admitted fact that this spectacular glamour had an irresistible hold on the imagination of the people and that the countries claiming to be most advanced in modern civilization have found it impossible to do without it. The present rulers of India have adopted most of the Court ceremonial of their predecessors - the Greate Mughals -- for the simple reason that understand that it has an undeniable psychological cal effect on the minds of the masses, that it :has a much greater appeal in the East than in

the West. Rulers of Indian States, both Hindus and Muslims, have retained it because they have a peculiar fancy for it. The custom of Naghmasarāi (music) and Qasīdakhānī (recitation of laudatory odes) is still an important feature of Darbars in Indian States, where poets and musicians of renown come from distant places to sing praises of the ruling prince and to receive rewards according to their merits, and instances are not wanting to show that even in British India Qasidas (panegyrics) are recited in honour of Viceroys and Governors.* Similarly the Jashn (Independence Day) attracts a number of musicians and others to Kābul in Afghānistān from India and other places every year.

In Muslim India there was no recognized law determining the succession to the throne. Often the rulers were elected, though the number of voters was gradually reduced to a few persons only. Sometimes the ruling prince nominated his own successor and the choice frequently fell on the eldest son. There are also instances when the sword decided the question of succession to the throne. In the absence of a definite law of succession, the rival claimants and influential chiefs were not slow in setting up their claims and fishing in troubled waters if

^{*} See J.R.S.A. (January, 1936), p. 239; and J.A.S.B. (1935), Vol. I, pp. 162 ff.

fortune favoured and means were not lacking. In such cases the sword settled the struggle for succession and the fittest of the contestants survived to rule the kingdom. There is no doubt that the absence of the law of succession spelt civil war and bloodshed, but the advantage underlying it cannot escape recognition, for in the civil war that followed the death of a ruler only the ablest claimant defeated his opponents and succeeded in securing the throne for himself, and history is a witness that he ruled the kingdom with remarkable insight and acumen.*

^{*} See Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, and Mughal Kingship And Nobility, which contains good deal of useful information on the subject. It may be noted here that unfortunately the early history of Islam too did not furnish a better precedent: "Muhammad had often indicated 'All as his successor, but laid down no definite rule". On his death Abū Bakr was 'hastily elected to the office of Khalifa'. Before Abu Bakr died he 'nominated 'Umar as his successor in the Caliphate'. 'Umar in his turn entrusted the selection of his successor to six notables of Medina and the result was the election of Usman. On the tragic death of Usman, 'All was proclaimed Khalifa and after his assassination his son. Hasan, was elected Khalifa by universal suffrage. llasan had to abdicate in favour of the rebellious Muāwiya who, before his death, managed to instal his son, Yazld, as his successor in contravention of his treaty with Hasan, according to which he was to rule for life-time and then the Khilafat was to devolve upon Hasan's younger brother, Hussain. Evidently there was no definite rule regulating the succession to the throne. (Vide A Short History of the Saracens, pp 21, 27, 45, 49. 70-71 and 81. Also see Islam in the World, pp. 67 ff. and The Caliphate, pp. I. ff.)

CHAPTER II

ADMINISTRATIVE SYSTEM

We have briefly dealt with the broad features of the Muslim State and the Introductory. nature of Muslim Monarchy. We now propose to devote some space to the administrative system in vogue during that period. But it must be acknowledged at the outset that it is not possible to trace within the scope of a small chapter the evolution of a system to which full justice cannot be done even in a separate volume. We must, therefore, content ourselves with a brief description of some of the most important features of that system - the division of the Empire into parts for purposes of effective control, maintenance of law and order, administration of justice, development of means of communication and transportation, encouragement of arts and education,* administration of famine relief, postal service, land revenue system, and taxation-, and with their criticism in order to see how far the system was suited to the spirit of the age and the sentiments of the

^{*} Toleration, Education and Fine Arts have been dealt with in separate chapters because special importance attaches to them in that they afford an excellent touchstone for testing the tastes and temperament of a ruler and revealing him in his true colours.

subject races, and how far it reflected the refined side of Muslim Rule in India.

The Empire was divided into a number of provinces, each of which was usu-Administrative ally known by the name of the Divisions. country or its capital. A province was, therefore, the biggest administrative division. It was placed in charge of a trusted officer who acted as the viceroy of the king in it. Each viceroy employed a large number of subordinate officials for the effective administration of his province. His powers, like those of the king, were great in his own sphere. Imperial matters, such as declaring war and making peace, were outside the ambit of his authority. Each province was parcelled into numerous small divisions called Parganas,* which were entrusted to Faujdars and Shigdars, who carried on the executive and revenue affairs respectively. A Pargana, consisting of several villages, was the effective unit of administration. In the discharge of their duties, the Fauidars and Shiqdars were assisted by a number of lesser officials, such as Mugaddams (village head-men),

^{*}There were also such divisions as Shiq, Khita or Iqtā', which may be said to have corresponded to the later Sarkārs. The term Sarkār (district) is supposed to have been introduced by the Sūrs, though there is no clear evidence to prove it except that it does not appear in the earlier works written before the rise of the Sūrs. It corresponded to our modern district and consisted of several Parganas.

Qānīngos, Kārkuns, Navīsindas etc. A Dīwān was stationed at each province and entrusted with the task of collecting the land revenue and remitting it to the Central Government. There existed a regular rivalry between the Dīwān and the Viceroy and the points of dispute between them were referred to and decided by the Sovereign.*

There were no such courts of law and justice as exist in these days; there Law & were no court-fees, no pleaders, Instice. no such legal formalities as a litigant has to observe in these days and consequently there were no such inconveniences as i surround the law courts now extant. The king was the fountain of justice and his was the highest court of appeal. At times the king and the provincial governors also heard appeals and often revised, modified, upheld or set aside the decisions of lower courts. Evidence, both oral and documentary, was taken on the spot after administering oaths to the witnesses and the cases were decided on the spot. The Oazist settled the cases among the Musalmans in accordance with the Shariyat, and civil disputes relating to inheritance, succession, etc., among the Hindus were allowed to be decided by

^{*} Mediæval India, by Ishwari Prasad, and Some Aspects of Muslim Administration.

[†] For other judicial officers and their duties, vide Mughal Kingship And Nobility, pp. 143 ff; and The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, pp. 148-49.

Hindū judges or Panchāyats (juries), while those between Hindūs and Muslims were decided by Muslim judges assisted by Brahmans, accomplished in Hindū law. The justice dealt out was rough and ready, simple and cheap but was apt to miscarry. The Muslim kings were noted for their impartiality in judicial matters. Punishments inflicted were rather severe, too severe to stand comparison with those meted out in these days, but were best-suited to those times in that they served as effective deterrents.*

On the authority of some European travellers who visited India during the Mughal Period some modern writers have frequently referred to the vanity of the Oazls and the corruption of other Government officials. found no occasion to agree with them, though I do not deny the charge altogether. Corruption there was no doubt, but it must be remembered that it was neither condoned nor connived at by the Government. other hand, it was strongly suppressed and severely chastised. To be fair, no government, however advanced and efficient, can claim to be free from corrupt officials even in our own times and the Muslim Government could be no exception to the rule. Notwithstanding the efficient systems of administration evolved by different progressive nations and the deterrents devised by them, there is corruption and corruption in plenty in every country and in almost every department, at least among the ministerial staff. In Muslim India, we gather from the original sources of information, every effort was made to remove it and, in consequence, there was in those days a good balance of justice and fair-dealing, better than any other country could claim. (Vide The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, pp. 43, 58-59, 148-49, 180-81, 274, 371-72, 382-83; Mughal Kingship And Nobility, pp. 126 ff; and A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, pp. 446-49.)

Many Muslim kings were sincerely interested in the social as well as moral Social well-being of their subjects, irres-Legislation pective of the sects to which they belonged or the creeds they professed. They forbade drinking and gambling and tried their best to suppress immorality. For this purpose they appointed Mohtasibs or censors of public morals and charged them with the duty of seeing that the people behaved well and did not resort to immoral pursuits. Under their directions Zakāt was properly organized and a Bait-ul-Māl (Public Treasury) was created and worked with great efficiency. The horrible and inhuman practices of Satist and infanticide, which had

^{*}Muhammad Tughluq was perhaps the first Muslim king of India who raised his voice against this aboninable practice of burning a living widow with her dead husband and tried to suppress it. (A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, pp. 145 and 253-54). Ibn Batuta informs us that the Delhi Sultans had made it compulsory to obtain a license before burning a widow within the Sultanat. The idea seems to have been to discourage the use of force. (J. A S.B., 1935, Vol. I, p. 260). Humayun, the second Mughal Emperor, took a hold step against it and tried to extirpate it altogether, but did not go far enough. (J. A. S. B., 1935, Vol. I. n. 260). Akbar the Great prohibited it and never suffered an unwilling widow to be burnt alive with her dead husband. (The Mughal Empire From Babar Aurangzeb, p. 88; and A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, pp. 388 89). 'Alamgir also issued an edict against it. (See A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, p. 636). It may be pointed out here that this was done despite the fact that Muslim kings were

eaten into the foundations of Hindū society, were systematically discouraged and forbidden and widow-remarriage was legalized.

The government was carried on under the obvious disadvantage of slow Postal Service and imperfect means of communication and transportation, notwithstanding the fact that almost all Muslim kings of India were deeply interested in the upkeep of imperial highways. The principal roads linking the capital cities and strategic parts of the kingdom, lined with shady trees and punctuated with serāis, equipped with wells, gardens etc., served the purposes of postal service. Along the Imperial highways were posted foot-runners or horsemen who carried the Imperial dispatches from place to place. During the period under review a fairly regular and efficient postal system was in force.*

The Muslim kings maintained a wellorganized police force in order
to enforce law and order and to
facilitate impartial dissemination of justice.
The Kotwāl, or the policeman-in-chief, was the
custodian of public peace and security. In the

most reluctant in interfering with the established and deep-seated practices and prejudices of their Hindu and other non Muslim subjects.

^{*}See The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, pp. 22, 61, 150 and 385; and Tavernier's Travels, Vol. I, p. 233.

discharge of his multifarious duties he was assisted by a staff of subordinate officers. If order and security prevailed in the cities, if business was safe, if roads were well guarded and if foreign merchants were well protected, it was mainly due to the existence of a well organized police.*

Espionage has no doubt a bad odour about it, but as it has been found indispensable, especially in despotic governments, it has been frequently resorted to even by the most civilized states. The Muslim kings maintained a regular army of spies who kept them in touch with all the events that took place throughout the length and breadth of their empire. In order that no secret might leak out, there existed a close connection between the Postal System and the Secret Service.

of the ruling race. It was open to all—Hindūs and Muslims—who possessed the required qualifications. At first there appears to have been some prejudice against the employment of natives in high posts on the executive side of civil administration, but this too vanished with the march of time. Sultāns such as Alā-ud-Dīn Khiljī and Muhammad Tughluq employed a

^{*} The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, pp 58, I52 and 384; and A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, pp. 337-38.

number of Hindus in the service of the State. Muslim armies of India were invariably soldiered and officered with Indians - Hindus and Muslims alike.* The Mughals made invidious distinction between the Hindus and Muslims, both of whom they regarded as their subjects. They freely employed Hindus in the service of the State, appointed them to important posts and entrusted them with positions involving great responsibility. They not only appreciated and rewarded merit from whatever sources it emanated, but tried their best to secure the services of the best brains of India, irrespective of caste, colour or creed. In the words of a "Hindus were eligible for Hindū writer: even the highest and most responsible of posts. They were allowed to have their own social and religious systems, with freedom of worship and full rights of citizenship. Officers soldiers, and statesmen and public servants were recruited without any distinction of caste, colour or creed". Even Aurangzeb. who was so much harassed by the Hindus and who has been painted as a bigot and fanatic by most of the modern writers, did not dispense with their services notwithstanding the fact that they had declared themselves against him and had

^{*} A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, p. 145; J.A.S.B. (1935) Vol. I, p. 179, and Professor Muhammad Habib's article in The Aligarh Magazine (October-December, 1931) pp. 4-5.

[†] Mughal Kingship And Nobility, p. 203.

betrayed him on a number of occasions.*
"Government posts", he said, "ought to be bestowed according to ability and from no other consideration". That he was true to this "supreme law of toleration for a ruler of people of another faith" as Sir Thomas Arnold rightly calls it, is testified to by the fact that there were many Ilindūs who held such important posts under him as those of governors, commanders and Diwāns—posts which no government has ever bestowed on the sons of the subjugated soil. If a certain number of Hindū clerks were dismissed, it was because they were incorrigibly corrupt.†

The question of famine relief next engages our attention. On the whole, the Famine Relief conomic condition of the people of that period was quite good. Prices were very low, sometimes exceptionally so. But famines were frequent, for Indian agriculture has, after all, been rightly described as a gamble in rains. How were famines fought in those days? Let us see. During the days of famine the State helped the poor and the needy by opening almshouses and hospitals as well as by supplying

^{*} Vide Aurangzeb And His Times, pp. 190 ff; The Mughal Empire From Bahar To Aurangzeb, pp. 294-95; Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan, Vol. II, pp. 51 ff.

[†] Ahkām-i-'Alamgiri, Hukm 39; The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, p. 295; The Preaching of Islam, p. 214; Mughal Kingship And Nobility, pp. 221-22; and Aurangzeb And His Times, pp. 150 ff.

grains gratis from Government granaries, and remitting or reducing the land revenue. To prevent the actual occurrence of famines, the State advanced loans to the poor peasants and thus enabled them to purchase the elementary means of production, sunk wells and opened canals for purposes of irrigation, and facilitated migration for relieving congestion and distress. The reigns of Sultan 'Ala-ud Din Khilji, Ghiyās-ud-Dīn Tughluq, Muhammad Tughluq and Firoz Tughluq witnessed the evolution of all the modern methods of fighting famines and the growth of all the essentials of a sound famine policy. The first-named adopted two important measures: 'controlling of market prices and profiteering and storing of grain in large quantities in the State granaries with a view to relieve distress in the times of need'. Ghiyās-ud-Dīn Tughluq introduced the idea of opening canals and actually established a minor work. His successor, Muhammad Tughluq, carried the policy a step further when he made liberal advances to the impoverished to provide them with the necessary means of cultivation and ordered the sinking of wells and the distribution of food-stuffs. By opening canals and extending the area of cultivation, Firoz Tughluq completed what may be called the famine policy of the Sultanat of Delhi. When a serious famine broke out during his reign, Sikandar Lodhī went so far as to abolish Zakāt on grains with a view to obtain a better supply of grains at less price. Sher Shah Suri, who was fully alive to

the horrors of famines, was no less anxious to obviate the sufferings of his subjects when they actually broke out. In one of his firmans he said, "if scarcity of rains destroys the crops of the year, it is necessary that the poor should be helped with money from the treasury so that as far as the resources of the State permit they might be saved from the whirlpool of destruction". The Mughal Emperors' solicitude for the welfare of their subjects cannot be called in question. They encouraged agriculture and promoted the well-being of the peasantry by introducing a number of beneficial agrarian measures. But famines were not unknown to Mughal India. Whenever famines broke out, the Mughal Emperors left no resources unexploited for mitigating its horrors They spent lakhs of rupees in affording relief to the famine-stricken by opening alms-houses, kitchens and hospitals and arranging free distribution of food.

In the administration of famine relief the work of the State was supplemented very substantially by such charitable institutions as <u>khānqāhs</u>. History is a witness that hundreds of poor persons were fed free at the <u>khānqāhs</u> and mosques. The testimony of foreign travellers also corroborates this fact and the fact that the Muslim kings spared nothing in combating the calamity or at least in mitigating its horrors. The State was indeed alive to its duty, yet the horrors of famines were alarming because the inventions that brought into

existence such swift and sound means of communication as steamers and railways were yet in the womb of the future.*

As the Muslim kings of India belonged to the Hanafī School, they followed Taxation. the principles of taxation propounded by the Hanifites, though at times they cast these principles to the corner and collected taxes arbitrarily. The two main sources of income according to Muslim jurists were Fa'y and Zakāt, the former being a secular source and the latter a religious onc. The main head. Fa'y, included such taxes as Klums, Jizia and Khirāj; whereas Zakāt comprised taxes on flocks and herds, commercial capital, gold and silver and agricultural produce. The sub-heads enumerated above included all such articles as were subject to Shari' taxation. Khums, meaning 1/5th, was a tax levied on the warbooty acquired by Muslim soldiers and on a mine or a treasure discovered by one more persons. It was so called because it was fixed at 1/5th. If, however, the booty or the treasure were discovered without any effort, the rule of 1/5th did not apply and the whole of it was shared by the entire Muslim community. Jizia was levied from the Zimmis and in

^{*}Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, pp. 277-9, 288-9, 293, 302, 305-6, and 325; Tārīkh-i-Firoz Shāhi, by Barni (B. I. S.) pp. 482, 483, 489-9; Travels of Ibn Batuta, p. 203; Elliot, Vol. VII, pp. 24-25, and The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, pp. 404-5.

return for it they received protection of life and property and exemption from military service. It was levied from the Zimmis directly within the Delhi Empire only in times of financial stringency. Barring out two or three cases, it was not levied throughout the Muslim Period*. Khirāj was a land tax, which ranged between 1/2 to 1/5. It was collected from both Muslims and non-Muslims and was a most paying tax. Zakāt was a religious tax and was collected from those who possessed free property and who were in a position to understand its significance. Infants, invalids, insolvents, debtors, lunatics and non-Muslims were outside the ambit of its operation. It was not levied on the property that was below the Nisāb or taxable minimum, nor on the necessaries of life, such as clothes, food and houses. Animals were taxed, but not all of them were subject to taxation. Mules and donkeys were taxed when intended for sale. With regard to the taxing of horses, the opinion is divided. According to some, horses were subject to taxation, while others exempted them from being taxed. The Nisāb for camels was fixed at five, for bulls, cows and buffaloes at thirty, for sheep and goats at forty, for gold it was twenty Misgals and for silver two hundred Dirhams. Normally, the articles of trade were not taxed if their value was less

^{*} Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, pp. 338 ff.; The Mughal Empire Prom Babar To Aurangzeb, pp. 65, 88, 292-4, 314 and 383; Aurangzeb And His Times, pp.110 ff.; and The Encyclopædia Britannica.

than forty Dirhams and in some cases even less than two hundred Dirhams. 'Ushr (tithe) was also an important tax. Unlike Khirāj, which was levied on the actual productivity of the soil, the 'Ushr was levied on the actual produce thereof. Being a religious Fars or obligation, it was levied only from the Musalmans. It was subject to the principle of the Nisāb. Its special feature is that it does not exempt minors, lunatics and Wagfs. It was levied on such articles as wheat, barley, rice, millet, lentils, maize, beans, peas, and species of similar genus. The rate of this tax in the case of lands irrigated by rain or running water and wild fruits was 1/10th, while for the lands watered by artificial means of irrigation it was half of it. According to the Hanasī School, it was levied only on the produce of the 'Ushr land and not on the Khirāi land. The two taxes, Khiraj and 'Ushr, according to the same school, could not be levied simultaneously.*

Revenue System under the so-called Slave Kings of India was based on that of the Ghaznawids and Ghorids. They distributed tracts of the conquered territory among their followers and officials. The areas of land thus granted were known as Iqtā's and those

^{*} See Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, pp. 338 ff.; and The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, p. 383.

who held them were called Muqta's. The Iqta's were usually given to military men, collectively called Ahl-i-Jaish, for a period of time or for life and were not hereditary. They could be revoked by the Emperor at any time. It was probably for this reason that they were generally granted from the Khirāj land. Each Muqta' or Iqtā'holder was expected to collect the revenue due on it, deduct from it the amount assigned to him and remit the balance to the Central Government. According to law, if the revenue realized from an Iqta' fell short of the share of its holder (Muqta'), he could not claim the deficit from the Central Government. This was in order to prevent the possibility of corruption on the part of the Mugta'. But the evil which the law drove out from the front door re-entered from the back one; for the Muqta' always tried to square up the revenue from his Iqta' with the amount of his share and attempted to get some surplus over and above so as to be able to fight a lean year. Naturally enough, the Muqta' made the best of his opportunities and tried to conceal the real revenue realized from his Iqta'. Thus the evil that was driven out by law set in again, for the Central Government still suspected him; and whereas he could not claim deficits from it in cases of decreases in the revenue from the Iqta'. he could collect from his Iqta' more than was actually due and conceal the surplus. Another class of land was called Khalsa or Mumlikat. It was the property of the State and was probably managed through the agency of 'Amils.

The Central Government reserved the right of determining the scales of revenue for this class of land. Yet another class of land was that which was allowed to remain in possession of its original owner on the promise of paying tribute. Lands were also given as Milk and Inam, but they do not concern us here, for no revenue was derived from them. The principal revenue officials were Muqta's, Muqaddams, Mutasarrafs, Khots, Karkuns, Chaudharis, 'Amils and Patwaris. The State demand of the land revenue was usually fixed at 1, but 'Ala-ud-Din raised it to 1. The justification for this increase lay in the need of the hour and in the remarkable efficiency of the army which was indispensable for maintaining law and order as well as for. national defence. He was the first Muslim king of India who insisted on the actual measurement of land and on the realization of land revenue on the basis of actual cultivation. He introduced a number of revenue reforms and made the system fairly efficient. Measurement of land, division of crops, payment in cash or kind, examination of Patwari's papers - all these things were known to his government. Sultan Ghiyas-ud-Din Tughluq carried the land revenue policy of the Sultanat of Delhi a step further by recognizing the principle of making due allowance for droughts and damages to; land or crops. He is also said to have charged only 1/10th of the gross produce as the share of the State. This seems to be an exaggeration, for the land revenue was the most important

source of income and no Sultan could afford to allow it to fall as low as that. Another equally important contribution made by him to the revenue system was making Hāsil (actual produce or turnover) the basis of the State demand. This was a very wise and equitable step in that it encouraged systematic survey of the land under cultivation and did away with the defects of the figures based on the traditional assessment and guess-work without taking into account the fluctuations in prices and produce during a particular period. Ghiyasud-Dīn was also the first king of India who thought of opening canals for purposes of irrigation and is stated to have done some minor work on a small scale, but it was left for Firoz Tughluq to take up the question and to make it an important part of his programme. The two canals which he opened, viz., Rājīva and Alaghkhānī, irrigated "a tract of land covering at least one hundred and sixty miles". After the death of Firoz Tughluq the Sultanat of Delhi suffered a rude shock. The disturbances in the Capital, the rebellions of Muslim and Hindu Chiefs outside and the invasion of Amīr Timūr brought the Turkish Rule to a sad close and made it impossible for the Sayyads, who succeeded to the Sultanat, to retain intact the principles and practices evolved by their predecessors. But institutions and traditions, which take quite as long to die as to grow, must have lingered long and the local officials must have carried

them on as much as was possible for them. The Sayyad regime may be left unnoticed because there is nothing to be recorded to its credit in so far as the revenue system is concerned. When the Lodhis came to power, they were confronted with the same problems as their Turkish predecessors. Apart from military affairs, which occupied most of their time and attention, their ideas and institutions differed in several respects from those of the early Sultans. Sikandar Lodhi seems to have shown great interest in the improvement of Indian agriculture. He made a most useful contribution to the land revenue system of India by introducing one uniform Gas (yard) of forty-one digits as a standard unit of measurement.*

Muslim kings of India. It was on the foundation of these principles and practices that Sher Shāh Sūrī and Akbar the Great constructed the fine superstructure which has survived to our own times. Neither Sher Shāh nor Akbar alone can be said to have evolved the system: They made their own contributions to it and carried it to its completion. They picked up the threads already there and wove them into a fine fabric. Sher

[&]quot;For a detailed account of the subject, see Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, pp. 239 ff.; and The Agrarian Systems of Muslim India.

Shāh had gathered a good deal of experience in revenue matters as a Shigdar of his father's Jāgir. On coming to the throne he screwed up the machinery of revenue administration and infused new life and efficiency into it by stamping out corruption and introducing reforms where necessary. The most important contri-bution he made to the revenue system was the introduction of Ray' or schedule of crop-rates of assessment. Adding the produce of the three kinds of land, viz., good, middling and poor, and dividing the total by three, an average was found and one-third of this average was fixed as the rate of assessment by the Government. The system of division of crops was discouraged, and Sher Shah and his successor, Islam Shah, are said to have "practically freed Hindustan from it". Sher Shah preferred the system of measurement and enforced it as far as possible. He ordered a general survey of the land under his sway. The mazrua' (cultivated) and ghair mazrna' (uncultivated) lands were measured and on the basis of this survey was prepared a register containing the rights of owners and the measurements of all cultivated plots of land with their different classes. general survey served as a good basis for the fixing of a new Jam. This was a good and useful work, which neither the Lodhis nor the Sayyads had done. Though, it may be observed, the survey could not have been very satisfactory because Sher Shah's reign was too short to permit it, yet there can be no doubt that the move taken by him was in the right direction.*

With the experience of the Sūr Government at his back and the services -, of the of Shah Mansur, Muzaffar Khan Bādshāhat. Turbatī, 'Itimād Khān Todar Mall, who had served under the Sūrīs, at his disposal, Akbar carried the revenue policy to its logical conclusion. The old tanāb, which was made of hemp fibres, had the defect that it expanded when wet and contracted when dry. Akbar introduced a new jarib which was made of bamboo poles joined together with iron rings. Sikandar Lodhi's gaz was again taken as a standard unit of measurement and a bigha, as before, was sixty yards by sixty yards. Having fixed a standard land measure, the survey of the Khālsa land was undertaken. The Punjāb, territory between Lahore and Bihar, Malwa, and southern and eastern Gujarat were surveyed and all the cultivable land was divided into four classes, viz. (1) Polaj, which was subject to continuous cultivation, (2) Parauti, which was left fallow for sometime after continuous cultivation, (3) Chāchar, which was allowed to rest for four years in order to regain its fertility and (4) Banjar, which remained out of cultivation for more than five years. All these four classes of land were dealt with differently. The first two classes were sub-divided into good, middling and poor according to their fertility.

^{*} Ain-i-Akbari, Vol. II, p. 296; and Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, pp. 298 ff.

The average of these grades of land was to be the estimated produce per bigha and this was to serve as the basis of assessment. The other two classes were treated separately because of their inferiority. Their revenue was increased only progressively. In the assessment of the land revenue such factors as access to water, situation, etc., were duly taken into consideration. The average produce per bigha having been ascertained, it was left to commute it into cash payment. To do this, statements of produce and prices current during the decade preceding the survey, were sent for from all towns and villages and the share of the State was commuted into cash payment according to the average of the rates shown in those state-The commutation work was done by Government officials and cash rates were fixed by them. Different rates were fixed for different Commutation could be reconsidered at the request of the cultivator and he was permitted to pay the revenue in kind if he thought the cash rate was exorbitant. The system of farming was put an end to and the collectors were ordered to deal directly with the cultivators without the aid of intermediaries. At first settlement was made every year, but in order to obviate the inconvenience caused by regularly recurring measurements, valuations and assessments of individual holdings, the settlement was made Dehsāla (decennial) on the basis of the average payment of the preceding decade. Since the Government, the cultivators and the

collectors knew what would be the revenue of a particular year, the work of collection was much facilitated and greatly expedited. The tentative settlement first made at Gujarat was later extended to the whole of the Mughal Empire, and the regulations hitherto confined to the Khālsa lands were made applicable to Jāgir lands and the Dastur-ut'-Amal was made binding on the Jagirdārs as well. Regular registers were maintained in all villages and the measurements and classifications of the lands and the names of owners etc., were recorded with great care. Though the cultivators were allowed the option of paying the State demand in kind, cash payment was preferred and encouraged. In order to prevent the malpractices of the mean middlemen, they were induced to bring the dues direct to the State officials. If they thought the average was fixed too high, they could successfully insist on actual measurement, division and valuation of their crops. They were exempted from a number of cesses and granted liberal concessions in bad times. Their interests were duly safeguarded and no effort was spared to promote their material welfare. There was a Diwan in each Saba. His principal duty was to collect the revenues of the State and remit them to the Diwan of the Central Government. Each Sarkar had an 'Amil, each Pargana a Qanungo and each Dastur a Muqaddam. These officers, assisted by a staff of subordinate officers, collected the revenue and remitted it to the Imperial Treasury.

Changes were introduced from time to time to suit the needs of the hour. The system, as elaborated by Akbar, was followed by the later Mughals and has survived to our own times under the name 'Raiyatwārī Settlement' which is now in vogue. The critics who adversely criticize the Muslim Government by saying that the revenue was fixed too high seem to forget that the Hindū rulers of old had fixed it even higher: they do not make any allowance for the fact that the land revenue was the main source of State income in the past. Suffice it to say here that after paying the State dues, the cultivator was left with enough to spend and spare*.

Muslim kings showed a remarkable interest

Means of Communication and Transportation. in the upkeep and development of means of communication and transport and the result was a wide-spread net-

work of roads and highways in the Muslim Empire. Roads were kept in good condition by the State for its administrative requirements and the efficient working of the postal system, to which a reference has been made, affords ample testimony to prove this fact. As regards security, the State undertook to protect the person and property of the people in general and of the travellers and traders in particular.

^{*} Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, pp. 272 ff.; The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb. pp. 57-8, 152 ff. and 402 ff.; and Ain-i-Abbari, (Ain 15), Vol. II, p. 88; and Abbarnama, pp. 366-67.

It also made suitable provisions for their comfort and convenience. Professor K. T. Shah's tribute to the Muslim kings in this connection is richly deserved and it cannot be better conveyed to the reader than in his own fine words:—

"In Muhammadan times, there is hardly a Prince of any importance, who is not in some way connected with road-making. Great arterial highways, planted with a veritable areade of trees all along their length, linked the principal centres of the Empire over hundreds and hundreds of miles. The comfort and convenience of the travelling public was duly secured by the public hostels - walled enclosures with ample lodging and stabling, water tanks, and provision shops, to supply all the needs of the travellers at convenient stages; while the distance travelled was indicated by mile-stones easily noticeable even at night. Where the nature of the country would not permit proper road-making, or where transport by water was more convenient, the rivers were utilised for popular as well as Imperial voyages, attended by all the pomp and ceremony of a most luxurious court ".*

Among the principal means of conveyance may be mentioned bullocks and bullock-carts, horses and camels, mules and donkeys.

^{*} The Splendour That Was 'Ind. pp. 214-15.

CHAPTER III

TOLERATION

In its limited sense the word toleration means recognition of right Introductory. private judgment in matters religious, or liberty to uphold one's religious beliefs and forms of worship without any interference. In its widest sense it means much more than this and amounts to even-handed treatment of all and sundry in all respects, covering not only religious freedom and liberty conscience but also recognition of enjoying privileges of all kinds without regard religious differences and without making invidious discrimination between the rulers and the ruled or between one class and another. will be a negation of toleration if a person is granted freedom of thought and worship but is not admitted to those privileges to which his fellow-beings are, or rather it he is subjected to such gross inequalities as have often been experienced by the people of the countries under foreign domination. It was toleration in the widest and not limited sense that the Muslim rulers of India granted to their subjects of all They enjoined not only classes and creeds. liberty of thought and freedom of worship, but also admitted them to all powers and privileges

which they themselves enjoyed. They behaved as Indian national kings, completely identified themselves with the interests of their subjects and worked for their social, cultural and economic amelioration. Under them the Hindus enjoyed co-equal status with the sons of the ruling race and occupied the highest offices in the State next only to that of the king.

No foreign government, however powerful and organized, can last long if it Intolerance outrages the religious sentiments Impossible. of the subject people and deprives them of all those powers and privileges which the members of the ruling race themselves enjoy. Toleration in its widest sense is the sine qua non of its permanence and perpetuation, The successful domination of India by Musalmans for so many centuries testifies to the fact that universal toleration in its widest sense was a general rule, which they strictly observed. For the time being the sons of the soil were deprived of their political freedom; but the Sultans behaved as kings of all the people under their sway and not as kings of Muslims alone. Between them and their Hindū subjects the unifying bond was not one of faith or spiritual unity but one of sovereign and subjects. Sultans were not concerned with the spiritual salvation of their non Muslim subjects - that was a matter to be decided by the Zimmis (non Muslims) themselves. As long as the latter obeyed the Imperial firmans and submitted to the

Imperial authority, the former were quite content and there was nothing to fear from. And, as long as the Sultans did not interfere with the religion of the ruled (Zimmis), the latter were quite happy. The Hindus 'worked, fought and died for the empire' and if 'they were prepared to make so many sacrifices for the perpetuation, of Muslim Rule in India', it must have proved: a blessing for them. For, after all, it was the' Muslims and not the Kshatriyas or Rajpūts who stopped the inroads of the Mughals and rid the people of the tyranny of the Mugaddams. After suffering for centuries at the hands of native tyrants, India was badly in need of peace and anyone who could bring peace to the disturbed land was bound to enlist their active sympathy and support and was fully entitled to their gratitude. Within the empire, we know, the Hindus were quite safe and free to enjoy full freedom of worship and liberty of conscience; they were exempt even from the payment of the Jizia. Outside, all was fair in war according to the accepted traditions of the age and even Muslims were not spared. armies of Turkish and Khilii Sultans werel . composed of Muslims as well as Hindus and in the destruction of temples in Southern India the Hindus had a part. ' In almost all wars Mediæval India ordinary citizens and villagers were not disturbed, so much so that they did not often know so important a news as

^{*} A. M. (Oct.-Dec., 1931) p. 8.

the change of a ruler. The whole fury of the attack fell on the temple not because it was a place of worship and a seat of idols but because it was a repository of India's age-long accumulated wealth. The real object of the invader, it must be remembered, was not the temple but the fabulous wealth it contained and the main motive was mundane -- greed for gold -- , and not religious - propagation of Islam. Had the Hindū temple been as simple and austere as the Muslim mosque, Mahmud of Ghaznīn would not have invaded India time and again, norhad 'Ala-ud-Din detailed his expeditions against the Deccan. Universal toleration within the Sultanat was, therefore, recognized as the only possible policy to be pursued by the Sultan It was not a matter of choice or of free will but of compulsion and dire political necessity. The Muslim monarch might have good grounds for scorning and scoffing at the fanciful laws and even ludicrous principles and practices of his Hindu subjects, but he could not, in his own interest, ridicule them publicly even when they happened to be most inhuman and palpably monstrous.* Moreover, Mediæval

^{*}When in 1291 A. C. Sultan Jalai-ud-Din Khilji ordered the retreat of his army from Ranthambhor, which he failed to storm, his nephew, Malik Ahmad Habib, complained, "If the Emperor returns without conquering Rauthambhor, people's respect for him will decrease..." and the Sultan laughed and said "Do you not see that the Hindus pass every day by my palace, blowing their conches and beating their drums on their

Hinduism was armed and organized and, therefore, had to be tolerated. Why after all, it may be asked, did the Hindus support or least tolerate a centralized Muslim monarchy which, we are taught to believe, outraged their religious sentiments and how was it that a handful of foreigners succeeded in subduing the sons of the soil and unifying the country when the task had proved too much for their II indu predecessors? The answer is self-evident. Apart from all that has been said, we know it for certain that the Sultans treated their Hindu subjects quite as kindly as their co-religionists, for there is not the slightest indication in the political or religious literature of the Hindus of any Hindu national reaction against the Muslim Rule. Not that they were unwilling or had no means to oppose it but because they preferred it much to the tyranny of their Rajpūt rulers. Government of Mediæval India was in fact 'a comradeship between two energetic and militant communities' and the ruler was Muslim because,

way to worship their idols by the bank of the Jumna. They follow the laws of their infidelity before my eyes, despising me and my royal authority... The enemies of the faith—in my capital and before my eyes — live in luxury and splendour and arrogantly pride themselves over the Musalmäns on account of their prosperity and wealth... Shame on me. I leave them in luxury and pride and content myself with the few tānkas I get from them by way of charity." (Tārīkh-i-Firoz Shāhī, p. 216 P. T.) The impotent intolerance was preached but not practised by the Sultān, for his political commonsense was far superior to his theology. A. M. (Oct.-Dec., 1931), pp. 5-6.

with Hinduism divided and sub-divided into castes and sub-castes, the Musalmans were the strongest and most united minority. But the Sultans, whether they liked it or not, had to ascend the throne under conditions which were highly favourable to the Hindus.

Among the charges of intolerance levelled against the Muslim rulers of India, three stand out as the most pre-eminent: (1) destruction of Hindū temples, (2) imposition of Jizīa, and (3) general persecution of the Hindūs. We propose to take up each charge separately and examine it at some length in order to see how far it is true.

The first charge, i.e. the destruction of Hindū temples, is based on two- Destruction fold evidence: (1) some stateof Temples. ments in the contemporary accounts and (2) temple-like appearance of some ancient mosques and the material of temples used in their construction. We take the first part of this twofold evidence: The destruction of temples and places of worship is neither enjoined nor countenanced but emphatically forbidden by Islam. But the prejudice which the early Muslims carried against idolatry was so strong that the subject had become a theme on which they ceaselessly talked and wrote. Thus whenever the contemporary chroniclers refer to the conquest of a country or the capture

of a fort or the suppression of a rebellion, they give full vent to their religious vanity by exaggerating the so-called iconoclastic activities of their Imperial patrons. Their effusions, based on vanity, should not be taken too seriously. Moreover, it was only in the times of war that some clever leaders, in order to achieve their objects in view, took advantage of the prevalent spirit and exploited the religious zeal of their followers by giving their expeditions the colour of religious errands. In peaceful times -"Throughout the extensive Empire of Hindū temples were left in unmolested peace".* The statements of the contemporary chroniclers about the destruction of temples refer only to the times of war and not of peace and the temples destroyed were outside and not within the Sultanat of Delhi. Here it is important to note that in the destruction of the temples of the South even the Hindus had a part.+ To turn to the second part of the evidence: There are some ancient mosques which show the lineaments of Hindū temples and there are others in which the material of Hindu temples seems to have been employed. It is on the basis of this flimsy material that the theory of iconoclasm or intolerance has been built by hostile critics. Leaving aside the fact that such a policy was an impossibility for the Muslim rulers of India, as we have already noted, there is not a scrap

^{*} A. M. (Oct.-Dac, 1931), p. 4.

[†] Ibid., p. 8.

of evidence to show that the material used in the construction of the mosques is that torn from the temples situated within the Sultanat and destroyed during the days of peace. How are we then to account for the signs of Hindu temples in some of the ancient mosques and the material of temples used in others? There are four probable explanations: (1) The material used in the mosques belonged to the temples destroyed in the times of war. (2) The temples deserted and not claimed by the Hindus were made use of by Musalmans and converted into mosques. (3) We know of a number of wholesale conversions-a Raja embracing Islam and his subjects following his suit. In such cases, it is reasonable to believe, the new converts converted their temples into mosques. (4) Finally, if some ancient mosques show the features of Hindu temples, it is because the Hindus who changed their religion but not their likes and dislikes, built their mosques according to their tastes.

Next we turn to the Jizia, against which so much malicious propaganda has been carried on and about which so much misunderstanding has arisen that the tax has almost lost its true significance and has come to mean a punishment for unbelief and a forceful means of propagating Islām and winning converts. In order to show its true significance and to explain its real aim and object, it is necessary to point out at the outset that it

was not an oppressive tax and was never intended to be a burden on the Zimmis (non Muslims). It was levied on able-bodied men for the protection of their person and property in lieu of military service at the rate of about Rs. 6/- per head per annum. Women, children, insane, invalid and illiterate persons, lunatics, slaves, those serving in the army and those having no property were exempt from it. That it was not a tax on the free exercise of religion, as is often supposed, is evident from the fact that priests, preachers and religious preceptors were outside the sphere of its influence. The view that it was levied only on the Zimmis and hence it was a punishment for their unbelief is ill-conceived, for even the believers (Muslims) had to pay a similar tax under a different name, Zahāt, from which the Zimmīs were exempt. Thus while the Hindūs and other non Muslims had to pay the Jizia, the Muslims had to pay the Zakāt. There is no point in associating 'inferiority complex' with this tax, for it sought to draw no discrimination between the rulers and the ruled. The notion that the Jisia was imposed to accelerate conversions is equally ill-founded; for those who were able to part with a petty amount for the protection of their person and property but who evaded payment by renouncing their religion and accepting Islam had to pay a greater amount in the form of Zahāt on becoming Muslim. All that has been said is to remove the mass of misunderstanding that has grown

round the tax. As to the extent to which it was levied in Muslim India, it must be remembered that for the most part it was not levied at all and whenever it was levied — such cases are rare—it was levied for financial reasons and was not collected rigidly or recklessly.

"Three or four cases of attempted oppression, to which our historians 3. Oppression. refer, prove, rather than disprove, the general law" - toleration. The fables and fabrications such as prohibition of Hindū fairs and festivals, parties and processions, ablutions and worship etc. have sprung up from a false reading and misrepresentation of the original sources and are based on bazar gossips or hearsay evidences.* Champions of Hindu-Muslim enmity and communal disorders have taken certain obscure passages from the accounts of the contemporary chroniclers and forced on them interpretations which the texts do not bear and meaning which their authors never meant to be conveyed. For instance, a passage is taken from Barnī's account and is construed to mean that 'Ala-ud-Din Khilji persecuted the

^{*} There are a number of obscure words, terms and passages in the contemporary accounts. These must be fully guarded against, for implicit reliance on them has resulted in gross misrepresentation of facts. Apart from this, the evidence of the contemporary writers, which is often conflicting and contradictory, must be carefully sifted before forming and expressing an opinion about an individual or an event.

Hindus by depriving them of their wealth and ordering them not to wear silk apparel, ride horses or shoot their arrows from Persian bows.* Before taking up the passage and showing its correct meaning, it must be pointed out that 'Ala-ud-Din had withdrawn all those lands which had been granted by his predecessors to Musalmans by way of Jagirs, Wagfs (endowments), Inams (rewards) etc. because they had not only deprived the State of a vast amount of income but had also become a great source of nuisance to the Government. After dealing with the Muslim Mugta's (land-holders), Alā-ud-Dīn turned towards the Hindū landlords, variously called Muqaddams, Khots and Bilalirs, who had received lands from the State on the condition of paying stipulated revenue to the Imperial Exchequer. They collected the revenue on behalf of the Government and for this work they were granted some special concessions. In addition to this remuneration, they were also allowed to hold lands

^{*} Barni's account contains a number of obscure words and passages, which have been fully exploited by hostile critics and used against 'Ala-ud-Din. It should be studied with great caution.

[†] Muqaddam means first man and may be described as head-man. Khot is perhaps the HindI-ised form of Persian Khat or deed and may be described as the man who executed a deed in favour of the revenue officer to the effect that he would be responsible for the collection of land tax from his village or locality. The real meaning and significance of the word Bilāhir are shrouded in obscurity and I have not yet been able to ascertain them.

and pastures for their own use. Taking advantage of their knowledge of local conditions and the weakness of the Visārat Department as well as of their power and influence, they misappropriated a large portion of the State dues and grew fat at the expense of poor cultivators. As if this was not enough, they ignored the orders of the Central Government. Their mal-practices could not escape the ever-vigilant eyes of the Sultan who soon complained: "the Khots and Muqaddams ride on good horses, dress themselves daintily; use Persian bows, busy themselves in hunting or fight among themselves. They do not pay either Khirāj or Jizīa, house or grazing taxes, and (besides) they charge their dues of collecting revenuc-They hold drinking and convivial parties. Whether called or uncalled, they do not come to the Diwan (Revenue Office) and completely disregard the revenue officials."* Even after making full allowance for the extravagant style and inflated language of Barnī, the truth cannot escape recognition that the complaint was reasonable A king, who did not spare even his own co-religionists and never hesitated to deprive them of their peculiar privileges, cannot be expected to have left the corrupt Muqaddams and Khots, "who not only denuded the Treasury of a big amount of its income but whose mutual quarrels were responsible

^{*} Tārikh-i-Firozshāhi. p. 291; and Ferishta, Vol. I, p. 109. Also see A. M. (Oct.-Dec. 1931), p. 5, f. n.

for some political disorders also", in full enjoyment of their time-honoured concessions to which they were little entitled. The fact is: 'Neither the Muslims nor the Hindus were allowed to enjoy any special privileges in the matter of Kharaj'. It was essential to deal with both of them and the Sultan dealt with both without fear or favour. Having dealt with the Muslims, he turned to the Hindus (Muqaddams etc.) and reduced them to the position of farmers by (1) abolishing their perquisites called Hugnq-i-Khoti, i. e. 'the percentage of revenue they used to keep for themselves as payment for work' and appointing agents (Nawābs) of the Revenue Office (Diwan). for the collection of revenues in future; and (2) directing all land to be taxed 'in proportion to its extent' and ordering that 'the burden of the strong was not to be thrown on the weak'. This double-edged sword hit the headmen hard and referring to it Barnī says, with his usual extravagance, that 'the Hindu' was reduced to such straits that he could not ride on horseback, wear silk apparel or shoot his arrows from a Persian bow.* This passage, when read without reference to the context, is capable of a different interpretation, but when read side by

[&]quot;A Hindu general, Malik Näik, commanded the right wing of 'Alā-ud-Dīn's army and the Rāna of Chittor led his vanguard with five thousand men. There are a number of such examples. Could members of a community not allowed to ride horses and wear arms have occupied these positions?" See A. M. (Oct.-Dec.,) 1931.

side with the rest of the text and in the light of other reforms of 'Alā-ud-Dīn, it leads to the only conclusion that by 'the Hindu' Barnī means none other than the headmen and not all ! Hindus: He means those against whom the double-edged sword was directed and whom ho himself describes as Mugaddams, Khots and Bilāhirs, who were grossly corrupt. Moreover, Barnī does not say that they were orderd not to ride horses, wear silk apparel or shoot their arrows from Persian bows. The most that he says is that as a result of the reform they could not afford to do so. In the first place, it must be remembered that Barni's language is too extravagant and too full of exaggeration; and secondly, though most of the Mugaddams etc. were Hindus, there is no doubt that many of them were Muslims as well*. Alā-ud-Dīn's drive was against corruption and against the time-honoured privileges, enjoyed whether by the Hindus or by the Muslims; for did he not deprive the latter of their vested rights? Why then give the question a religious colour?

Barnī has also unjustly criticized 'Alā-ud-Dīn's policy of raising the scale of taxation to the maximum limit. Later historians have

^{*}A.M. (Oct.-Dec., 1931). Firoz Shah Tughluq reverted to the old policy and as a result of the changes introduced by him the Hindus (Khots and Muqaddams) gained enormously and grew wealthy more than ever. (See Tārikh-i-Firozshāhi by Afif, pp. 98-99; and Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, pp. 289—90).

followed him and exhausted their eloquence in trying to prove that the Sultan was unduly harsh on his Hindū subjects. Personally, 'Alaud-Din was neither vainly extravagant nor reckless in any respect, nor was he moved by any morbid motive or sordid love of amassing wealth. The defence of the country against the recurring Mughal invasions, the maintenance of law and order and the conquest of Southern India must have put a heavy drain on the Treasury, which required to be replenished before everything else. Taxation was the only remedy and the land-holders cultivators, merchants and traders of both the communities had to bear the burden. Since in those days land revenue was the main source of State income, it was the landlords who bore the greatest burden and as most of the landlords were Hindus. though there is no doubt that many of them were Muslims also, hostile critics have again given the question a religious colour and tried to show that the object of 'Ala-ud-Din was to cripple the Hindus as such. The extravagant language and superficial observations of Barni form the foundation of such false allegations. The truth is that all classes of people bore the burden, but the Hindus probably felt it more keenly than all others. "In the first place", says a fair-minded Hindū scholar, "it was a mere accident, and in the second it was quite legitimate; for, strictly speaking, the Khots and the Mugaddams had no right to any thing else beyond their share or commission

collection.* The fact that the Jizia was not levied from the Hindus who were directly under the sway of the Sultan is highly significant in that it shows the attitude of the Muslim ruler towards his Hindu subjects.†

Again, it is on Barnī's evidence that Dr. Smith and others of his School have based a charge of 'madness' against Muhammad Tughluq and poured the venom of their wrath on him. This is not the place to deal with the subject! in detail and to reveal the absurdity of the charge, but in fairness to history it must be pointed out here that Barnī "was bitterly prejudiced against the Sultān" and hence his strictures against him in his inflated language. Taking their cue from him and accepting his remarks at their face value, these modern writers have thrown so much mud on Muhammad Tughlug that it has become almost impossible to appreciate his real character. Far from substantiating the charge, there is nothing in the accounts of Ibn Batūtas and other contemporary chroniclers even to warrant the applicability of the charge, which figures prominently in the works of most of the

^{*} Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, p. 266.

[†] Ibid., p. 267.

[‡] A detailed discussion on the subject will appear in my Mediæval India Under Muslim Kings.

[§] He was a Moorish traveller who visited India during the reign of Muhammad Tughluq.

so called historians of India. Mr. G. Brown's unbiassed appreciation of Muhammad Tughluq's character and work is so very near the truth that it must be reproduced in his own words:—

"That he was mad is a view of which the contemporaries give no hint; that he was a visionary, his many sided, practical and vigorous character forbids us to believe. To call him a despot may be true, but no other form of government was conceivable in the Middle Ages: to use the term as though it were the name of a vice or a disease is to ignore the fact that a despotic prince who is accessible to new ideas or who embarks on measures of reform can do much to advance the prosperity of his people in an age when education is but little advanced and conservatism deeply rooted. Such a ruler, however, has in his own time serious difficulties to face: the inevitable disturbance of vested interests, the innate preference for established custom, raise up for him numerous enemies, officials carrying out unpopular reforms shelter themselves beneath the plea of the master's orders: should unmerited disaster befall his schemes, should corrupt or incompetent officials pervert their ends, it is he - because he is a despot - who must bear the blame: if he has been a warrior and Death finds him when engaged on some small campaign - like Muhammad bin Tughluq beneath the walls of Thatta - the judgment of Heaven is cited to confirm the popular verdict, and

literature records :-

"He left a name at which the world grew pale To point a moral or adorn the tale."*

The charge of blood-thirstiness is equally ludicrous and betrays lack of sound judgment. There is no doubt that Muhammad Tughluq inflicted severe punishments on evil-doors, but we must remember that punishments were always severe in those times, both in Asia and Europe. Moreover, it is an admitted fact that he had an innate love for justice. His own unqualified submission to the judgments of law courts against him amply illustrates his respect for law.† A king who himself abided by law would naturally expect others to obey it. It is the height of injustice to say that he found pleasure in the destruction of human species and organized man Since he did not allow himself to hunts. be dominated by the clerical party, he became a prey to their denunciations.1

^o Compare this searching and penetrating analysis of Muhammad Tughluq's character and work with the superficial observations of Smith (Oxford History of India, pp. 237 ff.), Elphinstone (History of India, pp. 347 ff.) and Edward Thomas (The Chronicles, pp. 202 03).

[†] How he bowed to the decisions of the Quzī (Judge) against him may be read in the pages of Ibn Batūta (Travels, pp. 202-3).

[†] See History of Mediaval India, pp. 295 ff, and History of Qarauna Turks by Ishwari Prasad.

Accusations against other Muslim rulers are based either on the misconception of the Jizia or on some obscure passages such as those of Barni or on the prejudice of those who have raised them and cannot be but false.

Independent Muslim rulers of the outlying provinces followed the same policy of toleration — no other policy was possible. They granted full freedom of worship and independence of thought to all of their subjects. Hindus were taken into confidence, employed in the service of the State and admitted to all powers and privileges, regardless of religious differences.

Turning next to the Mughal Period we find that almost all the rulers of the house of Bābar were singularly free from fanatic zeal. They treated their subjects — Muslims, Hindūs, Sikhs and all others—with justice, respected their religious susceptibilities and granted them full freedom of worship and liberty of conscience. The only king of that famous house who is alleged to have behaved as a bigot and to have persecuted the Zimmis (non Muslims), particularly the Hindūs, was Aurangzeb.

In his case, the dismissal of certain Hindū clerks and the destruction of False Charges some Hindū temples, the revival of the Jizīa and the propagation of Islām by force—forming the foundation of his 'fanaticism' and 'intolerance'—

stand out prominently in the charge-sheet against him. These charges, levelled against him with whatever intentions, are false and are calculated only to widen the gulf between the Hindus and the Musalmans of India. Aurangzeb, a just king whose sense of justice is not only admitted but admired by the worst of his critics, cannot be said to have persecuted his Hindū and other non Muslim subjects and to have dug his own grave by alienating their sympathies and support. The truth is that he treated all his subjects fairly and equitably, employed them in the service of the State without any restrictions of rank, race or religion, rewarded their services without stint and entrusted them with great powers and responsibilities; but when they betrayed him again and again in spite of his repeated warnings and pardons, he did not spare them even if they happened to be his nearest relatives. The treatment he meted out to his father brothers bears eloquent testimony to his sense of justice and devotion to duty. If he dismissed a certain number of Hindu clerks in the service of the State, it was because they were corrupt and incorrigible;* and if he punished some Hindus, it was because they were found guilty of serious offences. It should be noted that even his own co-religionists, nay, even his own kith and kin, could not escape punishment if found guilty of an offence. Time and again

^{*} See The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb pp. 294-95.

officers like Mahārāja Jaswant Singh were found in league with the enemies of the Emperor and yet we find hundreds of Hindus holding high positions in the State. To give the question a religious colour is calculated to create communal disorders by putting the sister communities of India at logger-heads and allowing them no respite to patch up their differences. The destruction of temples, like the dismissal of Hindū clerks, is another false charge against that Great Mughal. This subject is too large to be discussed in detail at the short space at our disposal.* Suffice it to say here that the destruction of temples followed the demolition of mosques and other gross outrages committed by the Hindus, and that only those temples were destroyed that had been built on the sites of mosques, or those that had been newly built without permission, or those that had become breeding-grounds of sedition and political intrigue, otherwise Imperial Firmans issued from time to time for the protection of all places of worship (Hindū temples being no exception) have come down to us to testify to the tolerance of that much-maligned monarch. † As regards Jizia, it should be noted that it was not revived by Aurangzeb till 1679 A.C. The fact that he did not impose it for so many

^{*} For details, see The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, pp. 295 ff.

[†] For these Firmans, see The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, pp. 296-98.

years during his reign clearly shows that he understood the current politics of the country and was not inclined to revive it. But for some serious considerations, both political and financial, he would have continued that policy. The Jizia was not revived to compel the Hindus to become Muslims, as is often alleged by the critics. Since it was a petty tax, it could be easily paid by everyone from whom it was due. If, therefore, those who were able to part with this petty amount as a price for the protection of their person and property, escaped payment by renouncing their religion and embracing Islam, the fault lay with them and not with Islam or Aurangzeb. But it must be remembered, as already noted, that even by becoming Muslims they could not evade or escape payment, for in that case they had to pay a greater amount in the form of Zakāt leviable only from the Musalmans. The last item on the charge-sheet against Aurangzeb is that he propagated Islām by force. In the first place, we cannot suppose a king well-acquainted with the laws of Islam, which preaches universal peace, enjoins religious tolerance and positively forbids the propagation of faith by force, to have compelled the Zimmis to embrace Islam; and secondly, there is no direct reliable historical evidence to bear out the veracity of this version. Speaking of some cases of conversion in the Punjab, Sir Thomas Arnold says, "It should be noted that the only authority for these forced conversions is family

or local tradition and no mention of such is made in the historical accounts of Aurangzeb's reign".* Since it has been taught and taken to be a gospel truth, though it is far from it. that Islam was propagated in India at the point of the sword, Aurangzeb's well-known zeal for his faith has furnished a plausible ground for the erroneous belief of some families of Northern India, the history of whose conversion is so forgotton that it cannot now be traced, that they had been converted to Islam by force-"the most easily assignable cause" Thomas Arnold rightly remarks, And, then, local or family traditions, unsupported reliable historical evidence, are not only unsafe but actually dangerous guides in the realm of sober history. On the other hand, we have sufficient evidence at our disposal to show that hundreds and thousands of Hindus embraced Islam during the Mughal Period of their own free will and became active missionaries of their new faith. "When Shah Jahan was returning to Lahore from Kashmīr", says the author of the Tazkirat - us-Salātīn-i - Chaghtāīya, Raja of Juggaur was honoured with an audience. and he, with his four thousand followers, became a Muslim",† We have also instances of wellknown persons embracing Islam during the reign of Aurangzeb.‡ For the rest, the same

^{*} See The Preaching of Islam, p. 269.

[†] Vide Aurangzeb And His Times, p. 188.

[‡] Ibid , p. 180.

forces were at work for the spread of Islam during the Mughal Period as had been at work during the early Muslim Period: The part played by Muslim saints and savants, the real missionaries of Islam whose faith-inspiring deeds and teachings were better and surer methods of making converts than the use of force for the purpose, has not been fully or even partially appreciated. At one time, we learn, the Raja of Kishtwar (in Kashmir) was converted to Islām by Shaikh Farīd-ud-Dīn and as an effect conversion vast majority his a his subjects followed his example and accepted Islām as their religion.* It was in this way that wholesale conversions were effected.

There is no doubt that the resources of the State were used in aid of the missionary propaganda of the dominant authority, but this was not peculiar to the Muslim rulers of India. In the past, when religion and State were inseparably connected with each other, power in the saddle always encouraged the propagation of the State religion and spent huge sums of money in this cause. the best of the Buddhist monarchs like Asoka the Pious freely expended the income the State derived from the entire population in the cause of Buddhism. Asoka's active discouragement of Hinduism and the pagation of his faith is the best case in

^{*} Ibid., p. 186, and The Preaching of Islam.

point.* Even in our own times we see the money collected from the conquered people being freely spent in the propagation of the religion of the ruling race. Like other religious enthusiasts, Aurangzeb too patronized his proselytes and encouraged the peaceful propagation of his faith. Strangely enough, the misdeeds of the zealots of other religions have provided no ground for their condemnation, but the acts of Aurangzeb have been misconstrued, criticized and condemned, despite the fact that they were the inevitable outcome of the system in vogue since the very dawn of history. Some of the tributes paid to the tolerance of that Great Mughal may appropriately be reproduced here:

"In an interesting collection of Aurangzeb's orders and despatches as yet unpublished", says Sir Thomas Arnold, "we find him laying down what may be termed the supreme law of toleration for the ruler of people of another faith...Government posts ought to be bestowed according to ability and from no other consideration."

That this 'supreme law of toleration' was put into practice and followed by Aurangzeb is testified to by Alexander Hamilton, who visited

^{*} Early History of India, pp. 176-77; Causes of the Dismemberment of the Maurya Empire, in J. A. S. B. (May, 1910), pp 259 ff.; and Aurangzeb And His Times, p. 189.

[†] See The Preaching of Islam, p. 214.

India towards the close of his reign, in the following words:—

"The religion of Bengal by law established is Mahometan, yet for one Mahometan there are above an hundred pagans, and the public offices and posts of trust are filled with men of both persuations."* "Everyone is", says the same authority, "free to serve and worship God in his own way. And persecutions for religion's sake are not known among them".†

The above discussion boils down to this that the Muslim rulers of India were quite tolerant - more tolerant towards their Hindū subjects, on whose active co-operation and support they depended every moment, than their own Hindu rulers-, and that it was in fact the policy of universal toleration in its widest sense that made their rule not only possible but also popular in India. Nothing like the Massacre of St. Bartholomew's Day took place during the Muslim Rule and we do not read of any 'Inquisition' or 'Five Mile Act', or 'Corporation Act' or Act of Uniformity in the contemporary chronicles. The notions propagated by the enemies of Islam that the Muslim kings of India persecuted the Hindus are wholly unfounded and betray only their own bigotry and expose only their own intolerance.

^{*} A New Account of the East Indies, Vol. II. p. 14.

[†] Ibid., pp. 157-63.

·CHAPTER IV

EDUCATION

No Mediæval Government had a regular department of public instruction. Introductory. but Muslim India of the Middle Ages could justly boast of possessing one which looked after religious as well as educational Hardly was there a Muslim prince institutions. of importance whose name is not connected with the opening and endowing of a school or a college . in his kingdom. Muslim kings, as took a living interest in the education of their subjects. They founded schools and colleges and established libraries and literary societies in various parts of their dominions and sought to supplement their educational work by liberally patronizing learned men and raising them to affluence so that they might be able to devote to their studies all their time and attention undisturbed by other worries. At times the machinery of education was thrown out of gear, but such times were very rare; for educational institutions, when founded, were endowed with enough to make them self-supporting, capable maintaining themselves at all times without outside help. It was perhaps only during the days of acute unrest occasioned by external invasions or internal disorders that the sacred

cause of education suffered to a certain extent. Barring out a few such cases, however, education made mighty strides during the Muslim Period, so much so in fact that Muslim universities of Mediæval India were thronged by thousands of students and professors had often hundreds of hearers.

Apart from houses, where individual instructors imparting education received Universites. remuneration from their pupils Madrasas in the form of personal service, & Maktabs. the principal types of educational were universities, Madrasas and institutions While there were universities in Maktabs.* capital cities and Maktabs and Madrasas in smaller towns, no village was without a mosque and no mosque was without a Maktab and a modest library. Mosques were not restricted to ; Ibādat or divine worship alone, but were also/ used as lecture-halls and places of instruction. Khāngāhs or shrines of Muslims saints, which were scattered all over the country, also played a similar part in the dissemination of knowledge, both spiritual and secular. This will give some idea of the extent of education that was then imparted.

Maktabs and Madrasas may roughly be likened to our present-day primary or middle schools and high schools or intermediate colleges respectively, though in many cases a Madrasa was little short of a full-fledged college of these days.

[†] Vide Education in Muslim India p. 32.

How the method of teaching was reformed under Akbar the Great is thus described in the Ain-i-Akbari:—

"In every country, especially in Hindustan, boys are kept for years at school, Method of where they learn the consonants Teaching. and vowels. A great portion of the life of the students is wasted by making them read many books. His Majesty orders that every schoolboy should first learn to write the letters of the alphabet, and also learn to trace their several forms. He ought to learn the shape and name of each letter. which may be done in two days, when the boy should proceed to write the joined letters. They may be practised for a week, after which the boy should learn some prose and poetry by heart, and then commit to memory some verses to the praise of God, or moral sentences, each written separately. Care is to be taken that he learns to understand everything himself, but the teacher may assist him a little. He then ought for some time to be daily practised in writing a hemistich or a verse, and will soon acquire a current hand. The teacher ought specially to look after five things: knowledge of the letters, meanings of words, the hemistich, the verse, the former lesson. If this method of teaching be adopted a boy will learn in a month, or even in a day, what it took others years to ' understand, so much so that people will get quite astonished. Every boy ought to read

books on morals, arithmetic, the notation peculiar to arithmetic, agriculture, mensuration, geometry, astronomy, physiognomy, household matters, the rules of government, medicine, logic, the tabi', riyāzī and ilāhī sciences and history, all of which may be gradually acquired. In studying Sanskrit, students ought to learn the Bayakaran, Niyāi, Bedanta and Patanjal. No one should be allowed to neglect those things which the present time requires. These regulations shed a new light on schools, and cast a bright lustre over Madrasahs."*

Arabic, the language of the Qur-ān, was a compulsory subject in almost all schools and colleges and Persian, the language of the Court, was the medium of instruction. In the Maktabs the curriculum comprised learning by rote the sections of the Qur-ān necessary for the five compulsory prayers, the pratice of reading and writing the alphabet and other lessons on wooden boards called Takhtīs, and the reading of the primer as well as some Persian books such as Sa'dī's Karīmā and Attar's Pandnāma; in the

[&]quot;The tabi', riyāzī and ilālī sciences are the names of the threefold divisions of sciences. Ilālī or divine sciences comprise everything connected with theology and the means of acquiring a knowledge of God. Riyāzī sciences treat of quantity, and comprise mathematics, astronomy, music, and mechanics. Tabi' sciences comprehend physical sciences." (See Ain 25 of the Ain-i-Akbarī; and Education in Muslim India, pp. 20, 90-91.)

Madrasas it included the art of administration. arithmetic, algebra, astronomy, geometry, accounts, agriculture, economics, medicine, physics, philosophy, law. ritual. poetry and prose. Though the curriculum was sufficiently comprehensive and liberal, yet it is to be noted that every Madrasa did not always undertake to make provision for all the subjects enumerated above. Much depended upon the staff and the principal of each individual institution; for we know that there were regular movements of eager students from place to place in search of teachers who had specialized in certain subjects. The inclusion of the art of administration in the curriculum is significant in that it shows that the subject was not exclusively reserved for the princes of the royal blood, as emphasized in the Arthashastras, but was taught to the delegate governors and even to the governed for their association with every department of the Government.*

The aim of education imparted was to bring out the latent faculties of Aims and students, to discipline the forces of their intellect and to develop their character: to equip them with all that was required for their material as well as moral improvement. Education was regarded as a preparation for life and for life after death, and hence it was that religion was

^{*} Vide Education in Muslim India, pp. 22 ff.

at the root of all study. In every Madrasa there was a mosque and every mosque had a Maktab and a library attached to it, so that religious education and secular instruction might go hand in hand. Discipline was rigorously maintained in all educational institutions and every teacher was bound to be careful about the morals and manners of his students. He was expected to see that his students were regular in the performance of their daily prayers and it was his duty to educate them in the science of social manners, such as Adab or respect for elders and Khulq or courtesy towards all others. Special importance was attached to the teaching of the Qur-ān with meaning — a great education in itself -, for the simple reason that it tended to make students politically democratic, socially amiable, religiously tolerant and morally strong.*

Teachers were held in high esteem and a special dignity attached to their profession. Judges, ministers of religion etc. were taken from their class. There existed most cordial relations between the teachers and the taught. Since most of the institutions were residential, they lived together in constant intellectual communion and profited by one another's company. The monitorial system

^{*} Present-day Problems of Indian Education, pp. 26-27; and Education in Muslim India, pp. 27-28.

was in vogue and was a great source of help to the teacher. As a rule, education was imparted .. free: No tuition fee was charged and often students were provided with board and lodging free. Most of the institutions were either Statestarted or State-aided, but there were others which owed their origin and existence to voluntary efforts. Salaried teachers and paid professors were employed in State schools and . colleges. Vast endowments were created and . large estates were set apart for their maintenance. In the schools that were held in private houses the teachers, as a point of honour, taught their pupils without receiving anything except personal service. The system of examination was very simple The teacher-in-charge himself conducted the examination of his class and promoted the successful students to the next higher step in the ladder of education. Apart from Sanads or certificates and stipends or scholarships, Tanghas or medals were awarded to the more brilliant alumni in proportion to their merits. Truants and delinquents were punished physically, and not fined. Though the use of Kora and cane was common, the teachers could punish their students in any other way which their ingenuity might devise.*

^{*}See Education in Muslim India, pp. 1 ff.; Ferishta (Briggs), Vol. IV, p. 365; Elliot, Vol. VI, pp. 487 ff.; Promotion of Learning in India, pp. 99 ff.; and The Imperial Gazetteer of India, Vol. IV, p. 409.

Before the advent of Islam in India education was the monopoly of the How Muslim Brahmans who, for reasons of Kings encoutheir own, refused to impart it to raged educathe masses.* But under the tion among their Hindu Muslim rulers of India, whose subjects. religion recognized no barriers of rank or race in the acquisition of knowledge and whose Prophet placed immense emphasis on intellectual enfranchisement, it became the birth-right of every citizen, Muslim as well as Hindū, irrespective of sect - an achievement of which Muslim India might legitimately feel proud. For, after all, it was during the Muslim Period that the Hindus, who had hitherto been deprived of the in-

tellectual feast, began to drink deep at the

^{*} According to the caste system, knowledge was an exclusive monopoly of the Brahmans. Here knowledge means spiritual knowledge or sacred lore to which none but they could have free access. Though against this there were some reactions, yet we are not sure of their results. One thing is nevertheless certain: When Musalmans appeared in India they found the state of affairs. I am not unaware of the universities of Nalanda, Taxila etc., but my point is that mass education was unknown to India even during the best days of Hindu ascendancy. The famous universities just named were not open to all, admission being restricted to a very limited number. Referring to them, Professor K. T. Shah says. "Students were not admitted until they had proved themselves men of parts and well-read in books, old and new, by hard public discussion; and of ten candidates for admission, seven or eight were rejected." (The Splendour That Was 'Ind , p. 111).

fountains of education side by side with the sons of the ruling race without any restrictions of rank, race or religion. The doors of all educational institutions were thrown open to them and often their own national and religious books were included in their courses of study. Muslim kings also encouraged education among their Hindū subjects by granting Jāgūrs to deserving teachers, by making liberal allowances to and creating endowments for temples associated with their educational institutions and by ordering translation of Sanskrit books into Arabic and Persian and patronizing Sanskrit literature as a whole.*

The Hindus fully availed themselves of the liberal policy of their Muslim Evolution of rulers. They took very kindly Urdū language to Persian and studied it quite as eagerly as they now study English language and literature. One of the great results of this mutually reciprocal understanding and intercourse, facilitated by the liberal educational policy of Muslim Kings, was the creation of a new language, Urdu - the off-spring of Persian and Hindi - , which in course of time superseded its parents and became the lingua franca of Northern India. The view that it is a language foreign to the soil and that it must be got rid of on that score is wholly erroneous and utter ignorance of Indo-Islāmic betravs an

^{*} Education in Muslim India, p. 15; and Presentday Problems of Indian Education, p. 24.

cultural history. The real place of Urdū in the culture of the country is admirably set forth by a fair-minded Hindū scholar in the following words:—

"Almost every work in Indo-Persian literature contains a large number of words of Indian origin, and thousands of Persian words became naturalized in every Indian vernacular language. The mingling of Persian, Arabic and Turkish words and ideas with languages and concepts of Sanskritic origin is extremely interesting from the philological point of view, and this co-ordination of knowns and unknowns resulted in the origin of the beautiful Urdū language. That language in itself symbolized the reconciliation of the hitherto irreconcilable and mutually hostile types of civilization represented by Hindūism and Islām."*

The language, developed by the combined efforts of both Hindus and Muslims, can now boast of a fairly wide and varied literature of its own both in poetry and prose.† It is a common

See J. R. S. A. (January, 1936, p. 232.

^{†&}quot;I have always looked upon Urdū both as a language and as a medium of culture—as a common heritage of both the communities (Hindūs and Muslims)"—Rt. Hon. Sir Tej Bahadur Sapru.

[&]quot;Urdū is a language of polite intercourse. It is a heritage to whose present-day vitality and richness both Hindūs and Mūslims have contributed"—Hon. Sir Girja Shankar Bajpai,

heritage of both the communities and it would be equally unfair for either to subject it to a step-motherly treatment. It is highly gratifying to note a growing tendency in favour of Urdū and the day is not far when it will be unanimously adopted as a lingua franca for the whole of India. Once India has achieved linguistic unity, unification in other directions will be rendered much easier.

There existed separate Maktabs for the education of girls, but usually Female they received their education in Education. the same schools where the boys did, of course, up to the primary standard when sexual consciousness had not yet awakened, and after that they were segregated from them and given their education either privately or in the schools specially provided for them. The daughters of the rich were given higher education in their own houses by learned ladies or old . men of tried merits employed for the purpose. After acquiring primary education in the Maktabs meant for them or at home, the girls were put under the tuition of some elderly ladies of proved piety for instruction in domesftic science, i.e., cooking, spinning, sewing and J looking after the young. Proper arrangements were made for the instruction of girls in household duties and the subject loomed largely in the curriculum designed for them+

[†] Vide Education in Muslim India, pp. 187-98; and Present day Problems of Indian Education, pp. 27-28.

A short reference may also be made to the libraries which sprang up in Libraries. India as a beneficent result of the love of learning of most of its Muslim rulers and became a valuable asset to the sacred cause of education. Muslim kings and other men of means used to collect rich stores of . literature for their own use and build up big libraries which figured prominently among their proud possessions. There were libraries which were thrown open to and availed of by the public in general. How far private collections were accessible to persons other than their owners, we are not in a position to say; but on the strength of information available we can say that in those days every well-to-do lover of: learning had in his own house a modest library which was enough for his requirements and that people used to borrow books from one another and make copies of them in an ornamental hand. for keeping them in their own collections. Unfortunately, however, most of this literary wealth was swept away by the wave of ruin which overtook the country during and after the Mutiny of 1857 A. C., when thousands of books were lost or destroyed or sold at ridiculously low prices by those who happened to possess them as loot. Some of the remnants, when escaped, travelled westward and are treasured in some of the libraries of Europe and others have been preserved by some ancient families and Indian Princes as their heirlooms. "They furnish" says Sir Abdul Qadir, "a

silent but eloquent testimony to the culture of days gone by, when in the absence of modern facilities for the propagation of literature and the multiplication of books, human patience endured great hardships to preserve for posterity the best thoughts of the learned men of antiquity."*

Elsewhere in this book we shall have the occasion to refer to the first Literaty literary society founded Sociaties. Prince Muhammad, the eldest son of Sultan Ghivas-ud-Din Balban, Suffice it to say here that his example was followed by many of the nobles and others and the result was that numerous similar societies came into existence like mushrooms in various parts of the kingdom and became an important aid to the advancement of education in India.+

In passing we may also point out that it was the Musalmans who brought paper into India about the tenth century A. C. and popularized its use there. Paper was first introduced into India from Samarqand, where there was a big manufactory of it, and a number of factories were set up in India, the chief being at Sialkot. This was indeed one of the most material contributions made by Musalmans

^{*}See J. R. S. A. (January, 1936), pp. 239-40.

[†] Education in Muslim India, pp. 42-43 and 283.

to the progress of education in India.*

Nor was literary learning alone cultivated and encouraged. Technical edu-Technical cation was given in Kārkhānas Education or workshops through the system of apprenticeship. The boys who did not attend a Maktab or a Madrasa were sent to these workshops for receiving necessary training in arts and crafts. The trading classes maintained their own schools for the instruction of their children in the rudiments of the three R's and made suitable arrangements for the promotion of their knowledge in business and accounts. Such schools have survived even to our own times. The fact that arts and crafts, industries and commerce flourished abundantly in Muslim India points to the existence of a good system of technical education.†

In short, education - both cultural and technical -, was systematically Musalmans' diffused during the Muslim contributions Period of Indian history. Such toIndian educational efflorescence is sure educational thought and to present a very large selection practice. of ideas to a modern educationist himself with the educational who concerns reconstruction now contemplated. Discipline and devotion to learning, social life which and devotion

^{*} See Education in Muslim India, pp. 139-40

[†] Ibid., pp. 199 ff.; and I. G. I., Vol. IV, p. 436.

fosters fellow-feelings and buttresses the bonds of brotherhood, a liberal and comprehensive curriculum with a suitable standard of classwork, spiritual atmosphere in schools and colleges, co-education up to the primary standard when sexual consciousness has not yet awakened, the principle that the poor should be educated free and that the doors of all educational institutions should be flung open to all and sundry indiscriminately, that education is a preparation for life and for life after death, the injunction that 'no one should be allowed to neglect those things which the present time requires',* co-ordination of education imparted and the profession to be followed after completing a course of study, and 'a broad humanism'† in which general knowledge, i. c., knowledge of all the important affairs of the world, occupies a most important place are some of the striking

^{*} For this as well as other regulations issued by Emperor Akbar with a view to reform the existing system of education, vide Education in Muslim India, pp. 86 ff.

[†] See Aurangzeb's theory of education in my Education in Muslim India, pp. 176 ff., and Bernier's Travels, pp. 154-61. It is embodied in the reproach he is said to have administered to his old teacher who had taught him rules of grammar etc., but failed to give him the liberal education he later felt necessary for himself and others. It emphasized, inter alia, the importance of general knowledge; familiarity with the languages of the neighbouring nations, acquaintance with the conspicuous features of every nation of the world;

features of the system of education in vogue in Muslim India. They appear to be the most essential ingredients of good liberal edu-Regarded as ideals of education, they are a most valuable and welcome contribution to the enrichment of Indian educational thought and practice, and their importance is to be found in the fact that they produced great scholars, savants and seekers after truth, whose output on the intellectual side has few parallels in the whole history of Indian education.*

its resources and strength, its mode of warfare, its manners, religion, form of government and wherein its interests principally consist; with the origin of states: their progress and decline, the events, accidents or errors owing to which such great changes and mighty revolutions have been effected; with the reciprocal duties between the sovereign and his subjects, the art of war, of besieging a town or drawing an army; co-ordination of education imparted and the vocation to be followed after acquiring it, etc. Evidently, Aurangzeb laid stress on what may called practical education. With him education was a preparation for the battle of life and hence the formation of lofty conceptions and the development of such habits of thought and action as are caculated to be conducive to that end are set forth by him in his discourse as essentials of good education. (For a detailed discussion on the theory, see Education in Muslim India, pp. 175 M.

^{*} For a fuller information on the subject, vide Education in Muslim India; Promotion of Learning in India, by N.N. Law; and Ancient Indian Education, by Dr. Keay.

CHAPTER V

ARCHITECTURE

Wherever the Musalmans established their sway, whether in Asia, Africa or Europe, they evinced a great passion for erecting beautiful buildings, capable of perpetuating their names. Under their care and patronage the cultural development of the countries they conquered received a most powerful stimulous and as a natural result of free intercourse between the rulers and the ruled, Islam was able to evolve for itself a new culture, embracing a new style of architecture especially adapted to its social and religious requirements.

The early Muslim rulers of India were too busy with the work of conquest Monuments of to allow them time to indulge in Early Muslim artistic fancy. They therefore Period. adapted their needs to existing architecture. But as soon as thev succeeded in securing their authority, as well as their successors began to devote their minds and money to the construction of magnificent monuments worthy of their fame. Says Sir John Marshall, the greatest living authority on the subject :-

"By the close of the twelfth century, then, the Muslims established their power permanently in India, it was no longer a case of their having to be tutored by their new subjects in the art of building; they themselves were already possessed of a highly developed architecture of their own, as varied and magnificent as the contemporary architecture of Christian Europe; and the Muslims, moreover, who conquered India - men of Afghan, Persian and Turki blood - were endowed with a remarkably good taste and a natural talent for building. The picture that some writers have drawn of them as wild and semi-barbarous hillmen, descending on an ancient and vastly superior civilization, is far from the truth."*

The most magnificent monuments of the reign of Qutb-ud-Dīn Aibak are Buildings of the Quwwat-ul-Islam mosque Outb-ud-DIn. founded by him in 1191 A.C. at Delhi to commemorate the capture of that place and the far-famed Outb Minar erected by him there to perpetuate the memory of the famous saint, Outb-ud-Dīn, popularly known as Outb Shah. The mosque was subsequently enlarged and improved by other kings. The most outstanding feature of this sacred structure is the screen of eleven-pointed arches, which has elicited the spontaneous admiration of Furgusson and other art critics. The Minar was started

^{*} The Cambridge History of India, Vol. III, p. 569.

by Qutb-ud-Dīn Aibak and completed by Iltūtmish. Arabic inscriptions from the Holy Qur-ān and the various names of the Almighty, indicating the essentially sacred character of the column, and the panegyrics of the kings who built it and of those who repaired and renovated it are the only ornaments of this cylindrical structure, 'the loftiest and the most beautiful of its class in the world.' It appears that it was intended to serve as Ma'zīna (place from where the call for prayers is offered) of the Quwwat-ul-Islām mosque, of which it formed a portion.

The Arhāi din kā Jhaunþrā (two-and-a-half days' hut)* at Ajmer was —, Iltūtmish. originally erected by Aibak and later on adorned with a beautiful screen by Iltūtmish. Other notable buildings associated with the name of Iltūtmish are the Hauz-i-Shamsī and the Shamsī Idgāh, built while he was governor of Badāon (1203—1209). The tomb wherein he lies buried is also a fine piece of architecture.

The story runs that the original building was erected by Aibak in two-and-a-half days and hence its name Arhāi din hā Jhaunprā or two-and-a-half days' hut. Such a superb structure as this is more likely to have taken as many years than days unless it was the result of a miracle. Another view is that it derived its name from a fair that was held there in Marhatta times for two-and a-half days. (See The Cambridge History of India, Vol. III, p. 581).

According to Sir J. Marshall, 'Alā-ud-Dīn was 'the author of buildings of unexampled grace and nobility'. He built the city of Sīrī, two miles north-west of Qil'a i-Rāi-Pathora.* Among other monuments of importance constructed by him may be mentioned the Palace of Hazār Satūn (or thousand pillars), the 'Alāī Darwāza, 'one of the most treasured gems of Islāmic architecture', and the Hauz-i-'Alāī or the Hauz-i-Khās, so famous in the history of Islāmic architecture.

The architecture of the Tughluq period is characterized by simplicity and massiveness. This was probably due to the fact that the Central Government was hemmed in by the Mughals and the hostile Hindū Rājas. The most typical specimen of this style is the tomb of Tughluq Shāh, which has survived to our own times and stands near the old fort of Tughluqābād.

Muhammad Tughluq was possessed of a rare genius, but his reign was Firoz too troubled to allow him leisure to satisfy his architectural ambitions. It was left to his successor, Firoz Shāh, 'an indefatigable builder', to erect a number of cities, forts, palaces, mosques, Madrasas, tombs, embankments and other works of public welfare. He founded the city of Firozābād, built two other

^{*} See Sir Sayyad's Asūr-us-Sanādīd, pp. 17-18.

cities, viz., Fatehābād and Hissār Firoza, and laid the foundations of yet another called Jaunpur. He also repaired and renovated many of the monuments that had crumbled or were in a state of decay for want of repairs. Of his innumerable magnificent monuments that have resisted the ravages of time, the most considerable is the Kotla Firoz Shah, the palace-fort or citadel, which he erected within the city of Firozābād. Within the walls the best-preserved buildings are the Jami Masjid, an imposing edifice, having arcades and chambers on three sides of the ground-floor and a pillar of Asoka in front, and a 'pyramidal structure' crowned by the said pillar.* Among other superb structures of his those that deserve specific mention are the college called Madrasa-i-Firozshāh and the tomb which he built for himself during his life-time on the site of 'Ala-ud-Dīn's Hauz-i-Khās. Two other monuments likely to interest an archæologist and an historian are the tombs of Khān-i- Jahān Tilangānī, the Prime Minister of the Sultan, situated a little to the south of the shrine of Nizām-ud- Dīn Aulīyā, and the shrine of the saint, Kabīr-ud-Din Aulīyā, locally known

^{*} This pillar was brought from Tobra, a village in the Ambāla District, and planted there. Another pillar was brought from the vicinity of Meerut and set up in the Kāshk-i-Shikār Palace on the ridge. How these huge Asokan monoliths were lifted and shifted to Delhi was no mean feat of engineering, considering the crude mechanical appliances then available. Afif has given a graphic account of the transfer of these pillars in his Tārikh-i-Firozshāhi.

as Lāl Gumbad. The buildings of Firoz Shāh are noted for their simplicity, straightforwardness and austerity, which characterized their author. The virtues of this style reside in its simplicity and strength and in the purposefulness with which it brought into vogue new features or adapted old ones to its needs. In order to keep the style of his buildings in conformity with his canons of taste, Firoz appointed a financial officer called Dīwān-i-Wizārat, who carefully scrutinized the plan of every edifice designed by an architect.*

The Sayyad and the Lodhi Kings, who inherited a shrunken empire Buildings of with very feeble resources, could Savvad and not give full expression to their Lodhi Kings. architectural ambitions. sequently the best examples of the buildings of their execution are the tombs of kings and nobles. Among the tombs of kings may be those of Mubarak Shah mentioned Mubārakpur), Muhammad Shāh and Sikandar Lodhī at Delhi. Of the resting-places of nobles, the most prominent are those of Bara Khan and Chhote Khān, the Barā Gumbad, and the Shīsh Gumbad, the Dādī kā Gumbad and the Poli kā Gumbad as well as the tomb of Shahābud-Dīn Tāi Khān. Attached to Tāi Khān's

^{*} For a detailed account of Firoz Shāh's public works, etc., see Ferishta, Vol. I, pp. 465 ff.; Elliot, Vol. III, pp. 338 ff.; and Tārikh-i-Firoz Shāhi by Ziū-ud-Dīn Barni (B. I. S.), p. 562.

tomb is an open Idgāh (place for offering Id prayers) and to the Barā Gumbad an ornate mosque. Another, and far more imposing, mosque is the Molī-kī-Masjid built by the Prime Minister of Sikandar Shāh. It is by far the largest structure of its class built during that period, and it embodies all that is best and beautiful in the Lodhī architecture — beauty, dignity, decoration and a richer and more lavish display of ornamentation as compared to the Tughluq style.

It is a truism that Islamic culture was, to a certain extent, bottled up within Monuments in the confines of the Paramount independent Power enthroned at the Centre Kingdoms. and the outlying provinces were enlightened only indifferently. But the downfall of the Sultanat of Delhi let loose streams of artistic and intellectual energy to flow into those distant parts, which, under their independent rulers, developed a civilization of their own. These rulers found full scope for bringing into play the artistic talents they were endowed with and they made their own contributions to the life and thought of Islam in India. Under their patronage their kingdoms developed architectural styles of their own. Differ as they do in individual expression, the provincial schools of Islamic architecture drew inspiration from the parent-stock and bear unmistakable resemblance to it in their lineage and lineaments.

We begin with Sind and Multan which were the first to fall into the -, Sind and hands of the Musalmāns. Of Multan. the monuments, particularly mosques, built there during the Arab Rule, no vestige is now left. In Multan the oldest monuments are the tomb of Shah Yusuf Gardezi built in 1152, the resting-place of Bahā-ul-Haq, built in 1262, the tomb of Shams-ud-Dīn or Shams-i-Tabrez (often confused with his namesake of greater renown), and the shrines of Shādna Shahīd and Rukn-i-'Alam. In Sind the best-preserved monuments are the tomb of Amīr Khalīl Khān, built in 1572, and that of Nawab Amīr Khan, built in 1640. They show a great affinity to the artistic creations Ghaznīn and are Persian in form and character.

In Kashmīr there are at present two im—, Kashmīr. portant buildings whose origin goes back to the pre-Mughal period: One is the tomb of Mandanī, with a mosque attached to it. It was erected in 1444 A. C. in the reign of Sultān Zain-ul-'Abidīn. The other is the tomb of the mother of that Sultān, also erected during his reign (1342-70). The style of this structure is typically Saracenic. Among the wooden monuments constructed in Kashmīr during the pre-Mughal period, the Jāmi Masjid at Srīnagar deserves specific mention. It was built by Sultān Sikandar Shāh (1390—1419), and enlarged by his son, Zain-ul-'Abidīn. It was built of brick, stone and

timber. Another equally interesting specimen of the wooden style is the mosque of Shāh Hamdān in Srīnagar. It is exclusively made of wood.

Among the magnificent monuments of —, Jaunpur. Jaunpur may be mentioned the Atāla Masjid, 'the most ornate and the most beautiful of all the mosques remaining in Jaunpur', constructed in the reign of Sultān Ibrāhīm; the Jāmi Masjid, erected under the patronage of Hussain Shāh; the Lāl Darwāza Masjid; the Jhanjirī Masjid and the Khālis Mukhlis. In style, the buildings of Jaunpur closely resemble those of the Tughluq period, with the difference that they are more ornate and chaste in many cases.

The rulers of Bengal also showed a remarkable interest in architecture. -, Bengāl. the beautiful buildings erected by them at their capital, Gaur, the most outstanding are the tomb of Hussain Shah, the Bari Sone ki Masjid (Grand Golden Mosque), the Chhoti Sone ki Masjid (Small Golden Mosque) and the Qadam-i-Rasul built by Nusrat Shah. The Grand Golden Mosque is a massive structure with a big corridor and the Small Golden Mosque too is a strongly built edifice 'carved inside and out with beautifully chiselled designs, including the Indian lotus'. But the most striking and superb structure is said to be the Adina Masjid, built by Sikandar Shah in 1368 A. C. at Pandua, about 20 miles distant from Gaur. These and many more buildings are singularly picturesque and 'display all the features of a strongly marked individuality of style'.

Of all the provincial styles of architecture, the best and most beautiful, the -. Gujarāt. most opulent and varied is that of Gujarāt. Ahmad Shāh built the city of Ahmadabad and beautified it with magnificent mosques, Madrasas, and mausoliums, the remains of which will linger long to justify one in endorsing the view that 'the city was one of the handsomest and most flourishing in Western India, and still ranks next to Agra and Delhi for the beauty and extent of its architectural remains', and that 'the noble mosques, colleges, palaces and tombs, the remains of which still adorn Ahmadabad and its other cities to this day, which excite the admiration of the traveller, prove both the wealth and the taste of the founders.' Of the excellent edifices erected at Ahmadabad during the spring-time of Gujarāt's independence, the Jami Masjid is perhaps the best in the East. It was built in 1424 with its 260 exquisitely carved columns. Another monument of great beauty, situated in the same city, is the Masjid of Muhafiz Khan, built towards the close of the 14th century. According to J. Fergusson, 'It is the most exquisite gem of Ahmadābād.' The wealth of Islāmic culture is fully evidenced not only in the sacred

buildings, just referred to, but also in the group of public works of utility, such as step-wells, public orchards, water-reservoirs and irrigation works. Barbosa, a Portuguese traveller who visited Gujarāt in 1511-14 A. C., describes Ahmadabād as 'very rich and well-embellished with good streets and squares supplied with houses of stone and cement'. Bernier, who visited India during the reigns of Aurangzeb and Shāh Jahān, compared Ahmadābād with London in point of form and extent. In the 15th century a number of fine buildings were erected at Ahmadābād, Cambay, Baroach, Dolka and Mahmūdābād.

Mandū, the capital of Mālwa, was also famous for its buildings, mostly erected in the 15th century. Some of them have survived to our own times and testify to the tastes of their founders. The Jāmi Masjid, the Jahāz Mahal, the Hindola Mahal, the tomb of Hushang Shāh and the palaces of Sultān Bāz Bahādur and his beloved, Rūpmatī, may be mentioned among the most interesting and admirable of their class. These buildings bear a close resemblance to the architecture at Delhi.

The Bahmanīd Kings were gifted with a fine taste for architecture. The buildings extant at Kulbarga (capital of the Bahmanīd Kingdom) are but a moiety of the mass destroyed by the

ravages of time. The most noteworthy of the existing monuments is the mosque modelled on that of Cordova in Spain. It extends over an area of 38,016 square feet and is one of the most remarkable of its class in the country, at least in one respect-it has no open court, the whole of its area being covered over and light admitted through the sidewalls pierced with arches for the purpose on all sides excepting the west. The Chānd Minār at Daulatābād and the Madrasa of Mahmud Gawan at Bidar may be reckoned among the remaining edifices of importance. Kulbarga has two groups of architecture : one covering the tombs of 'Ala-ud Hasan Bahmani, Muhammad Shah, Muhammad Shah II and two other anonymous tombs of a later date; and the other comprising what is collectively called Haft Gumbad (seven domes), containing the tombs of Mujahid Shah Daud Shah, Prince Sanjar, Ghiyas-ud Din and his family and Firoz Shah and his family. Two other edifices of note are the tomb of Ahmad Shāh and the Sola Masjid, both of which stand within the fort at Bidar. Among the monuments at Bijapur, the finest is the restingplace of Muhammad 'Adil Shah, which is 'popularly known as Gol Gumbaz.' Other famous buildings that adorn that city are the Gagan Mahal, the Asārī Mahal, the Satmanzala (seven-storied structure) in the city and a small gateway known as Milluri Mahal. The last two are highly elegant and profusely carved structures. The tomb of Quli Qutb Shah,

built at Golconda in 1625, 'is one of the largest and finest buildings in the Deccan'. As most of the Bahmanīd Kings and the kings of its off-shoots, viz., Ahmadnagar, Bijāpur, Golconda, Bidār, and Berār professed Shīa' faith, the style of their architecture is mostly Persian.

The Mughals were the most remarkable builders of India. The beautiful -Monuments of buildings they constructed in' different parts of the country Mughal Kings. still stand and serve as an imperishable index to their refined tastes and afford an excellent testimony to their cultural advancement. The style of their architecture, so wide and varied, was a medley of many influences-, combining in itself all that was good in other styles from their point of view. It "was, more sumptuous and decorative than the styles that preceded it, and its delicacy and ornamentation furnish a striking contrast to the massiveness and simplicity of the art of pre-Mughal days."

Bābar had a poor opinion of Indian art and Bābar's Masjids. Skill. Therefore, for constructing his buildings he imported the pupils of Sinan, the famous architect from Constantinople. Most of his magnificent monuments have perished; the only two that have survived are the large mosque in the Kābul. Bāgh at Pānīpat and the Jāmi Masjid at Sambhal.

Humāyūn's him time to give free and full humāyūn's scope to his asthetic fancy. Instead of using marble, stone or brick in the construction of his buildings, he used wood right through and this is the most striking feature of his architecture. Of the wooden structures that he built, the most marvellous were the Qasr-i-Rawān (floating palace), the Bazar i-Rawān (floating bazar) and the Bāgh-i-Rawān (floating garden). These were built on boats and set afloat on the Jumna.*

The Surs, who supplanted the Mughals for the time being, were remarkable Buildings builders. The two outstanding of Sher buildings of Sher Shah's time Shah Sari. are the Qil'a Kohna (old fort) near Delhi and the tomb of that mighty "The tomb is one of the monarch at Sasram. best designed and most beautiful buildings in India, unequalled among the earlier buildings in the northern provinces for grandeur and dignity ..." The palace built in the citadel of Agra by Sher Shah or his successor, Salīm Shah, "was as exquisite a piece of decorative art as anything of its class in India." According to J. Fergusson, the historian of Indian architecture, "this palace must have gone far to justify the eulogium more than once passed on the works of these Pathans

^{*} For a somewhat detailed account and description of these wooden structures, see The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, p. 42.

-that 'they built like giants and finished like goldsmiths'; for the stones seem to have been of enormous size and the details of most exquisite finish."*

In Akbar the Great, the greatest exponents of Hindū Muslim unity, architec--. Akbar. ture, like other fine arts, found a most active and powerful patron. His! buildings were characterized by unity of Hindu and Muslim styles, of which sometimes the one predominated and sometimes the other, so much so that Fatehpur Sīkrī, the nucleus of architectural splendour in the reign of Akbar, has been very aptly described as 'a reflex of the great mind of the man who built it'. Even his architecture speaks for his statesmanship, aiming at Hindū Muslim unity. Unfortunately many of his monuments were pulled down by his grandson, Shah Jahan, whose canons of art differed from those of his own, yet a number of them have survived and bear eloquent testimony to his architectural tastes. The best of his buildings, in which red stone was liberally used. were erected at Fatehpur Sikri. They were the Jāmi Masjid, described as 'the glory of Fatehpur Sīkrī, scarcely surpassed by any of its class in India, perhaps in the world', the shrine of Shaikh Salīm Chishtī, the Līwan or the service portion . of the Great Mosque at Fatehpur Sikri, the Sati Buri, immortalizing the self-immolation of a wife

^{*} James Fergusson's History of Indian and Eastern Architecture, pp. 572-73.

of Raja Biharī Mal, the house of Raja Bīr Bal, the Sonhla Makan or the Palace of the Princess of Ambar, the Palace of Jodhabai, the Palace of the Turkish Sultana and the Khawabgah. Everything considered, the Town of Victory (Fatehpur Sīkrī) is, to borrow Dr. Smith's phrase. a romance in stone, inconceivable and impossible at any other time or in any other circumstances. Among the monuments of importance built outside Fatehpur Sīkrī, may be mentioned the tomb of Humayun, the most Persian in style and noted for the simplicity and purity of its design; Akbar's tomb at Sikandara, a unique structure among the sepulchres of Asia, the Jahangīrī Mahal, the Dīwān-i-'Am, the Dīwān i-Khās, with its beautiful pillar and four galleries, within the Agra Fort; the magnificent mosque at Mirtha in Raipūtana and the Hall of Forty Pillars at Allahabad.

Jahangīr's interest centred mainly round painting and gardening, yet his -, Jahangīr. reign was not without its architectural glory. His wife, Nur Jahan, built at Agra the beautiful tomb of her father, I'timadud-Daula, which is the finest architectural gem of Jahangīr's reign. Referring to this tomb, Mr. Percy Brown writes in The Cambridge History of India:

"There is no other building like it in the entire range of Mughul architecture, the delicacy of treatment and the chaste quality of its

decoration placing it in a class by itself Whether regarded as an architectural composition of matchless refinement, as an example of applied art displaying rare craftsmanship, or as an artistic symbol of passionate filial devotion, the tomb of I'timād-ud-daula expresses in every part of it the high æsthetic ideals that prevailed among the Mughuls at the time".*

Another notable monument of Jahāngīr's reign is the tomb of that emperor himself at Lāhore, built by his beautiful consort, Nūr Jahān. This tomb and the tombs of Nūr Jahān and Asaf Khān were damaged by the Sikhs during the Sikh rule, when Ranjīt Singh removed their marble and employed it in the construction of his own buildings.†

Under Shāh Jahan's patronage decorative architecture attained to its highest watermark. In the construction of his buildings he made a most extensive use of marble and the pectra dura, which characterized the architecture of Nūr Jahān and, employing the services of the jeweller and the painter, produced those specimens of art which still stand unrivalled in India, perhaps in the whole world. In this hurried survey we cannot enter

The Cambridge History of India, Vol. IV, pp. 552 and 553.

[†] Ibid., p. 551; and A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, by Ishwari Prasad, p. 759.

into the canons of this art or study the tastes of their author, nor can we attempt a description of the buildings he erected. The Taj alone would require a volume to itself were we to attempt only a description of it. Erected to the memory of his beloved consort at Agra, this Queen of Architecture is and will continue to be the finest monument of conjugal love and fidelity. It is Musalmans' noblest contribution to the grace of Indian womanhood - 'the Venus de Milo of the East'. The view that it was designed by a foreigner is wholly unfounded and absurd. The theory of its foreign origin is knocked down by contemporary historical accounts* as well as by the internal evidence of the building itself. The Moti Masjid (Pearl Mosque), situated within the Agra Fort and described as 'the purest and loveliest house of prayer in the world'; the Diwan-i-Khas, rightly regarded by Shāh Jahān as a paradise on earth; the Diwan-i-'Am, with an exquisitely ornamented ceiling, supported by profusely decorated pillars, an excellent niche in the middle and a marble platform which was once the seat of the far-famed Peacock Throne; the gorgeous Rang Mahal, with its garden court; the most marvellous Baths fed by a canal brought from the Jumna; and the Jāmi Masjid at Delhi are the finest monuments, immortalizing the memory of that Great Mughal. None can fully appreciate the beauty and grandeur of these and other

^{*} See Pādshāhnāma, Vol. II, p. 3.

buildings without paying a visit to them and seeing them with his own eyes. According to Mr. Percy Brown, "even the most ardent flatterer, trained in poetical analogies, could hardly do justice to the surpassing beauty of some of these structures, which in spite of vicissitudes still hold their own as the most elegant of their kind."* Can there be a soul that will not be stirred to its depths or fall into ecstasies at the awe-inspiring sight of that master-piece of Mughal architecture called the Taj?

The most important buildings erected by
Aurangzeb are the Marble Mosque
within the Fort of Delhi and the
Bādshāli Masjid at Lāhore. The latter is the
latest specimen of the Mughal style of architecture. It has lost most of its original beauty and
splendour, but even as it is, it is an excellent
piece of art. Efforts are being made to
renovate it and to restore it to its original form.

Their Cultural Importance.

Though most of them have lost much of their original grace and elegance, yet there is enough in these living lips to tell the tale of their splendour and to testify to the cultural

^{*} The Cambridge History of India, Vol. IV, p. 554.

greatness of their authors. They are standing cultural influences: A visit to them is full of pleasure and profit. Artists come from far and wide and draw inspiration from them. They are also a great incentive in forming and developing the tastes of thousands of our countrymen. Some of the floral designs, decorating their walls, have been successfully copied and worked into articles of dress for men as well as women. Their economic importance lies in the fact that they provided employment to a large number of hands. Buildings that normally took decades for completion must have absorbed a vast amount of labour and fed a large number of mouths. affording a powerful stimulous besides artistic ingenuity and skill. The money spent on them was not wasted, as is too often supposed. It was, at least, better utilized than that spent on military operations.

* * * *

In passing, a short reference may also be made to sculpture, bas-reliefs Sculpture bas-reliefs and tile-work which are so closely connected with architecture. Following the example of Persian Kings, the Muslim rulers of India, especially the Great Mughals, sought the aid of the sculptor's (quite as much as of the painter's) art for the beautification of their buildings, palaces and pleasances. The two full length marble statues

of elephants,* with riders† mounted on them, set up at Agra by Jahāngīr to commemorate his victory over the Rāna of Mewār, bear valuable testimony to the successful efforts of the sculptors of Mughal India. They exacted the following tribute from the French traveller, Bernier, who visited them in the seventeenth century:—

"These two large elephants, mounted by the two heroes, have an air of grandeur, and inspire me with an awe and respect which I cannot describe."

The Serai of Nur Mahal in the Punjab, I built also in the reign of Jahangir, is remarkable for its exquisitely sculptured front. The north and west sides of the inner wall of the Fort at Lahore display 'unique tile work', also attributed to the reign of the same emperor. They are embellished with geometrical and foliated designs, figures of living beings, etc. We have the

^{*} In 1668 A. C. Aurangzeb removed these elephants to Delhi, where they were preserved in the public gardens and subsequently repaired; "but," according to Ishwarl Prasad, "no trace is to be found of them now." (Vida I. G. I., Vol. II, p. 132; Kaene's Hand-book to Delhi, App. A; and A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, pp. 502-3).

[†] These riders are said to be the Rana of Mewar and his son.

[‡] Quoted from. Bernier's Travels in I. G. I., Vol. II, p. 132.

following account of it in The Imperial Gazetteer of India:-

"Many of the scenes represented possess also considerable historical interest, illustrating the life of the Mughal emperors. Several specimens represent elephant fights which were one of the chief recreations of the Mughal Court, and one of the finest panels shows four horsemen playing Chaugan or Persian polo".*

^{*} I. G. I., Vol. II, p. 132. The account of Islamic architecture given in this chapter is at best the barest of all brief sketches. The more inquisitive reader should seek detailed information on the subject in such standard works as Fergusson's History of Indian and Eastern Architecture, The Cambridge History of India (Vols. III and IV), The Imperial Gazetteer of India, The Archæological Survey of India and the works such scholars as Sir A. Cunningham, Dr. Smith, Sir Sayyad Ahmad Khan, Havell, Burgess, Carr Stephen, Fanshawe. Keene, etc., catalogued at the end of this book. But the fullest satisfaction of his curiosity would necessitate a veritable pilgrimage to the deserted shrines referred to in this chapter and described in other works at some length.

CHAPTER VI

GARDENING

India has all along been famous for the abundance and variety of flowers, Introductory. fruits and foliage, which have occupied an important place in the scheme of religious as well as social life of her people. The use of flowers and flower garlands on such occasions as marriage and death is too wellknown to call for a special comment. Flowers and flower wreaths were offered as gifts on all social functions and festive occasions. It was impossible to think of a newly married couple or their bed without fine and fragrant flowers. Likewise, it was impossible to imagine a bier unadorned with flower wreaths. Special sanctity attached to such flowers as Tulsi because of being intimately associated with religious worships and offerings. Amir Khusrau and Malik Muhammad Jaisī have devoted full chapters of their books to the description of flowers and gardens of pre-Mughal India. Naturally enough, the art of gardening was understood and cultivated in India long before the Great Mughals appeared there and made their own contributions to this art.*

^{*} J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, pp. 201-2 and 332.

Musalmans were almost invariably very fond of natural beauty.* Their Muslims' love of love of it found expression in natural beauty. several ways: At times they would go to distant places in quest of natural beauty, undertaking arduous journeys, entailing untold troubles and expenses; while at other times, they would endure even greater hardships and bear heavier expenses in bringing beauty to places formerly devoid of it. The visits of the Mughal Emperors to Kashmir are the best instances of search for natural beauty, while the creation of such beauty-spots in India as the Shālāmār Garden at Lāhore illustrates the bringing of beauty to barren places. † It need not occasion surprise if under their care and patronage a number of waste lands were transformed into smiling gardens. It may also be noted here that architecture played an important part in the development of gardening in India. A building, however exquisite and excellent in other respects, was not considered to be complete if it had no garden of sweetsmelling flowers and foliage. Whenever, therefore, a Muslim king, or noble or other man of means erected a beautiful building, he provided it with an equally beautiful garden, befitting its architectural dignity.

^{*&}quot;They had an eye for the beauties of natural scenery and knew how to lay out their gardens with terraced rose-trees and channels of running water". (History of India, by Thomas).

[†] J. R. S. A. (January, 1936), p. 237. The letters

Before giving an account of the gardens laid out by the Muslim Kings, Famous we consider it worth while to Flowers. make a short reference to the flowers that grew there. Among the most famous flowers of the day Amīr Khusrau enumerates the following: Banafsha, Yasaman and Nasarin which were of foreign origin, being introduced from Persia, and others which were of Indian origin, viz., Baila, Kevra, Champa, Molsīrī, Sevtrī, Damra, Karma and Laung. Among other flowers, which were originally Indian but were given Persian names by Muslims, he mentions Gul-i-Knza, Gul-i-Sadbarg and Qaranfal or Laung, and challenges those who do not agree with him to prove their foreign extraction. He is perfectly justified

of Allama Abul Fazl, Akbar's confidential friend and courtier, contain some interesting details of the journeys of that Great Mughal from Agra to Kashmir, undertaken mainly in order to enjoy the wonderful scenery and greenery of that 'loveliest valley of the world'. Akbar was so much impressed by the beauties of Kashmir that he made it the summer-seat of his government and loved to live in it - a precedent which was followed by his successors who too had no other object in view than to enjoy the beauties of nature so lavishly bestowed on that part of the earth. Akbar, we are told, used to spend the summer season there and every time he used to take a new route, accompanied by royal paraphernalia household, courtiers, troops, sappers and miners - so that no beauty-spot of Kashmir should be left unseen. The duty of the sappers and miners was to go in advance and make roads where no roads existed before. (Vide J. R. S. A. January, 1936, p. 237).

in saying that Hindustan has suffered a great deal on account of undue modesty in this respect; 'for if Syria and Greece were in possession of such a treasure, they would have trumpeted out their pride and glory all over the world .*

The gardens, a brief account of which will presently follow, were not only J Famous Fruits. full of flowers, but were equally full of fruit trees. Among the most famous fruits that were successfully grown in the gardens laid out by Muslim Kings and other men of means may be mentioned grapes, pomegranates, mangoes, apples, apricots. bananas, oranges, almonds besides a number of other fruits whose name is legion and a long story in telling. An old manuscript, containing hand-painted illustrations of fruits, fruit plants and fruit trees, both indigenous and imported. has come down to us and is said to have been prepared in the reign of Jahangir, presumably at his instance, because he was exceedingly fond of horticulture. + Among the trees, which bere no fruits but were planted for ornamentation only, may be mentioned cypress and Chinar trees which are frequently referred to in the poetry of the period. The Mughals were so fond of them that no Mughal garden was without some, if not many. Some of the cypress trees

^{*} J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, p. 332.

[†] J. R. S. A. (Jan., 1936), p 238.

of that period have survived in India as well as in Afghānistān. No proof of this is required in India where every surviving Mughal garden has a number of cypress trees; but so far as Afghānistān is concerned, we can cite at least one instance: Nimla, which is situated half way between Jalālābād and Kābul and is described as 'a miniature Oriental Versailles', is believed to have been a Mughal garden and the beautiful cypress trees that stand there as memorials of Mughal times are said to have been planted there by Jahāngīr and his beloved consort, Nūr Jahān. *

When the Musalmans first appeared in India they brought with them Pre-Mughal Gardens. They made full use of the fertility and extent of the land, which conquest placed at their disposal, and planted numerous gardens all over the country according to their own tastes. They seem to have taken great pains to improve the system of gardening in India and succeeded to a considerable extent. Kaiqubād laid out 'a magnificent garden' at Kalugarhi (a few miles outside Delhi), and gardens and terraced-walks were laid out there when Jalāl-ud-Dīn Khiljī made it his temporary residence before entering Delhi for political reasons. It was Firoz Shāh Tughluq who carried out a most extensive programme of

^{*} Beyond the Khyber Pass, pp. 119-20.

planting gardens. According to his historian, he laid out not less than 1,200 gardens in and about Delhi, 80 on the Salora embankment and 44 in Chitor. Rājpūtāna maintained and even extended the traditions of gardening outside its area. Besides Chitor, Dholpur, Gwālior and Jodhpur, other places also took to gardening and achieved remarkable success in the art. Dholpur was noted for its gardens which shaded the route to the city to a distance of 7 Krohs (= about 14 miles). Jodhpur was famous for its pomegranates which, according to Sultān Sikandar Lodhī, surpassed those of Persia in flavour.*

Unfortunately, we do not possess any connected account of the contribu-Provinci al tions made by the independent Kings' love Muslim rulers of the outlying of gardens. provincial kingdoms to the cause of fine arts, but there are many stray references in the contemporary records to show that they were highly interested in their advance. They were very fond of gardens, a number of which appear to have been laid out by them in their kingdoms at great cost, mostly in order to adorn their buildings. The gorgeous gardens of Golconda, Gujarat, Badaon, etc., occasioned the astonishment as well as admiration not only of native visitors but of

^{*} J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, p. 201; and M. U. J. (July, 1931), p. 130.

European and other foreign travellers as well.*

When the Great Mughals came and conquered India and consolidated Gardens of the their power there, they carried Great Mughals the art of gardening, like other fine arts, to the highest pitch ever attained by it before. There are repeated references to gardens and fruits and flowers in the accounts of the contemporary chroniclers. Among the gardens laid out by Babar, the illustrious founder of the Mughal Dynasty in India, may be mentioned the Bagh-i-Wafa and the Bagh-i-Kilan near Kabul and the Ram Bagh and the Zohra Bāgh at Agra as the most sumptuous and outstanding. Humāyūn's name is associat-. ed with a floating garden, the first of its kind," built on the Jumna like other wooden structures.† Under Akbar the Great the city of Fatehpur Sīkrī rose to be a great garden city. The Nasim Bagh in Kashmir survives to this day as a monument to his memory and is still a thing of beauty and a joy for ever. Jahangir was the greatest gardener among the Great Mughals. "His principal delight was in the laying out of large formal gardens, the romantic beauty of which has contributed not a little to the æsthetic reputation of the Mughul dynasty

^{*} See Franklin's Shāh 'Alam, p. 57; Tavernier's Travels, Vol. I, p. 124; and J. A. S. B., (1935), Vol. I, pp. 201-02.

[†] See The Mughal Empire From Bābar To Aurangzeb, p. 42.

..... Through Jahangir's love of nature, inherited from his progenitor Babar, the Mughul garden was brought to perfection and at all places where this emperor sojourned for any length of time one of these pleasances (gardens) was generally prepared ".* It is to him that we owe the Dilkushā Garden (Shāh Dara) and the Bādāmī Bāght at Lāhore; the Nishāt Bāgh. the Shalamar Bagh, the Achibal Bagh and the Verinag Bagh in Kashmir; the Royal Garden at Udaipur; the garden attached to the tomb of I'timad-ud-Daula at Agra; and the Wah Bagh at Hasan Abdal. He was especially interested in horticulture and was particularly keen on acquiring knowledge and collecting information about trees, plants, fruits and flowers, a number of which he is reputed to have imported into India from outside. Some of the Sarv and Chinar trees, planted by him, have survived to our own times. Though Shah Jahan's æsthetic fancy mainly centred round architecture, he was no less interested in gardens which were indispensable for ornamentation of his beautiful buildings. Shālāmār Bāgh at Lāhore, the gardens

^{*} The Cambridge History of India, Vol. IV, pp. 548-49. Jahängīr's example was followed by his courtiers and others who too built similar retreats in various places in India. Asaf Khān's Nishāt Bāgh in Kashmīr is the best case in point. (Ibid., p. 549).

[†] Bādāmī Bāgh is a quarter in Lāhore and is so called because of the almond trees successfully planted there by Jahāngīr. (Vide J. R. S. A., Jan., 1936, p. 238).

in the Delhi Fort, the Tāj Mahal Gardens at Agra, the Shālāmār Garden at Delhi and Dārā Shikoh's Garden in Kashmīr were the most voluptuous of their class in Mughal India. Among the best gardens of the reign of Aurangzeb may be mentioned the garden attached to the Bādshāhī Masjid at Lāhore, the garden of Raushan Arā Begum at Delhi, the Chauburjī Bāgh and the Nawānkal Bāgh at Lāhore; and the Pinjor Garden. The later Mughals were even more devoted to the fine arts than their predecessors: They indulged in them even to the neglect of State affairs. Under them the Mughal garden retained its splendour undiminshed.*

The gardens of the Great Mughals have provided a subject about which it is always safe to speak in superlatives. Even the names given to them were significantly symbolic and suggestive. The name Nishāt Bāgh or Garden of Gladness cannot, for instance, fail to convey the idea that gladness must have reigned supreme in that beauty-spot. Likewise, the names 'Life-giving Garden', 'Moonlight Garden' and 'Garden of Dreams' at once bring before our mind's eye the glories of the gardens of Muslim

^{*}Gardens of the Great Mughals by C. M. V. Stuart; The Mughal Empire From Bābar To Aurangzeb, pp. 29-30, 174-76, 216-18, 278 and 374; J. R. S. A. (January, 1936), pp. 237-38; and The Cambridge History of India, Vol. IV, pp. 548-49.

India. The idea underlying those gardens, was in fact the creation of an Iram (paradise) and the realization of that object on earth. With high-walled enclosures, redolent. with fragrant flowers, gaily plumaged birds, a captured stream running through the garden in rhythmic harmony, arching trees sheltering the spring flowers, a tank in the middle reflecting the flower-beds around and the scenes surrounding it on its transparent surface, the charming nightingales chirping and wooing the fully bloomed roses, and decently dressed houries and ghilmāns (pages) moving about - the legendary paradise was fully attained and nothing beautiful that could be conceived by human mind seems to have been left wanting. Symmetry was the key-note of the Mughal art of gardening and the guiding principle of Mughal gardeners. Balance, harmony and precision were evident everywhere in the Mughal gardens. Glimpsing this spectacular glamour through a half-opened gate, how many mortals must have divined the words of Sa'dī's famous couplet "If there is a paradise on earth, it is ; here, it is here! "*

The love of gardening displayed by the Muslim Kings, particularly the Legacy of the Mughal Emperors, had an endur-Great Mughals. ing impression on the taste of the people of India, Hindus as well as Musalmans.

^{*} For a detailed account of Mughal gardens, vide Gardens of the Great Mughals by C. M. V. Stuart.

This taste, we are glad to note, has received a fresh stimulous at the hands of the English who too have an eye for the beauties of nature as evidenced in their gardens.*

Unfortunately, most of the gardens, where once the Bulbuls sang so sweet-Cultural ly the songs of Mughal splen-Importance. dour, 'have been given over' to cultivation' and a good many more have died out for want of care and cultivation. Yet there is enough in the remnants to indicate the tastes of their founders and the splendour that surrounded them. Space has been specifically allotted to the gardens of those times in this book not only because they reveal the refined side of Muslim rule and bring out the cultural influence they exerted on man's mind, enabling it to 'appreciate the wonderful handiwork of nature in trees and blossoms, in flowers and fruits', but also because they have exercised on literature an influence which has not so far been duly appreciated. There are a number; of poems in Indo-Persian literature that were inspired by the gardens in Kashmīr, Lāhore and elsewhere. A most popular couplet in Persian, composed by a Mughal princess, drew its inspiration from the picturesque scenery presented by the fascinating waterfall at the centre of the Shālāmār Bāgh at Lahore. The princess was enjoying the sparkling water on

^{*} J. R. S. A. (January, 1936), p. 238.

the Abshar descending on the slope of marble, forming the artificial fall, and was all ear to the sound so produced when the following verses came to her lips:

"Ai ābshār nauhāgar az bahr-i-kīstī Sar dar nigūn figanda ze andoh-i-kīstī

Ayā chi dard būd ki chūn mā tamām shab Sar rā ba sang mizadī-o-migirīstī"

It is impossible to transfuse the spirit of this piece of poetry into any other language. Its best available English translation is:—

"Whose absence, O Waterfall are thou lamenting so loudly?

Why has thou cast down thy head in grief?

How acute was thy pain that throughout the night,

Restless, like me, thou wast striking thy head against the stone and shedding tears profusely"!*

This influence has filtered down to our own times and benefited our modern poets. Many of the poems of our beloved poet, the late Allāma Dr. Sir Muhammad Iqbāl, were inspired by the natural beauty of the gardens at Srīnagar and Lāhore.

^{*}See "The Cultural Influences of Islam in India" by Sir Abdul Qadir in J.R.S.A., (Jan., 10, 1936), p. 238,

CHAPTER VII

PAINTING

The art of painting did not receive the attention and encouragement Introductory. which other arts did at hands of the early Muslim kings of India. This was mainly because it was tabooed in the early days of Islam on account of its close association with idolatry. It was only occasionally that the Muslim kings and nobles broke away from the general convention and practised this art,* but in view of the fact that a large number of Hindus, among whom painting had long been popular, had embraced Islam but had not given up their old habits and hobbies altogether, it may reasonably be conceded that the art was not neglected by the then Muslims of India quite as much as it is believed to have been: A large majority of the new Muslims and their descendants must have resorted to it. and the Muslims who came from outside and

For instance, Sultūn Jalāl-ud-Dīn Firoz Khiljī had to fix his residence for some time at Kalugarhi (some miles outside Delhi), where the Muizzi Castle was repaired and "embellished with paintings" (M. U. J., July, 1931, p. 131). This is perhaps for the first time that we hear of paintings in a Muslim building during the Muslim Period of Indian history.

had imbibed Persian ideas and inspirations must also have pursued this art though not quite so zealously and with the same object as their contemporary Hindus did. Thus it appears that while the rulers were indifferent, if not actually averse to it, the people in general cultivated it to a great extent.

The Mughals, however, stood on a different footing: They had their own Babar's love ideas about art, which they loved of painting. and patronized in all its forms and phases. Bābar brought with him all the choicest specimens of painting which he was able to obtain from the library of his ancestors -the Tīmūrids -, who were noted for their love of and proficiency in the art of painting. Those specimens were treasured by the Mughal Emperors of India as their most precious and proud possessions. Some of them were transported to Persia by Nādir Shāh after his invasion of India and occupation of Delhi; but as long as they remained in India they were an inexhaustible source of inspiration for the painter and gave a great impetus to the art of painting in this country.*

When Bābar became the master of India, the star of Bihzād, the best Bihzādian painter of Persia under the School and its in fluence. Tīmūrids, was at its zenith and the Chaghtāī princes and

^{*}The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, p. 29.

peers set him before the painters of India as the model to be followed by them in their art. Thus Bihzād* and his school became the exemplars of Indian artists and the elements of Persian painting engrafted themselves on Indian art traditions. In order to appreciate and enjoy the creations of the Indo-Mughal School of Painting, it is necessary to know the chief characteristics of the parent-stock (Bihzādian School) which had a direct influence on its evolution in India. Born and cradled in the courts of Changez Khan and Timur, the greatest conquerors of their age, the art of the Bihzādian School was bound to be manly and vigorous. Naturally enough, the scenes of battles and sieges with their usual accompaniments, such as the brandishing and crossing of swords, the breaking of shields etc., of gladiatorial combats, elephant and camel fights and chariot-racing, of hunt and battues figure most frequently in the productions of that period.† But it would be wrong to suppose that the art was devoid of softness and sentiments, for chivalry and romancescenes of love-making, Laila and Majnun,

^{*} Bihzad was born about the middle of the fifteenth century. In course of time he rose to be the court-painter of Mansur ibn Baiqara, the Timurid King of Khorasan. In 1506 A.C. he migrated from Herat and took service under Shah Ismail Safavi and remained in his service till his death in 1526 A.C., residing mostly at Tabrez.

[†] See Bihzād And His Paintings in the Zafarnāmah Ms, by Sir Thomas Arnold.

Shīrīn and Farhād, youths and maidens dallying in gardens by the side of a stream, of gorgeous reception of foreign embassies in royal courts, of feasts and festive functions. where wine passes freely round, where dainty dishes are served and where toothsome viands are spread in abundance—are depicted equally well and in plenty. Of piety and mysticism too, there is no dearth, "for in that curious age of self-abandonment the transformation from the intense pleasures of life to rigorous discipline of saint-hood was difficult: the Shah (king) and Gada (beggar) were the two poles between which the individual constantly moved. The Sultan of to-day may be the Darwish of tomorrow, nay the king was always ascetic at heart. Hence the frequency of the scenes where the Darwish is depicted: the Darwish living in wild forests and lonely caves, the Darwish as the miraculous master leading fierce animals like lambs, and the Darwish dancing in the ecstasy of mystic joy. Then like every age of romance, conquest and mystery, this age was greatly interested in the supernatural and the marvellous. Genii, goblins, monsters and fairies moved amidst men as common well-known, familiar figures. They were the stock-in-trade of the story-teller and the painter."* But the most distinguishing feature of the paintings of that period was the stamp of individualism they bore. In all scenes

In fluence of Islam on Indian Culture, p. 268.

their constituent parts-men and women, birds and beasts, fruits and flowers, arms and instruments, etc .--, are so clearly drawn and so cleverly rendered that there is absolutely no confusion, no melee, so much so that even in a scene depicting the thick of a fight the warriors or their weapons do not get confused, nor do the dancers get mixed up promiscuously in a musical performance. Even the leaves of trees are so skillfully done that one can count them without difficulty despite their number. Drapery is treated with such remarkable skill and with such ease and grace that the outlines of the body are clearly brought out through it. In India these themes found a most congenial soil under the Mughal Kings, who were the direct descendants of Timur and Changez Khan. There the interest in individuality grew apace with the growth of the Mughal School and became so marked in course of time that painting became predominantly portraiture-but "portraiture of such amazing cleverness that it became itself a marvel "*

Humāyūn's long to develop all that he wanted to do in this country. His unpainting.

most unsettled reign, during which it was impossible for him to indulge in his artistic fancy.

[&]quot; Ibid.

But he was Bābar's son and had inherited his, father's tastes and temperament. He developed a fine taste for painting during his exile in Persia after his expulsion from India by Sher. Shāh Sūrī and on his return to India he brought with him two master-painters of the neo-Persian School of Painting, viz., Mīr Sayyad Alī Tabrezī and Khwāja Abdus Samad, so that they might prepare for him a fully illustrated version of the Dāstān-i-Amīr Hamza. The creatures of the brush of these two painters must have exerted a great influence on the art of painting in India. We also learn that both Humāyūn and his little son, Akbar, received lessons in drawing and showed a great interest in painting.*

The glories of the art were reserved for Akbar the Great and his imme-Akbar's attitude diate successors. It was largely towards painting during Akbar's reign that Muslim and a change in conception of painting under-Muslim conception of this art. went such a radical change that the art, hitherto held in derision as being and aid to idolatry, began to receive active attention and encouragement on the ground that it taught the existence of God and strengthened belief in Akbar, who, in common with Him. Chaghtaī Princes, had inherited a remarkable æsthetic taste and developed it during his early days, thus expressed his views on the art of painting:-

^{*} A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, p. 764.

"There are many that hate painting, but such men I do not like. It seems to me that a painter has, as it were, peculiar means of recognizing God; for he, in painting anything that has life and in devising its limbs, one after the other, is ultimately convinced that he cannot bestow individuality on his creation and is thus forced to think of God, the giver of life".*

Thus putting the art on a safe and strong

footing by removing the stigma Progress of of sacrilege attached to it, Akbar Painting the Great gave the first definite under him. impulse to what afterwards came I to be called the Mughal School of Painting. He founded and endowed a State Gallery where painters came from far and wide to emulate one another with a view to excel in the art. Under his instructions the architectural monuments of Fatehpur Sīkrī were decorated with paintings of unparalleled splendour, in which elegance was added to beauty in due proportions. The Town of Victory now lies in ruins, but the mural decorations of its beautiful buildings still stand as splendid specimens of the art of painting produced under its Imperial patron. The most prominent painters who flourished at the Imperial Court were Sayyad Alī Tabrezī,

Daswant, Barwan, Khwaja Abdus-Samad and

^{*} See A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, pp 765-66.

Desü. The progress of painting under Akbar is beautifully depicted by Allama Abul Fazl in the following words :-

" His Majesty from his earliest youth has shown a great predilection for the art, and gives it every encouragement, as he looks upon it as a means both of study and amusement. Hence the art flourishes, and many painters have obtained great reputation. The works of all painters are weekly laid before His Majesty by the Daroghas and the clerks; he then confers rewards according to the excellence of workmanship, or increases monthly salaries. Much progress was made in the commodities required by painters, and the correct prices of such articles were carefully ascertained. The mixture of colours has especially been improved. The pictures thus received a hitherto unknown finish. Many excellent painters are now to be found and master-pieces worthy of a Bilizad may be placed by the side of the wonderful works of the European painters who have obtained world-wide fame. The minuteness in detail, the general finish, the boldness of execution etc., now observed in pictures are incomparable; even inanimate objects look as if they had life. More than a hundred painters have become famous masters of the art, whilst the number of those who approach perfection or of those who are modiocres is very large. This is especially true of the Hindus; their pictures surpass our conception of things. Few

indeed in the world are equal to them."*

Jahāngīr, "the Prince of Artists", was a most fastidious critic of art.† He gave a fresh impetus to the Mughal School of Painting founded by his father and raised its standard considerably high. His appreciation and encouragement carried the art of Indian painter to nothing short of perfection. "In his times," says Catrou, "there were found in the Indies native painters who copied the finest of our European pictures with a fidelity that might vie with the originals.‡ One of Sir Thomas Roe's presents to the Great Mughal was

^{*} Ain i-Akbari, Vol. I, p. 107, and The Imperial Gazetteer of India, Vol., II, pp. 129-30.

[†] Of his skill in judging the value of portraits he informs us: "As regards myself, my liking for painting and my practice in judging it have arrived at such a point that when any work is brought to me, either of the deceased artists or those of the present day, without the names being told me, I say on the spur of the moment that it is the work of such and such a man. And if there be a picture containing many portraits, and each face be the work of a different master, I can discover which face is the work of each of them. If any other person has put in the eye and eyebrow of a face, I can perceive whose work the original face is, and who has painted the eyes and eyebrow." Even after making considerable allowance for exaggeration, the fact remains that Jahangir "possessed the skilled knowledge of an expert." (See A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, by Ishwari Prasad, pp. 767-68.)

[†] See The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb p. 216.

most finished picture. Soon after its presentation he was presented with a number of its copies, including the original and 'they were so very similar that by candle light one could not be distinguished from the other'. It was with great difficulty that he made out the original from amongst its copies.* During Jahaneir's reign the Mughal painter excelled in portrait painting because the Emperor was very fond of it. Portraits of the Amirs and Maliks of the Court as well as pictures of court scenes formed the bulk of his commissions. The amalgamation of Persian and Indian artistic traditions that had been going on since the advent of the Great Mughals became complete during the reign of Jahangir and in consequence we have those creations of art which have been and will continue to be a great source of inspiration to the painter. If art found its highest expression and attained to perfection, it was because its patron was Jahangir, than whom India has known no keener or more discerning, more resthetic or more sympathetic art critic in the whole of her history. The best painter of his time was Ustad Mansur, the Prince of Painters, upon whom the Emperor had bestowed the proud title of Nādin-ul-Asr (Wonder of the Age). He was a past master in animal portraiture and his pictures of birds and beasts are still the living creatures of his immortal brush. Accord-

^{*} Ibid.

ing to Martin, he 'portrayed his favourites (birds) in a way often worthy of Durer".* Another famous painter of the time was Abdul Hasan who too, like Mansūr, was adept in producing human portraits and landscapes. An excellent picture of Jahāngīr's Court, painted by him, was honoured by being used as a frontispiece to the Jahāngīrnāma. Bishan Dās was yet another portrait painter who enjoyed great reputation and popularity in his art.†

Under Shāh Jahān, who himself was a good painter and a past master in the -, Shah Jahan. art of illuminating books, miniature and portrait painting underwent a great deal of elaboration, so much so that a picture or a portrait, however elaborate and exquisite, was considered to be wholly incomplete if it was not provided with a beautiful border of birds and butterflies, flowers and foliage. The master painters of Shah Jahan's Court were Muhammad Nādir Samargandī, Mīr Hāshim, Anūp Chitra and Chitramani, and the Chief Director of Artists in his time was Fagīrullāh. His eldest son, Dārā Shikoh, was a great patron of painting. An interesting and excellent album of his has come down to posterity and is treasured in the library of the India Office.1

^{*} Ibid., p. 217.

[†] Ibid., pp. 217-18.

[†] The Bodleian at Oxford and the libraries of the British Museum and the India Office possess some splendid specimens of Mughal paintings. (J.R.S.A., 1936, p. 236).

Aurangzeb, 'the puritan in the purple', was a man of different type and tastes -, 'Alamgir - simple, austere unostentatious and others. and all averse to indulgence in luxuries. But, though he did not actively patronize painting, he is said to have felt delighted in the pictorial records of his own exploits and to have sought to distinguish between the artists of his own creed and those of others.* It may be true that Imperial patronage was not bestowed on this art, but the spark lit in the cause of fine arts by the previous Mughal Emperors and others was too strong to extinguish at once. Painting flourished side by side with other arts, for it had its patrons in the peerage and other well-to-do classes. Referring to the Mughal Emperors' patronage of painting, a modern writer says : --

"The art of painting owed much to Mughal'

Pictures of Aurangzeb's battles and sieges have come down to us to testify to the tastes of that Emperor. The view that he sowed the seeds of decline that ultimately brought about all but a total decay of the Fine Arts in India is far from the truth. The writer who lays this charge at the door of Aurangzeb is constrained to admit: "He (i.e. Aurangzeb), too, was a true scion of his glorious race in general culture The Fine Arts did not die at once the death that Emperor is said to have wished to the body of Indian music. The painter still continued to ply the brush; and Alamgir's edicts did not touch him..... For the Emperor himself delighted in the pictorial records of his own grand doings." (The Spleudour That Was Ind, p. 134). Every emperor had his own canons of art and Aurangzeb had his, Why to grudge him this privilege when we do not grudge it to others?

patronage in India. The Mughal emperors saved it from decay, and through their liberality it attained to a high level of excellence. The empire of the Mughals has become a thing of the past, but the exquisite creations of the master-artists of their time still bear testimony to their refined culture and the magnificence of their tastes. Indeed they occupy a position unique among all Asiatic rulers".*

Most of the paintings of the Mughal Period have come down to posterity and Cultural are treasured in some libraries importan ce of India and Europe. of paintings. Indian States and ancient Indian families have also inherited stores of this wealth from their predecessors and preserved them as their heirlooms. Apart from being a source of inspiration to the modern artist, they are valuable aids to history in that they throw considerable light on the life and thought of the people of that period, - their tastes and temperaments . their habits and hobbies, their mode of living their articles of dress, their house-hold utensils -, in fact, on the entire paraphernalia of their civilization. The importance of so interesting and instructive, so valuable and welcome, so vast and varied wealth has not been appreciated, perhaps because it lies scattered almost over the whole world and is not within

^{*} A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, pp. 769-70.

the reach of an artist of limited means and awaits exploration and elucidation.*

* * * *

Closely connected with the art of painting is the art of illuminating books, Art of illumiwhich received a great stimulous nating books in India under the influence of Islam. Muslims have always been very fond of illuminating manuscripts of the Qur-an and, other religious and classic literature with beautiful gold borders on every page and of having their bindings adorned with gold. This was because painting was prohibited in the early days of Islam and consequently Musalmans' love of art found its full expression in this art. Hence it is that during the Muslim rule thousands of manuscripts were illuminated and provided with beautiful gold bindings.†

Bābar, the first of the Great Mughals, is credited with having introduced into India the art of illustrating books with drawings and pictures and thus making them more lucid and interesting. His Memoirs (Tuzk-i-Bābarī) afford an

Osir Thomas Arnold's work on Bihzād and His Paintings in the Zafarnamah Ms contains a wealth of this art, including some of the paintings of the Mughal Period. Books of this kind are bound to bring this wealth within the reach of all those interested in the art.

[†] J. R. S. A. (January, 1936), p 236.

excellent proof of this fact. They are embellished with numerous coloured illustrations of birds, flowers, etc. Numerous standard works such as the Shāhnāma, the Changeznāma, the Zafarnāma, the Razmnāma (Mahābhārata), the Rāmāyana, the Kalilādamna, the Naldaman, etc., were profusely embellished with lifelike illustrations and given beautiful bindings during the Mughal Period, particularly during the reign of Akbar the Great, who stocked most of them in the Imperial Library and distributed others among his courtiers. The pictorial section of the Imperial Library under Akbar, we are told, contained a number of books and manuscripts with pictures of various kinds.*

* * * *

While we are speaking about books, we may appropriately make a short reference to calligraphy. The art of writing a beautiful hand had been very widely cultivated by Musalmans ever since their advent in India. The State encouraged this art and employed a large number of amanuenses for copying books, etc.; and though it was adopted by many people as a means of

^{*} The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb pp. 166; Influence of Islam on Indian Culture, by Tara Chand, pp. 269-72; and A Short History of Muslim Rule in India, pp. 766-67.

earning a living, there were good many well-todo persons who practised it for its own sake and loved to copy in a most attractive hand the books they loved to treasure in their own private collections. We know that King Nasīrud-Din Mahmud of the so-called Slave Dynasty and Aurangzeb the Great Mughal were accomplished calligraphers, who used to make copies of the Our-an and live on their sale proceeds; for they did not like to spend the income of the State on their personal requirements. mad Tughluq was also an expert calligrapher. His "calligraphy put the most accomplished scribes to shame." It is idle to linger long over this art as it has long ceased to be recongnized as a fine art; it is equally futile to describe its various forms. Suffice it to say that the Nastalia hand was most popular and the art was in a most flourishing state during the whole of the Muslim Period. The one reason why it was so widely cultivated and encouraged by the State is to be found in the fact that before the invention of the printing press a beautiful hand was an absolute necessity. Calligraphy was in fact a great qualification and a scholar or an author, however accomplished, was wanting in scholarship if he lacked this qualification.*

^{*} The Mughal Empire From Bābar To Anrangzeb, p. 176; and Education in Muslim India, pp. 12 and 151.

CHAPTER VIII

POETRY

Poetry has been a most popular subject among the Muslims from very Introductory. early days. Their love of beauty found full scope and a most suitable expression in this art. Some practised it as a profession, while others resorted to it as a relaxation from other pursuits. It will be too ambitious to describe the achievements of Arabs in this branch of knowledge or to tell the tale of the Persian poetry of Iran which, in course of time, found a most congenial soil in the Ghaznawid Empire and flourished under the patronage of Sultan Mahmud and his successors * We shall therefore content ourselves with a short reference to the progress of poetry under the Ghaznawids and then revert to India where it was introduced and engrafted by Musalmans. The fall of the Samanid Dynasty left lights of learning, chiefly poets, unremunerated and they came eagerly to Ghaznīn, the new centre of learning, where they flourished under the fostering patronage of

For the achievements of Arabs in poetry, vide A Short History of the Saracens and The Spirit of Islam, and for those of the Ghaznawids vide Literary History of Persia, Vol. II, Chapter II, and Mediaval India Under Muslim Kings, Chapter IV.

Mahmūd and achieved their laurels. Four hundred poets, forming the Round Table (Bazm-i-Adab) of that patron of poetry, with Unsarī as the Poet Laureate, were in constant attendance at the Ghaznawid Court. They sang the praises of the Sultān whose 'meanest rewards were calculated in thousands of dinārs'. The successors of Mahmūd were also remarkable patrons of poetry, but their achievements need not detain us long, for we have to cover a vast field within a very short space.

Progress in pre-Mughal Period.

Progress in pre-Mughal Period.

Progress in pre-Mughal to turn to gentler arts and more sociable pursuits and pastimes.

This was because they had drunk deep at the literary fountain of Ghaznīn and had directly or indirectly imbibed and assimilated much from it. There is no gainsaying the fact that the streams of intellectual energy that began to flow into India with the advent of Islām there, traced their origin to that source — Ghaznīn. Most of the Indian Muslim rulers spent their leisure hours in composing verses and in listening to the poetical compositions of accomplished poets. Poets like Amīr Khusrau flourished at the Court of Iltūtmish, while the Court of Balban was renowned all over Asia for its cultural glory. The latter was also an asylum of a number of distinguished poets who had come there in the train of their princely patrons after their expul-

sion from their homes by Changez Khān. Another notable feature of this time was the rise of a number of literary societies in various parts of Muslim India. The lead in the formation of these societies was given by Prince Muhammad, who founded the first literary society whose meeting-place was his own palace and whose president was Amīr Khusrau, his tutor and the most prominent Indo-Persian poet of the day. Barnī, who knew the Prince personally, informs us:—

"The Court of the young Prince was frequented by the most learned, excellent and talented men of the age. His attendants used to read to him the celebrated Shāhnāma, the Dīwān-Sānāī, the Dīwān-ī-Khāqānī and the Khamsa of Shaikh Nizāmī. Learned men used to discuss the merits of these poets in his presence. Amīr Khusrau and Amīr Hasan were members of his Court..... He fully appreciated and rewarded the merits of these two poets and delighted to honour them above all his servants."*

So profound was the Prince's love of poetry that he is said to have collected the choicest poems of the greatest of Persian poets and compiled them into a book. This anthology, we are informed, contained as many as 20,000

^{*} Ferishta, Vol. I. pp. 252 and 258; and Elliot, Vol. III, p. 565.

couplets, the cream of Persian poetry, selected from various $Diw\bar{a}ns$ or poetical collections. With such a prince and his father as patrons, poetry was bound to flourish by leaps and bounds, and needless to point out that it did. Amīr Khusrau, 'the prince of poets', and Amīr Hasan, 'the Sa'dī of Hindustān,' referred to above, and a number of other eminent poets prospered during the Khiljī regime under the patronage of the Court. Amīr Khusrau, the protégé of a number of successive sovereigns since Iltūtmish, as we have seen, lived long enough to enjoy the patronage of Sultān Ghiyās-ud-Dīn Tughluq, who paid him an honorarium of 1,000 Tankas per month from the State Treasury. Ghiyās was a great patron of poetry; but his successor, Muhammad Shāh Tughluq, the ablest and the most learned of all the crowned heads of the Middle Ages, was himself a gifted poet, having at his command a vast store of verses which he used to quote in the course of conversation as well as composition. His munificence attracted a goodly number of poets to his Court from far and wide, and enhanced its poetical prestige. The peaceful and prosperous reign of Firoz Shāh Tughluq and the patronage of the Sultān were highly conducive to the growth of poetry. Azīz-ud-Dīn Khālid Khāuī, an accomplished poet, and Qāzī Abdul Muqtadir Shanīhī, the best Arabic and Persian poet of India at that time, flourished under his patronage. Sultan Sikandar Lodhi was a poet

who composed elegant verses under the nom de plume of Gulrukh. According to the author of the Muntakhab-ut-Tawārīkh, his Dīwān (poetical collection) contained 80,000 couplets.* Shāh Jalāl was his tutor in poetry. Needless to say that a man who enjoyed this proud privilege must have been a master of his art. Besides Shāh Jalāl, there were many others who lived at the Court and were raised to affluence by the Sultān. It may be noted here that the keeping of good poets at the Royal Court had by this time become a regular institution in India.†

The independent rulers of the outlying provinces were scarcely behind -. Provincial the Sultans of Delhi in their Kingdoms. patronage of poetry and encouragement of poets. They had their own court luminaries who sang their praises and enjoyed their favours. Their courts warmly welcomed the many poets and scholars who had been ousted out of their pests by the storm of Tīmūr's invasion or by the disturbances occasioned by the misgovernment of the last of the Tughluq and Lodhī kings. The courts of Jaunpur, Ahmadābād (Gujarāt), Mandu (Mālwa), Lakhnautī or Gaur (Bengāl), Kulbarga and Bidar (Bahmanid Kingdom in the Deccan) deserve specific mention in this respect. Thousands of poets and other men of learning flocked

^{*} Muntakhab-ut-Tawārikh (Ranking), Vol. I, p. 428.

[†] Vide Education in Muslim India, pp. 37 ff.

to those centres of light and learning and settled there permanently; for in the first place, they enjoyed comparative peace and, secondly, they found generous patrons in the rulers of those kingdoms. Jaunpur became a great universitycity and came to be called 'the Shīrāz of India' under the Sharqis, particularly under its most capable ruler, Sultan Ibrahim Sharqi. The rulers of Gujarat were behind none of the rulers of other provincial kingdoms in their sympathy with and their support of the cause of learning with the possible exception of the Sharqis of Jaunpur, especially Ibrahim. The names of Ahmad Shāh, Muhammad Shāh, Mahmūd Shāh, Muzaffar Shāh II and Mahmūd Shāh II stand out pre-eminent in this sphere. They loved learning and promoted poetry by rewarding merits. Malwa too was a most flourishing literary centre, where poetry was widely cultivated and encouraged. Under Mahmud Khilji (1436-69) it could favourably compare with Samargand and Shīrāz in point of literary excellence. The rulers of Bengal, particularly those who belonged to the Hussaini Dynasty. were distinguished patrons of letters. era has been rightly regarded as the Augustan age of Lakhnautī or Gaur, the capital of Bengal. Hussain Shah and his son, Nusrat Shah, of that line occupy a high place in the literary history of Bengal. The Bahmanid Kingdom also loomed large in the mental horizon of the Muslim World, Muhammad Shah Bahmani was an accomplished poet.

He composed excellent verses in Arabic and Persian, in both of which languages he was highly proficient. Attracted by his bounty, a number of poets came flocking to his Court from all parts of Asia, chiefly from Arabia and Persia. His fame travelled far and wide and, in response to his invitation, Hāfiz of Shīrāz, who is too well-known a poet to need an introduction, set out from his home, but for some reason or the other abandoned the voyage. He, however, wrote an ode and sent it to the Sultan through one Faizullah. The ode elicited the spontaneous admiration of the Sultan who repaid him by sending presents worth one thousand pieces of gold. Firoz Shāh Bahmanī (1397-1422) was gifted with a remarkable genius for poetry. His Takhallus (poetical name) was Firozī. He used to send out ships to different countries every year in search of learned men and poets. He bequeathed his love of poetry to his son, Ahmad Shāh, who at one time awarded to one Shaikh Azarī a sum of 700,000 Deccanese Tānkās as a present and 25,000 Tankās more to defray the expenses of his journey home in appreciation of the verses he composed in praise of the palace at Bidar. Ismāīl 'Adil Shāh of Bijāpur (1510-1534) was also a good patron of poetry, whose munificence was widely enjoyed. Golconda had its own Mæcenas: Qulī Qutb Shāh and his successor, Abdullāh Qutb Shāh, both of whom were accomplished poets. Ibn Nishātī flourished under the fostering patronage of the former and wrote two important works, viz., Tūtīnāma and Phūlban, both of which are still considered as models in the Deccanese dialect.*

Poetry, like painting and other fine arts, reached the pinnacle of its - During glory under the patronage of Mughal Period the Great Mughals, almost all of whom were poets of distinction, vitally interested in the promotion of poetry. Babar, to begin with, was a born poet. He used to convene meetings of learned men and indulge in extempore versification. Memoirs describe a gathering of his literary associates in a boat where he and his party composed verses for the sake of amusement. Even in the thick of difficulties and dangers he found time to compose an ode on his misfortunes. 'His battles and orgies were humanised by a breath of poetry.' was the author of several books. He versified a tract composed in honour of Ubaidullah's parents and wrote an interesting and instructive work on prosody called Mufassil. He put into verse the Risāla-i-Wālidiya of His Holiness and the book of Khwāja Ahrār. We also hear of a Masnavi (romance) of his composition which, according to Abul Fazl, enjoyed a wide vogue in his time. Last, but not the least, we 'may mention his Diwan of Turki poems, many

^{*} See Education in Muslim India, pp. 61 ff.

of which he has quoted in his Memoirs.* He is also credited with the invention of a style of verse called Mubāiyān. His son, Humāyūn, inherited from him all his habits and hobbies. He had a great passion for poetry and is said to have assigned a high place to the talented poets of his reign; they were placed among the Ahl-i-S'adat or 'the blessed '-the highest grade in the social division of his people. Sher Shah Sūrī was a profound student of poetry. He had learnt by rote the Gulistan, the Bostan and the Sikandarnāma. The learned men who were the recipients of his favours included a number of poets. His son, Salim Shah, was a poet of considerable merits, who could spin good verses, and was a generous patron of letters. Akbar the Great was endowed with a romantic and receptive turn of mind. He understood and appreciated the niceties and elegances of poetry and was a good poet. Some of his verses have been preserved by Allama Abul Fazl and other contemporary writers. He was a fastidious critic of poetry and used to improve verses composed by others. During his reign poetry made mighty strides. Poets like Abul Faiz, popularly known as Faizī, the Persian Poet Laureate of India, Khān-i-Khanan Abdur Rahim, himself a good poet and a patron of other poets, and Abdul Fateh, who

^{*} For Bäbar's books vide Ferishta, Vol. II, pp. 61-65; Muntakhab-ut-Tawārikh (Ranking), Vol. I, p. 449; Memoirs of Bābar, Erskine, p. 431; and Law, p. 122.

was very highly spoken of by 'Urfī, the renowned poet of Shīrāz, flourished under his patronage and greatly enriched the poetical literature of the period.* Jahangir was a good scholar, a great passion for poetry. come across a number of instances of his munificence in his autobiography, called the Tuzk-i-Jahāngīrī. Among the many poets attached to his Court may be mentioned the names of Bābā Tālib Isfahānī, Fasūnī Kashī Malak-ush-Shú'arā or Poet Laureate Tālib-i-Amlī, Mīr Ma'sūm Kāshī, Mullah Haidar Khasalī, Mullah Nazīrī Nishāpurī, Shaidā and Mullah Hayatī Gilānī, who were more prominent than others. Himself a cultured king, endowed with refined tastes, Shah Jahan was a liberal patron of letters. Like his predecessors, he bestowed his favours on poets and other learned scholars of his time. His son, Dara Shikoh, was a most finished scholar and a generous patron of learning. Aurangzeb had a great facility in composing verses. The Farhati-Nazirin mentions the names of forty-five poets who enjoyed his bounty. Of these poets, six had hailed from Lahore and one of these six was a Brahman named Chandar Bhan and the remaining five were Munir, Faiza, Araf. Nasir Ali and Mīr Jalāl-ud-Dīn S'adat Asr. Sarmad was the best poet of the day, basking

^{*} Akbar is generally said to have been illiterate. This Vide my Education in Muslim India, pp. 164 II. For a specimen of his hand-writing, see Bilizad's Paintings in the Zafarnamah Ms. by Sir Thomas Arnold.

in the Imperial sun. The later Mughals were also devoted votaries of the Muse. Bahādur Shāh, the last of the line, was a most accomplished poet whose Takhallus was Zafar. He has left a monumental Diwan of four bulky volumes. It is known as Diwan-i-Zafar. enjoys wide popularity. Zafar's Ghazals are popularly recited by the learned of the Punjab and Hindustan. His elegy on the fall of his famous house and his own fate is a most pathetic piece of poetical literature. Among the poets who flourished at his Court were Asadullah, famous under his poetical name Ghālib, Shaikh Ibrāhīm Zaug and Mīr Khusrau. Zaug had the honour of being the teacher of the Imperial patron in poetry.*

In connection with this account it may be mentioned that almost all the Mughal princesses were learned ladies, having refined tastes. Some of them were poetesses of considerable talents. Aurangzeb's daughter, Zeb-un-Nisā, was, for instance, a good poetess and a great patron of poetry. She has left us a collection of her poems called Dīwān-i Maklıfī, which is very popular among Indian Muslims.†

^{*} See Elliot, Vol. V, pp. 478 ff.; Vol. VI, pp. 315, 360; Vol. VIII, p. 249; Mirāt-i-Ahmadī, Vol. I, p. 410; Tārīkh-i-Hindustān, Vol. VIII, pp. 504-5; Vol. X, pp. 311-12; Muntakhab-ut-Tawārīkh, (Ranking), Vol. II, pp. 207, 216; Ferishta (Briggs), Vol. I, p. 280; and Education in Muslim India, pp. 76 ff.

[†] Vide Education in Muslim India, pp. 192 ff.

The above account, perfunctory in many, respects, is nevertheless sufficient Some strikto indicate the encouragement ing features which poetry received at the of poetry. hands of Musalmans - kings and others. Its wide cutivation may further be inferred from the fact that it figured very prominently in the curricula of Muslim schools and colleges, so also from the huge mass of poetical literature that has come down to us from those times. It is not possible just at present to describe the various forms and conventions of the poetry of that period; nor is it possible to discuss the merits and short-comings of the poets who flourished then. That is too much for the present. We may, however, note that the main and most popular themes on which so much was written were religion, divine love, beauty, praise of patrons, love of sweethearts and praise of the Prophet and his family. An important feature of Darbars in India was that prominent poets used to come from far and wide and recite Qasidas (panegyrics), praising the ruler who presided over the function and rewarded them according to their merits.

A short reference may appropriately be made to the institution of Musha'iras. Musha'ira or poetical sympo- / sium, which was popularized in India by Musalmans and which became a most valuable asset to the progress of poetry. The object

underlying the contest among the poets was to judge which of the poets excelled in composing a Ghazal in a given metre. Mushā'iras, in which filbadih or extempore verses were com- ... posed and recited, were also frequently held. Though it was not customary for the meeting or its president to declare who carried the day, yet the people present there were left in no doubt as to who recited the best poem, the indication of opinion being given by loud applause of the audience or approbation of those in a position to judge. The desire to win the laurels of the day, the ambition to achieve fame and the hope to become court poets and to receive Imperial favours engendered a spirit of healthy competition and pushed poetry almost to perfection.* We connot, however, fully appreciate and derive full benefit from the wealth of poetical litrature that was produced during that period: that awaits exploration and elaborate treatment.

Poetry as well as prose, both of which were liberally encouraged by Muslim Kings and other well-to-do lovers of learning, acted, as it were, a conduit-pipe through which ideals of Islām and Islāmic culture were diffused in India among non Muslims. The Hindūs, who are endowed with a special aptitude for

^{*} See Education in Muslim India, pp. 25 and 233-34; and J. R. S. A. (Jan., 1936), p. 233.

adaptation in matters intellectual, took very eagerly to Persian literature, particularly to poetry owing to its peculiar charms, and distinguished themselves in that field quite as much as they have done in English literature in our own times.* It was largely through the medium of Persian prose and poetry, which in their turn had been immensely influenced by the texts of the sacred literature treasured in the Arabic language, that the educated Hindus of those times imbibed and assimilated the ethical thought of Islam. Of the remarkable results which this influence produced, one was the rise of what has been called the Bakhti Movement+ and the revival of monotheism and the other was the evolution of an indigenous language, Urdu, which in coure of time became the lingua franca of Northern India and symbolized the union of two different cultures represented by Hinduism and Islam. In other respects also poetry had no mean share in influencing Hindu ideas and ideals, but these details cannot be discussed or dilated upon in a book covering such a wide range of subjects.

The case of Kashmīrī Pandits and Kayasthas affords an excellent illustration of this fact. Sometime ago a big book containing Persian poems composed by Kashmīrī Pandits was published. (See J. R. S. A., January, 1936, pp. 230-32). Also see Bernier's Travels, pp. 401-402, where the achievements of Kashmīrī Pandits have been dealt with at some length.

[†] We will refer to this movement while dealing with Religious Influences in Chapter XI.

CHAPTER IX

MUSIC

In the early days of Islam music suffered in the same way as painting not Introductory. so much on the same ground but probably because it tended to dominate human mind so much as to render it incapable of thinking of anything else. History is a witness that too much indulgence in music, unconsciously resulting in the neglect of other duties. has often cost kings their crowns and lost the masses their freedom.* It was perhaps on account of its too powerful attraction that music was discouraged in the beginning. pite this discouragement, however, human nature proved too strong and the art began to be cultivated in the same way and with the same, if not greater, zeal as painting. Religious sanction, when sought, was soon found in the Hadith, recommending Tilawat or reading of the Qur-an with a sweet voice. The contact of Islam with Iran, where music was most popular, and the influence of Sūfīs (Muslim mystics), 'who believed in the efficacy of music as a means of elevating the soul and as an aid to spiritual progress', brought about a great

^{*} Vide infra.

change in the attitude of Musalmans towards this art and went a long way in wiping off the stigma attached to it. The position was further simplified when Musalmans settled down in India and found music occupying a high place in the scheme of Hindū social and religious life. The result was that though divine service in mosques continued to be performed on orthodox lines, without extraneous aids of music, either vocal or instrumental, the art became so popular that musicians began to loom large on almost all festive occasions. The Sūfīs' fondness for music brought into vogue the practice of holding semi-religious congregations, where songs of divine love called Qawwālis were sung by professional singers called Oawwals.*

With rare exceptions, the Muslim rulers of India were exceedingly fond of music, vocal as well as instrumental. They kept a regular company of singers and instrumental performers at their courts and listened to their melodies in their leisure hours. Music provided a most favourite pleasure and pastime. The activities of Amīr Khusrau, 'the greatest of all ancient and modern poets' according to Barnī, were not confined to literary sphere: He was a humourist, singer and dancer of a very high order. It is said that on the occasion of every convivial

^{*} J. R. S. A., (1936), pp. 236-237.

party that his patron gave to his companions. he cheered up the august assembly by reciting a new poem and singing a fresh song. His rapturous melodies surpassed those of his contemporary, Gopāl Nāik, the master musician attached to the Court of Vijayanagar. He is reputed to have invented the Qawwali mode of singing - a judicious mixture of Indo-Persian models - , which later on gained a great popularity among Indian Muslims. Khusrau was at home in instrumental music as well. He introduced the Sitar, which was an improvement on the Vinā. Blessed with a long life and endowed with versatile qualities of head and heart, Khusrau flourished at the Muslim Court during the period beginning from Iltūtmish and coming down to the reign of Ghiyas-ud-Din Tughlug. Rukn-ud-Din Firoz Shah, son of Iltutmish, was exceedingly fond of music and dancing, so much so that singers and dancing girls grew wealthy by his favours.* Balban was too serious to keep musicians and dancers at his Court, but music was not deprived of the royal patronage: It found its devoted votary in his son, Prince Kurra Bughra Khan, who founded what we may now call a dramatic society, whose members included a number of musicians, dancers and actors who used to meet at the palace of the Prince. The example thus set by the Royal House was followed by the nobility and middle classes and the result was

^{*} Tārikh-i-Ferishta (Urdu). Vol. I, p. 127.

that a number of similar societies sprang up in several parts of the kingdom. Jalal-ud-Din Firoz Khilji cherished music more than any other fine art. His companions were noted as much for their learning and wisdom as for their wit and humour. He used to invite them to his private parties and sang and danced with them freely. The best singers of his Court were Amīr Khusrau, Hamīd Raja and Amīr Khāsa, while the royal orchestra consisted of Fatū Khān, Bihrūz, Nasīr Khān and Muham-mad Shāh Chungī. They cheered up the King and his companions and received rich rewards. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Khiljī was also a great lover of music. He is said to have imported Gopal Naik of Vijayānagar, to whom a reference has already been made.* Firoz Shāh Tughlug was no less interested in the progress of music. Parties of melodious singers, story-tellers, etc., numbering about 3,000, used to attend the Royal Court on every Friday and give musical and other performances, for which they were handsomely rewarded.+

Though the histories of most of the provincial kingdoms are unchronicled In Provincial partly because they had no court Kingdoms historians and partly because they changed hands with great rapidity and

^{*} The Splendour That Was 'Ind, p. 115.

[†] Tārikh-i-Ferishta (Urdu), Vol. I, pp. 127, 135-36 and 176; Education in Muslim India, pp. 12, 151; and The Splendour That Was 'Ind, p. 115.

thus our information about them, particularly about the life and condition of the people living there, is rather meagre, yet we can gather from sidelights and some other sources that music, like other fine arts, was practised and encouraged by their rulers. Sultan Hussain Shah Sharqī of Jaunpur (1458-79) was highly proficient in this art. He enjoys the reputation of being the inventor of Khiyal, which has since become so popular. Bāz Bahādur of Mālwa, who was defeated by Akbar and whose kingdom was incorporated in the Mughal Empire, was madly enamoured of music, in which he indulged to the utter neglect of his duties as a ruler. This ardent follower of Orpheus paid the penalty for his excessive indulgence in music by losing his crown. His beloved and beautiful wife, Rupmati, was an excellent singer. "Seven long happy years," we are told, "did they live together, while she sang to him of love." A great linguist and a cultured king, Zain-ul-'Abidīn of Kashmīr (1420-70) was not only exceedingly fond of music, but was highly accomplished in this art. Of the musicians who enjoyed his favours, the best and most famous was Budi Butt, the author of an excellent treatise on music, which, when perused in the presence of his royal patron, won him a reward worthy of his talents. Firoz Shah Bahmanī (1397—1422) loved to hear good songs and argued that they helped him to think of God. Mahmūd Shāh Bahmanī (1482—1518) was too much devoted to music to think of

anything else. He passed most of his time in the company of musicians and fiddlers who flocked to his Court and received his favours. He was yet another victim to excessive indulgence in music, whose neglect of State affairs cost him his crown. Yūsuf 'Adil Shāh of Bijāpur (1490-1510) found relief and pleasure in music. He was an expert in the art. According to Mr. N.N. Law, "his skill in it was superior to that of many a master musician of the time whom he encouraged to attend his Court by handsome rewards." The same writer further states that he could sucesssfully play on two or three kinds of musical instruments and "in his delightful mood sang extempore compositions." His son, Ismāīl 'Adil Shāh (1510-34), was a polished prince, with a strong passion for music. His tastes were Persian and Turkish rather than Deccanese. Chand Bibi, the favourite heroine of the Deccan, was a lady of extraordinary talents. Almost all the people of the country believed that no minstrel could play half so beautifully on the lyre or sing half so sweetly as she did.*

The Mughal Emperors' love and patronage; of music has rightly passed into During the a proverb. Bābar was well-Mughal Period. versed in this art and was the author of a very interesting treatise on it. Humāvūn had divided his people into three

^{*} Travels of Ibn Batiita, pp. 186-87; Education in (See page 161)

classes, viz., Ahl-i-S'ādat, consisting of the learned and the pious; Ahl-i-Daulat, consisting of the members of the royal blood and other high officials of the State; and Ahl-i-Murād, consisting of musicians and singers who depended wholly on the patronage of the Emperor for their maintenance. The last-named class should have been called Ahl-i-Tarab or the party of amusement. Music reached its noon-day splendour during the reign of Akbar the Great who, besides being an expert in the art, having a considerable knowledge of its technicalities, was its most powerful patron. "His Majesty," says Abul Fazl, "pays much attention to music and patronizes those who practise this art." Attracted by his encouragement, a large number of musicians hailed to his Court from Persia and other places. They belonged to both the sexes. The finest musical gem of his Court was Mian Tan Sen, the greatest musician that India has known since or before. The bewitching sweetness of his voice is said to have set the Jumna on fire and at his charming tunes, to borrow another metaphor, household utensils used to dance. His tomb has become a place of pilgrimage for the latter-day musicians of India. Harī Dāss, Rām Dāss, Subhān Khan, Daud Dhari, Diwan Dhari, Mullah Is-haqi, Mian Nanak Jarju and Chand Khan Gwaliori were other prominent Court singers.

in Muslim India, pp. 152-53; Tārīkh-i-Ferishta (Urdu), Vol. 1, pp. 441, 528. —, Vol. II, pp. 8, 45, 406, 515, 518-19.

The best instrumental performers were Shihab Khān, Purbin Khān, Ustād Dost Muhammad of Mashed, Bahram Quli of Herat, Bir Mandal, Ustād Muhammad Hussain, Mīr Abdullāh and Qāsim. The one last named is said to have invented an instrument between the Rabab and the Oubnz. Khān-i Khānān Abdur Rahīm was also a liberal patron of music. One day he granted a sum of Rs. 100,000 to Harī Dāss and Rām Dāss as a present. Jahāngīr 'the Prince of Artists', spent a good deal of his time in hearing the sweet songs sung by such Court musicians as Jahangīr Dad, Parwez Dad, Khurram Dad, Makhū and Chatar Khan, who flourished under his patronage. Shah Jahan was a good singer and 'a great patron of music.' He used to spend two or three hours daily 'in / listening to songs of women' at night time. The master musicians, whom he loved to hear, were Ram Dass and Mahapattar, the philomels of the Mughal Court. The later Mughals were even more devoted to this art than their ancestors: They indulged in it even to the neglect of their duties and paid the usual penalty,*

This is not the place to enter into the details of the highly elaborate technique of Indian music. We may, however, note in passing that the master musicians of India fully knew

^{*} The Mughal Empire From Bābar To Aurangzeb, pp. 29, 174-76, 219, 278, 373-74; The Splendour That Was Ind, pp. 115 ff.; Tavernier's Travels, Vol. I, p. 81;

the nature of sound and the art of voice production. They could easily adapt their music to the season of the year, the hour of the day and the mood of the performer. They also knew how to make music respond to the requirements of a particular occasion. There were many $T\bar{a}l\bar{a}s$ and numerous $R\bar{a}gs$ and $R\bar{a}gn\bar{s}s$.

Instrumentation of a most bewildering variety has been a most out-Some Musical standing feature of Indian music. Instrume nts. The principal musical instruments may be divided into four classes: (1) Stringed instruments strung with steel or brass wires or silken cords and tuned with a piece of wood or ivory or finger nails. Among these may be mentioned Vina, Sarod, Sitar, Tambura. Rabāb, etc. (2) Instruments played with a bow, e. g., Sarangi, Dilrubā, Mayūri, etc. (3) Drumlike instruments struck by hands or with sticks, viz., Pakhwāz, Tabla, Naggāra, Dholak, etc. (4). Wind instruments like pipe, blown by the mouth with full or half breaths. Among these may be mentioned Bin, Bansri, Surnā, etc. Many of these musical instruments were either invented or introduced by Musalmans or were given Persian names by them after some improvements in their form. Instruments such

and Early Travels in India, pp. 103, 310 and 315. Also see N. N. Law's Promotion of Learning in India.

^{*} See The Splendour That Was 'Ind, pp. 115 ff.

as Sarod, Dilrubā, Rabāb and Tāūs are the instances in point. Where nine performers concerted together, it was known as Naubat.*

Dancing was equally popular and pursued with remarkable zeal. It had developed its own technique. It was a necessary corollary to music, so much so that it was difficult to imagine a music party unaccompanied by dancing. Dancers had their own peculiar dresses, suiting their art. There were regular dancing girls who could be engaged for music parties The Devādāsis are too well known to call for a special notice.†

Music, in short, was most popular in Muslim India, more than we are lim India, more than we are led to believe. One reason for its popularity may be found in the fact that a vast majority of Indian Muslims were originally Hindūs or off-springs of Hindūs, who were too fond of it to give it up after embracing Islām, with the result that the artimperceptibly permeated Muslim ranks and became widely popular. It may also be noted here that music, like other fine arts, opened a new channel of intercourse between the

^{*} Ibid., pp. 118-19; The Mughal Empire From Bābar To Aurangzeb, p. 175; Early Travels in India, pp. 103, 310 and 315; J. R. S. A. (Jan., 1936), pp. 236 and 237; and Observations on the Mussalmans of India, pp. 106-7.

[†] The Splendour That Was 'Ind, p. 122.

Hindūs and Muslims of India. The process of co-operation and intermutation began right from the advent of Muslims in India and it was distinctly manifest how the two communities borrowed from each other the precious stores they possessed and thus enriched each other. Khiyāl, for example, has become an important limb of Hindū music, while Dhrūpod has engrafted itself on Muslim music.*

* * * *

A perusal of the preceding chapters must have made it clear that the Kings' example Muslim Kings of India were followed by great patrons of fine arts. It their Courtiers. remains to be noted that the example they set in this sphere was followed by the Muslim nobility and upper classes, whose interest might not have been genuine at all times, but whatever they did, whether as followers of fashion and flattery or as lovers of fine arts, had a beneficial effect on artistic development. That this was highly conducive to the cultural progress of the country cannot be doubted. For, when a king takes a real personal interest in the promotion of arts and literature, he is readily imitated by his courtiers, and this influence further flows down to those who associate with them or come in contact with them.

^{*} The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, pp. 175-76; and Promotion of Learning in India, by N. N. Law.

"This tendency", says Sir Abdul Qadir' "was particularly strong among the nobility of the Mughal Court." Referring to this tendency in his book on "The History of the Reign of Shah Jahan". Mr. A. Aziz writes :-

"The Mughal nobility constituted a sort off agency through which the ideals of art and morals and manners were diffused among the lower classes The habits and customs of the people, their ideas, tendencies and ambitions, their tastes and pleasures were often unconsciously fashioned on this model. The peerage acted as the conduit-pipe for this stream of influence. The patronage of art and culture followed the same lines; and even where the interest was not genuine the enlightened pursuits were followed and encouraged as a dogma dictated by fashion ".*

We may then safely conclude that the fine arts were in a most flourishing state during the Muslim Period, being zealously pursued and promoted not only by the kings but also by their courtiers and the common people and that they were mainly responsible for establishing harmonious relations between the Hindus and the Muslims and bringing about the cultural unity of India amidst religious differences.

^{*} Vide J. R. S. A. (January, 1936), p. 235.

CHAPTER X

SOCIAL LIFE

When we attempt to write about the social condition of India under the Muslim Kings, our task becomes very difficult; for, in the first place, our information on this subject is very scanty and secondly, it lies scattered in numerous original writings some of which are not easily accessible to everyone interested in Indian history. In this chapter we will try to bring into focus the relevant rays radiating from the accounts of the contemporary chroniclers in such a way as to paint a vivid picture of society in Muslim India.

To begin with the inner life of an average home: The most important events of Indian domestic life were birth, marriage and death,* round which were spun numerous customs and ceremonies. The birth of a baby, particularly of a male one, afforded an occasion of great happiness and joyous festivities. If born in a Hindū house, an all-wise Pandit was invited

^{*}See Qānūn-i-Islām by Ja'far Sharlf, pp. 17 ff: J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, pp. 248 ff.; and Observations on the Mussalmāns of India, pp. 210 ff., 56 ff. and 180 ff.

with a view to cast a horoscope (Janampatrā) and to perform the popular purificatoy rites. If born in a Muslim house, Azān (call for prayers) was read into his ears and then after the termination of the period of Sotak (ceremonial impurity) the rite of Agiga (sacrifice) was performed amidst shouts and showers of good wishes or Mubarakbad. Normally at the age of five, the Hindū child was placed under the tuition of a Gura or moral tutor, who took care of him until he attained the age of majority. When the Muslim child attained the age of 'four years, four months and four days' the ceremony of Bismillah Khani (also called Maktab Ceremony or the ceremony of commencing the education of a child) was performed and he was put to a school or Maktab.* Sometimes earlier than this and sometimes later, the circumcision was performed. Almost about this time, marriage negotiations commenced because both the communities - Hindus and Muslims favoured early marriage. The parents took the greatest possible care in choosing their sons-inlaw or daughters-in-law. The negotiations culminated in an agreement (often verbal) which was called Mangani or Tilaka (i.e. betrothal ceremony), which was celebrated with suitable ceremonies befitting the occasion. Among the Hindus the act of making a formal gift of their daughters to their prospective sonsin-law was called Kanyadan (giving away a

^{*} Vide Education in Muslim India, pp 152-53.

virgin in marriage by way of a gift). When married, the final departure of a Muslim bride from the roof of her parents was known as Rukhsat. This is not a complete list of the ceremonies surrounding the marriage of a couple. There were numerous ceremonies performed on the occasion of marriage, but their name is legion and a very long tale in telling. Like birth, death too had its own set of ceremonies which were very scrupulously per-formed; but unlike it, the occasion of death was characterized by demonstration of grief instead of happiness. Both Hindus and Muslims believed in life after death with the difference that life after death was eternal in the case of Muslims, but not so in the case of Hindus who believed in the doctrine of transmigration of souls according to Karma or the law of deeds.* The dead bodies of the Hindus were, as a rule, cremated+ and if a husband died his wife also shared his lot by becoming Satit and she was

According to Hindu belief, when a person dies the soul of the deceased enters into another body after some time and then dies and again assumes another form according to Karma, and the cycle of life and death ceaselessly continues.

[†] The orthodox theory enjoins the casting of corpse of a Brāhman into a river, the cremation of that of a Kshatriya and the burial of that of a Shudra; but the practice of burning the dead was almost universally observed by the Hindus of high castes during the period under consideration.

[‡] Forcible Sati was strictly forbidden by the Muslim Kings, but was permitted when done voluntarily.

burnt alive on her husband's funeral pyre, whether according to or against her will, though a husband was never subjected to such a sacrifice in case his wife passed away. The Muslims were buried without exception. Among the posthumous ceremonies performed at the time of death, they attached immense importance to Sayyum (the third day) and Chihillum (the fortieth day), when the Qur-ān was recited and sweet drinks (sharbat) and betal leaves and and even food were supplied to the poor according to the means of the berieved family for the spiritual benefit of the departed soul.*

When the Musalmans first appeared in India, and indeed for a long time afterwards, they fully exploited the native architectural talent in planning their palaces and towns. To the outstanding features of a Hindū town, viz., massive and durable palaces and places of pilgrimages, temples and tanks, broad and open spaces, they added the distinguishing features of a Muslim

This was indeed one of the noblest contributions of Muslim Rule to the cause of Indian womanhood—a contribution that has not so far been duly appreciated. For some details of this practice, see Travels of Ibn Batūta, pp. 191 ff.; Early Travels in India, p. 119; Bernier's Travels, pp. 306-14; and Tavernier's Travels, Vol. II, pp. 162, ff.

^{*} J. A. S. B., (1935), Vol. I, pp. 248 ff.; Observations on the Mussalmans of India, pp. 56 ff.; and Qanun-i-Islam, pp. 89, ff.

colony, viz.. magnificent Masjids and Madrasas, with fountains, arches and domes; gateways and mausoliums, with beautiful gardens; an improved style of city-walls or ramparts, with series of watch-towers and other military equipment. The most important, and of course, the most beautiful building in the capital city was, from the very nature of the case, the Royal Quarter, containing the palace of the King and the houses of his establishment, the Imperial Harem and a Masjid. Among the numerous apartments of the Royal Palace may be mentioned the Jāmkhāna or drawing room, dressing rooms, bath-rooms, retiring rooms, which opened into courtyards and female quarters called Zenāna. The walls of the palace were adorned with silk hangings and velvet tapestries, fringed with beautiful brocades and studded with precious stones. In short, nothing was spared to make the Imperial abode a thing of beauty and romance. The houses or havelis of high officials or nobles of rank were modelled on the plan of the Royal Palace. They were spacious buildings, containing a number of apartments, drawing rooms, bathrooms, a water-tank, an open courtyard, an orchard and a modest library. Some rooms were set apart for the use of the Harem. They were decorated with valuable hangings and adorned with other articles of luxury. The furniture of the Royal Palace and of the houses of the nobility comprised, inter alia, beds and chairs, bedsteads and cushions. The articles of bedding were collectively called Chhapparkhat. Sitalpattis or mattresses and mosquito-curtains were also used in some parts of the country. There were also houses that had a tank on one side of it, on orchard of fruit trees on the other, bamboo groves on the third and an open space on the fourth. The houses of the poor represented the minimum of the immediate necessaries of life. Four low walls, made of clay, enclosed a small space and had over them a thatched roof, supported by some wooden legs, resting on wooden stands or rough pillars. A small opening was left out in front to serve as an entrance. It was sometimes fitted with doors and sometimes not. The floor was plastered with mud, mixed with cowdung. The houses of high class peasants, such as those of headmen and village elders, were somewhat bigger and better equipped. Often they were very commodious and had a Chabutra (raised platform) outside, an open courtyard, a verandah and even a second story.*

Dresses and clothes differed with different classes in the same way as houses and displayed almost the same diversity of design and decorative art. The only uniformity, if there was any, was among the peasants and the poorest classes and it chiefly

^{*} J. A. S B. (1935), Vol. I, pp. 265 ff; and Observations on the Mussalmans of India, pp. 163 ff.

consisted in reducing the requirements of clothing to the minimum. The early Sultans of Delhi used Kullahs or tall Tartar caps as their headdress. King Jalal-ud-Din used to wear a turban. Qabās or tight-fitting tunics were worn for coating. They were made of fine muslin if worn in summer and of pure wool if worn in winter. Peshwaz and Anga, which became so stylish and popular later on, were modelled on them. Dagla, which looked like a loose gown, stuffed with corded cotton or some other warm and soft material, was used as an overcoat in winter. The monarch and the highest officials of the State used Farghuls or fur-coats as well. These coats were often presented to the nobles as Khil'ats or robes of honour. The Nadri wear, invented by Jahangir, was a. robe of honour reserved for the favoured few of his friends and courtiers.* Ordinary shirts. Shalwars (loose drawers) and light but beautiful shoes were commonly used. Sleeping suits were worn at night and changed almost every day. In their private life, the nobles wore the same dress as their king; on public occasions, they used to wear the Khil'at dress, which consisted of a Kullah, a tunic worked with brocade and velvet, and a white belt. The nobles of high rank rode a Tartar stallion, equipped with precious trappings, and had some retainers in front and behind him. Turban

^{*} The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb. p. 392; and The Splendour That Was 'Ind, p. 211.

(Pag) was also used in private. Underwears and sleeping suits were also in use among them. The dresses of the nobles of lower rank should be judged accordingly. The soldiers had no special uniform. The arms they carried distinguished them from the rest of the people. The orthodox Muslims wore simple dresses in accordance with the spirit of the Shariyat. The Ulamā wore a turban (Amāma) and a gown (Qaba). The ascetics were a tall Darvish cap, the Qalans wah, on their head, wooden sandals on their feet, and wrapped themselves in a sheet of unsewn cloth. The Sufis dressed themselves in loose woolen gowns. Moorish turbans, loose drawers, long leather shoes and finger-rings were in vogue in places such as Gujarat, where Moorish influence ruled sup-The Hindus used turbans as their head-dress and wore a mark called Tilak on their forehead. If a Hindū did not wear such a mark on his forehead or a ring in one of his ears, there was nothing to distinguish him from a Muslim noble. Dhotis trimmed with gold lace, sandals studded with pegs of precious metal and a forked stick called Baisākhā were used by the Brahmans. The Sadhus or Yogis (ascetics) of both the sexes among the Hindus used a simple Langota (loin-cloth) and a dried gourd and went about besmeared with ashes, carrying an achre, a deer horn, a Chakra, a necklace of jujubes, an umbrella, a trident, a rosary, and a begging bowl. The Hindu masses moved about almost naked, wearing only

a Langoti or a Dhoti,* which was sufficient for purposes of clothing. It was only under the influence of Islām and as a natural result of coming into contact with Musalmāns that the Hindūs began to wear the dresess which they now wear. Musalmāns' contribution to Indian dress is in no way inferior to their contributions to Indian culture in general.

As regards the dress of Muslim ladies of Ladies' Dresses. upper classes, we may say that it consisted of loose drawers, a shirt and a scarf together with the familiar veils or shrouds, i.e. Burqās. Among Hindū ladies, a Chādar or a sheet of cloth, resembling Sari, a Cholā or bodice, an Angyā or brassiere of dark colour, a Lehnga or a long and loose short, and a Rupatia or long scarf, were worn in various parts of the country. Leather shoes were also used and according to a writer 'the probability is that more women wore shoes than men'. Dresses in India have undergone numerous changes, yet the older male and female dresses have survived to our own times, both among the Hindūs and the Muslims.†

^{*} Langoti means loin cloth and Dhoti is a long sheet of cloth covering the lower part of the body below the waist.

[†] J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, pp. 275 ff.; and The Splendour That Was 'Ind, pp.107 ff.; and The Mughal Empire From Bābar To Aurangzeh, pp. 391-92; and Qānān-i-Islām, pp. 300 ff.

Beauty has always had its admirers The spirit of self-appreciation and Cosmetics self-realization is embedded in and Toilets. the very nature of human beings. Few can claim to be devoid of this spirit and the period under review is no exception to this rule, for we have ample evidence at our disposal to show that physical attractiveness was cultivated by both the sexes, irrespective of their caste or colour, rank or race. The leisured classes had an additional advantage in that they could utilize their leisure hours in beautifying their persons and adding to their physical charms. 'It was a popular craze to look young even though youth had receded beyond recall.' Rich and respectable persons successfully employed all sorts of devices, such as the use of Khizāb,* etc., for looking young even at the age of sixty. The dressing of hair, the combing of beards, the use of scents and oils and excellent dresses enhanced their beauty. The requirements of bath, particularly among the Hindus, were very elaborate and various kinds of oils were rubbed on the body before bathing. The fair sex required no excuse to look lovely. Most of their time was, therefore, spent in beautifying their persons. Antimony for eyes, vermilion for effecting the parting of hair, musk for breasts, Henna for hands and feet and finger-tips, a certain black powder for eye-brows, dentifrice for

^{*} Khīzāb is a coloured substance used for blackening hairs.

teeth, and betel leaves for reddening lips and sweetening breath were some of the prominent articles of enhancing beauty.*

Ornaments of gold and silver and sometimes of copper and brass were Jewellery. used for the decoration of the body. Wearing of ear-rings was regarded as a mark of respectability and they were worn by men and women alike. In the case of the rich, they were studded with precious stones. The outstanding features of a Rajput warrior were his ear-rings, and turned upside whiskers. Women wore, as they do now in most cases, ornaments on almost every limb of their body from top to toe. Weight and variety, rather than elegance and delicacy, determined the choice of women in matters of decorative ornaments. Suhāg or married life of a lady signified the covering of almost all the body with jewellery.+

The most favourite food of the Muslim Food and Drink. masses was meat; but the Hindūs as a whole were vegetarians. The former were very fond of eating baked bread and fried meat called Kabābs and meat or fowl cooked with rice and spices called Pulāo. The ordinary Muslim meal consisted of fried bread of wheat and

Vide J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, pp. 279 ff.

[†] Ibid., pp. 281-82; and Qanan-i-Islam, pp. 313 ff.

chicken. The Hindus used to take rice boiled with pulses, called Kichhri, and Sambosas. Achars (pickles) and relishes were used by all and sundry. Both Hindus and Muslims used to take their food on the ground, the former individually and the latter collectively, and the abundance at the Dastarkhwan* indicated the height of hospitality and the waste did not matter much because there were a number of dependants, such as domestics and beggars, who used to feed themselves on the remnants. An important feature of Mediæval life was the abundance of public bakeries, where almost every kind of cooked food and raw victuals could be had at reasonable prices. This feature is now out of fashion except in certain parts where the Muslims constitute the bulk of the population. Their existence was opposed to the cooking and eating ideas of the Hindus who attached peculiar sanctity to their Chaukat and never ate from the hands of those of lower castes. kitchen floor was plastered with cowdung and none but they and their equals could have free access to it, and that after duly purifying their persons.1 Their intricate arrangements of cooking and eating have survived to our own times, though they have lost much of their force

^{*} Dastarkhwan means a sheet of cloth which is spread on the floor and on which food is arranged.

[†] Chauka (literally a square piece of ground) is the place where the Hindus cook and eat their food.

[‡] Vide J. A. S. B. Vol. I, (1985), p. 284.

under the influence of Islam which denounces caste restrictions and preaches equality. The Muslims were not fettered with such formalities and had no objection to eating from the hands of other persons.

The two important aspects of the social life of Muslim India were Razm Amusements and Basm or warfare and social a n d intercourse respectively. Recreations. mally, every man was expected to be an active soldier, capable of doing military duty in the times of war. During the Muslim period there was fighting and fighting in plenty, but there were periods of peace as well. In peaceful times people indulged in pleasures and pastimes and recreative sports. Among military sports, which were greatly encouraged in order to keep the martial spirit alive, we may count polo called Chaugan, fencing, wrestling, horseracing, chariot-racing, arrow-shooting and sword-play. Throwing of discuses and javelins was also very popular. Swimming was in vogue. A kind of hockey* was also played in Kashmīr and ball-throwing was popular in Bengal. The game of hockey was played with

^{*} A portrait of the reign of Emperor Jahangir has come down to posterity and is said to be in possession of Sir E. Denison Ross. It describes a match of hockey in progress, being played with polo-sticks, while the Emperor is enjoying it. The game of polo has indeed a direct influence on the development of hockey which resembles it very closely. (Vide J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, p. 287).

polo-sticks. Shikar or chase afforded another pleasure and pastime and the Musalmans, who loved outdoor excursions, were extremely fond of it. Almost all the Sultans and Badshahs of Delhi had a great craze for it. They maintained large establishments for Shikar. The Shikar Department was under a separate officer called Amir-i-Shikar or Master of the Hunt, who was often an officer of high rank. Under him were Arīzān-i-Shikār, Khāsādārān and Mehiaran. A piece of land, measuring twelve Krohs (=about 24 miles), was acquired near Delhi to serve as a State preserve where all kinds of beasts were collected. The Shikar regulations were strictly enforced and defaulters were severely penalized. These were out-door games and sports. Among indoor games that were played both with and without stakes may be mentioned chess, Chaupar (also called Chausar and Pachchisi) and Nard (Persian backgammon). Ganjafa (playing cards) was introduced later.* These and various other games were played at pleasure parties called Jashns, which were also accompanied by danty dishes, drinks, Kabābs and music. These, however, fell to the lot of the rich; the popular amusements, which provided pleasure to the poor, were religious festivals, periodical pilgri-mages to sacred places, jugglers' tricks, folkdances and songs. The Muslim festivals of

^{*} For these and some other popular games, see Qānān-i-Islām. by Ja'far Sharīf, pp. 331 ff.

Idain (Id-ul-Fitr and Id-uz-Zuha) and Shab-i-Barat were celebrated with great enthusiasm. The popular Persian festival of Nauroz or spring festival was becoming more and more popular. Special prayers were offered on both the Ids. The Hindū festival of Basant Panchmi, corresponding to Nauroz, was a spring festival and was celebrated with great eclat. Among other Hindū festivals mention may be made of Diwali or the festival of Diwas (earthen lamps), Shcorātri, Deschra and Puraumāshi. The coronation of a new king, the marriage of a member of the Imperial family, the birth of a prince or princess afforded occasions of unusual happiness, when prisoners were set free, the poor were fed and huge sums of money were spent for catering to the pleasures of the people at large. All such occasions were accompanied by music, both vocal and instrumental. popular art of drama had gone down the high pedestal of glory it had once reached and had degenerated into the mimicries of mountebanks and the vulgar tricks of buffoons and professional jugglers. Its decay was arrested in time by the fresh stimulous it received from the Krishnā cult. Ram līlās and Krishnā līlās, enacting the most familiar and popular events of the lives of Srī Krishnā and Rāmā were attended by large crowds of all classes. There were many acrobats, mountebanks and jugglers, who performed their tricks both with and without the aid of instruments. The tight-rope walker and the puppet-show man were familiar

figures at fairs and festivals. Of the most popular performances of the acrobats of the time were *Morchāl* or rope-trick and mango-trick. Almost every ruler employed some acrobats to amuse himself and his associates at times. Snake-charmer was quite as busy in his trade as he is to-day. Cock-fighting and pigeon-flying were also popular with the people of all classes.*

It may be easy to analyse the morals and manners of a people of any Morals & particular age, but the accuracy Manners. of the results obtained cannot be guaranteed. Generalizations in this respect are therefore apt to be most misleading, because due allowance cannot be made for social as well as individual differences - differences which are highly conspicuous in Indian society. With all this, however, it will be admitted that in comparison with the complexities of the modern age, the morals and manners of the people of the times with which we are concerned here 'were simpler and more uniform, more compact and more homogeneous'. Both the virtues and vices of that age were few, but both were strong and deep-rooted. They were fostered first by religion, then by usage and lastly by traditions. Once it was understood by the people that their forefathers had behaved in a particular manner under certain circum-

^{*} J. A. S. B. (1935) Vol. I, pp. 294 ff.; Qānān-i-Islām, pp. 151, ff.; and Observations on the Mussalmāns of India, pp. 6 ff. and 144 ff.

stances and in such and such situations, the direction for them was clear and the force of this sanction was sufficient. The various virtues of Mediæval Indian society have been summed up by a writer in two comprehensive words, viz., Loyalty and Charity. Loyalty, in the broadest sense of the word, includes such virtues as Bhakti Marga or Namak-Halāli, meaning to be true to one's salt, i.e., loyalty to one's superior or master; Yārī or Dostī - meaning friendship, companionship or comradeship; and chivalry or loyalty to a particular line of conduct or behaviour. Likewise, Charity, in a wide sense, embraces all such traits of character as generosity and hospitality. It was called Dan and Pun by the Hindus and Khairat and Zakat by the Muslims. Cases of individual loyalty and charity were numerous and the history of our period is rich in them; and though cases of treachery and deceit were not few, great importance was attached to the cultivation of such virtues. The vices of the age, like its virtues, were few and are summed up in two words, viz., Wine and Woman. Drinking, though forbidden by the Qur-ān, was supported by Persian tradition and was resorted to by some Muslims on the excuse that 'it was the best restorative for health' and under other similar pretexts. Some of the Ulamā were not free from this vice, so that Amīr Khusrau denounces them for 'pouring liquor in the same bosom in which the Our-an is treasured'. Most of the Muslim Kings of India forbade drinking, but

failed to suppress it successfully. Opium and Bhang were also used. The latter was a favourite drug of Hindū religious orders. Excessive indulgence in physical pleasures was the besetting sin of the times. Prostitution is an ancient institution and we are also familiar with the Devadasis in the Deccan. Girls were regularly offered to temples by the Hindus and public prostitutes were numerous. Prostitution seems to have grown into a regular nuisance in the time of 'Ala-ud-Dīn, for during his reign their number had increased so enormously that it occasioned official anxiety and engaged the serious attention of the authorities. Accordingly, many of them were given away in marriage and thus the profession was relieved of the congestion. The love of male sweetheart, which figures so prominently in contemporary Persian poetry and prose, does betray an unhealthy sex-complex, though it might have been nothing more than merely 'pure love'. In the presence of *Pardah*, the segregation of a large number of the people in military camps, remotely removed from the operation of normal family influences, the handsome appearance and fair colour of a youth would become the cynosure of many eyes and an object of undue admiration, if not of carnal love. Gambling was also common. In Hindū society it had a semi-religious sanction and was almost universally resorted to on certain festivals, such as Diwāli, when, it is stated, those who do not gamble assume the form of

a donkey after their death. Muslim gambler was, according to Amīr Khusrau, a familiar figure in Mediæval Indian society.*

Elsewhere we have said something about the behaviour and manners of Etiquette. the nobles and upper classes. The nobles were guided by their monarch in their manners and mode of living and the masses by the nobility. The nobility acted as an agency through which the ideals of art and morals and manners were diffused among the masses. Their habits and hobbies, pleasures and pastimes their tendencies and traditions, their ambitions and ideas, their morals and manners we moulded on this model. The adage "Gravity and appearance maketh a man" was the motto of most of the people and ' the significance underlying it is correctly conveyed by Amīr Khusrau through his statement: "The silent heights of a mountain peak safeguard its dignity and grandeur". But this did not operate as a bar so as to prevent the people from being sociable, courteous and amiable. The courtesy shown to the fair sex and the hospitality meted out to the strangers rightly passed into household proverbs. Whenever a visitor called upon a nobleman, the latter left his place and advanced a few paces in order to receive him. After an exchange of greetings and shaking of hands, or after a warm and

J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, pp. 308 ff.

hearty embrace if they happened to be friends, the host conducted his guest to his drawingroom and seated him in a most comfortable seat at an elevated place to do him a special honour, and entertained him as best as he could. If the visitor came with a present, he returned with a gift of greater value. This custom was known as Dastūri-i-raftan or 'parting gift' and is still popular among the Muslims. In the course of conversation the choicest words and phrases were used and the speaker avoided making references to his or her own achievements and felt shy and blushed when they were referred to or dilated upon by others in his or her presence. Vulgar jokes and indecent remarks were shunned and loud laughter was avoided. Oaths were commonly taken and administered. that of Haggā (by God) being most the frequent. Gauntlets were thrown down and picked up and duels were frequently fought. Hindū manners were quite sweet, less formal and less demonstrative. A Hindu mother was an object of great veneration, though the fate of an unfortunate widow was tragic.* Ahimsā (non-violence) was Parmā Dharmā (the essence of religion). So much importance was attached to personal hygiene by the Hindus that it engendered hatred of human-beings among them. The rigidity of the caste system was great and Hindū society was divided into water-tight compartments.+

^{*} See Travernier's Travels, Vol. II, pp. 162, ff † J. A. S. B. (1935) Vol. I, pp. 322, ff. and Observations on the Mussalmans of India, pp. 62 and 141, ff.

CHAPTER XI.

RELIGIOUS INFLUENCES

It does not fall within the scope of this small chapter to define Islām and to describe its principles and practices — for that the reader should read the Qur-ān and the commentaries thereon.* Attention in this chapter is concentrated only on some of its finest features and an attempt is made to trace the influence they exerted on Indian religious thought and practice.

Religious
Freedom.

Religious
Freedom.

India and the Muslim Kings never interfered with the religion of their non-Muslim subjects.

Whether they did so as a matter of choice and free-will or of dire political necessity may be disputed, but the fact remains that they had

^{*} Thanks to the labours of 'Allāma A. Yūsaf Ali, an excellent translation of the Holy Qur-ān is now available for the English speaking people. A perusal of this and such books as The Spirit of Islam by the late Syed Ameer Ali will not only show the beauties of Islam, but dispel all those doubts which mischivous propaganda has brought into existence.

drunk deep at the fountain of Islam which means peace, which preaches peace and which is the principal and most practical exponent of peace. Lā ikrāha fid-dīn, i.e. "There is no compulsion in religion", is a Quranic injunction which the followers of Islam have always obeyed and have therefore behaved most benevolently towards their subjects, irrespective of their race or religion. There is no gainsaying the fact that the lot of the subjugated people has never been better than under the ruling races of Islam, whether in India, Spain or elsewhere. Forcible conversions are neither encouraged nor countenanced but emphatically forbidden by Islam. In India the Zimmis (non-Muslims) were allowed full freedom of worship and liberty of conscience. Nothing can afford a better or more tangible proof of this historical fact than the presence of so many temples throughout the country, chiefly in Delhi, Agra and Lahore, the capital cities of the Muslim Empire, where most of the temples extant in those times have survived to the present day. "The iconoclastic pretensions were meant only for the applause of the gallery". Ambitious and enterprising leaders sometimes exploited the religious zeal of their followers for gaining their ends, but Islam as a religion is to be judged by its principles and not by the acts of those — and they are few and far between —, who pretend to profess it and mendaciously misrepresent it in furtherance of their mundane motives. The mischivous theory

that Islam'was propagated in India and elsewhere at the point of the sword has by this time been fully exploded and it is now admitted by all impartial observers, who have made an independent study of Islam and its teachings. that there is such a magnetic force in this 'open air religion' that everyone is bound to feel drawn towards it in spite of himself. A number of non-Muslims have paid glowing tributes to it and admired its suitability for all climes and conditions. Mr. G. B. Shaw has gone even so far as to declare that in a century or so the whole of Europe in general and England in particular are bound to embrace Islam. The laws of Islam are in fact the laws of nature and are bound to prevail everywhere in the world.

The Muslim rulers of India did not deliberately concern themselves Tabligh or with the work of conversion partly Religious because they were too much Propaganda. occupied with the work of conconsolidation and partly because quest and it was impolitic and inexpedient to do so. was left to Muslim saints and savants such as Abū Hifs Rabī bin Sāhib-al-Asadī-al-Basarī. Mansūr-al-Hallāj, Hazrat Alīyār Shāh Sāhib, Shaikh Isma'īl Bokhārī, Farīd-ud-Dīn Attār. Bābā Rīhān, Nūr-ud-Dīn (or Nūr Satāgar) Sayyad 'Alī Hujverī, Khwāja Muīn-ud-Dīn Chishtī, Shaikh Jalāl-ud-Dīn Tabrezī, Sayyad Jalal-ud-Dīn Bokhārī, Bābā Farīd, Abdul Karīmal-lili, Banda Nawaz Sayyad Muhammad

Gesū Darāz, Pīr Sadr-ud-Dīn, Sayyad Yūsufud-Din and Imam Shah - to name but a a few of those who came to India in the train of Muslim warlords and undertook the work of religious propaganda in a most peaceful manner. Armed with a complete, clear and comprehensive religion, having a simple and intelligible formula of faith, definite dogmas and democratic theories of social organization, the Muslim missionary was sure to score splendid successes in a land like India, where religious conflicts had disturbed the peace of the people and the worship of numerous gods had agitated their minds. There the minds of the people had been thrown open to accept new ideas ideas of liberty, equality and fraternity, so much so that when Islam appeared in India they were ready to receive the gospel of truth it carried with it. Once the beauties of Islam were brought home to the Hindus, who had hitherto been subjected to innumerable indignities and insults and to whom their religion had assigned a most degrading position in life, they flocked towards it in ever-increasing numbers. simple doctrine of monotheism and the democratic brotherhood, in which all are equal and in which a slave of to-day can become a sovereign of to-morrow, coupled with some of such advantages as Imperial favours, which meant so much in that despotic age, must have attracted a number of non-Muslims to the religion of the ruling race, which offered a most perfect. democracy to all those who embraced it.

Moreover, the conversion of such men of might as the last of the Cherāman Perumāl Kings of Mālābār who reigned at Kodungallur, * and the encouragement extended to Muslim missionaries by such kings as the Zamorin, † who 'definitely encouraged conversion', and Kun Pāndya, who made grants of lands for the erection of mosques,‡ must have made a deep impression on the minds of the people under their sway and accelerated conversions into mass movements. The theory that many of the

^{*} Early in the ninth century the last of the Cherāman Perumāl Kings of Mālābār, who reigned at Kodungallur, embraced Islām and proceeded to Mecca on a pilgrimage. He landed at Shahr and died there after four years. From Shahr he sent three savants, viz. Malik Ibn Dīnār, Sharif-ud-Dīn Ibn Mālik and Mālik Ibn Habīb, with their families, to Mālābār with a letter of instructions about the government of his kingdom and the reception of the Musalmans. As a result, the Musalmans were warmly welcomed and allowed to erect mosques and monasteries in Mālābar. The memory of the conversion of the King is kept alive in his country where the coronation of its kings is still surrounded by a number of Islāmic practices.—(See Influence of Islām on Indian Culture, by Tara Chand, p. 34).

[†] The Zamorin is supposed to occupy the throne only as a representative of Cheraman Perumal whose return from Mecca is still awaited. The Maharajas of Travancore have still to declare at their coronation at the time of receiving the sword as an emblem of royalty "I will keep this sword until the uncle who has gone to Mecca returns". (See Influence of Islam on Indian Culture, pp. 34-36).

Influence of Islam on Indian Culture, p. 112).

Hindus had become Musalmans for economic advantages and that their conversion was not the conversion of heart carries its own refutation.

Slavery was a recognized institution in Mediæval India among Slavery. Hindus and the Musalmans, as it was all over the world. The Prophet of Islam was the first to denounce it. The abolition of slavery was doubtless his object, but he could not extirpate it all at once as it had taken deep roots in Arabia. He tried to do away with it by steps. The status assigned to slaves and the conditions governing the existence of the institution of slavery in Islam are such as to amount to its practical negation. Slavery, as it was understood and is still understood outside the world of Islam, cannot be reconciled to the spirit of the teachings preached and propagated by the Prophet. Since in theory a slave was generally a convert to Islam, he possessed the same rights and privileges as any other member of Muslim society. The fact of his embracing Islam had an additional force in it. The event of his conversion was celebrated with great pomp and show when, amidst shouts of Allaho Ahbar and showers of good wishes, he was proclaimed a Muslim from the pulpit of a mosque. If he happened to be a Hindū of a low caste, the social change was decidedly for the better. If he belonged to a high caste, he could not go back to Hindū society except under very

humiliating conditions,* because of his being a captive of war and hence a slave. According to the universally accepted and long established usage of the age, he was at the mercy of his master, who had absolute power over his life and liberty. It was an act of favour and special benevolence on his part if he chose to set him free in accordance with the spirit of his religion or employed him in the service of the State. On the whole, the social status of a Hindū slave in Muslim society was in no way inferior to that of the masses in general. If he hailed from a low stratum of Hindū society, he rose several steps higher in the ladder of liberty and social esteem, and history is a witness that a talented slave often forced his way into the royal household, where all doors of honour and distinctions were flung open to him and whence he climbed such heights of eminence as were not ordinarily accessible to the highest and the noblest in the kingdom. The conditions of the country and the general insecurity of life, coupled with the fact that Islam put no obstacles in his way, often enabled a slave to make his way in the world. Manumission was encouraged by the State and slaves were set free after some time. Slaves were generally

As a member of a high caste, he ought to have fought to the finish and when defeated and driven to despair, he ought to have resorted to Janhar, a rite according to which the Hindus (particularly the Rajputs) killed or cremated their womenfolk alive and themselve appeared on the field and fell fighting against their foe.

employed by Hindus and Muslims alike. They were both male and female. The latter were of two kinds: those who were employed for domestic work and those who were kept for company and pleasure. The former included those who were bought in childhood, and kept for the service of the female inmates of the Harams. Trade in eunuchs was brisk in Bengal during the thirteenth century. Slaves were imported from many countries, but those of India and Turkistan were noted for their industry and skill. Many Muslim kings, such as Muhammad of Ghor and Firoz Shah Tughluq were deeply interested in the education of slaves. They adopted them as their sons and entrusted them with the most responsible tasks. While Muhammad of Ghor bequeathed his dominions to his adopted sons who were originally slaves, Firoz Shah Tughluq maintained a regular department of slaves and took the greatest care in promoting their moral and material welfare. At one time the department is said to have turned as many as . 1,200 slaves into serious scholars and artisans. The work of the department was carried on by an Ariz, a treasurer and a Majmu'dar. The duties of the last-named official were to look after the Mahāschat (accounts) of the Kārkhānas (workshops). This department was under the control of the Vazīr. Muhammad of Ghor, whose love of his slaves is so well known, must have appointed special officials for looking after them, though we do not come across any reference,

but the annals of the early Ghaznawids teem with references to such officials as Hājib Sālāri-Ghulāmān and Mushraf-i-Ghulāmānsarāi. The number of slaves was ever on the increase during the early Muslim period: They were 6,000 in the times of Mas'ūd, 'Alā-ud-Dīn had 50,000 and Firoz Tughlug had 180,000. The Sultans were very fond of keeping slaves because the latter were a kind of set-off against the clannish and tribal brotherhoods and organizations. They were relied upon in the times of necessity and in serious situations, because they depended for their existence on none other than their royal patrons. The Great Mughals were even more greatly interested in the welfare of slaves. Akbar the Great is credited with introducing a reform whereby the prisoners of war could not be enslaved.*

The place that woman occupies and the privileges she enjoys in Muslim society in accordance with the commands of the Qur-ān are in no way inferior to those of man. Man and woman are equally indispensable for each other: They are like the wheels of one carriage. They are absolute masters in their own spheres and the division of duties among them in

^{*} See Elliot Vol. III, pp. 340-41; Ferishta, Vol. I, p. 200; Promotion of Learning in India, pp. 18, 54-55; Education in Muslim India, pp. 39-52 and 206; J.A.S.B. (1935) Vol. I, pp. 150-5 and 187 ff.; and The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, p. 394.

accordance with their inherent aptitudes is quite natural and conducive to their mutual well-being. The notions that woman in Islam has no soul and that she is the toy of her husband in his idle hours are absolutely unfounded and betray either a complete ignorance of the teachings of Islam or a bitter enmity against it. Some of the verses* of the Qur-an and the sayingst of the Prophet Muhammad clearly indicate her position and the laws of marriage and divorce, of inheritance and widowmarriage are meant for her protection. Her chastity has been greatly emphasized by the Prophet of Islam. As a result, her honour has always been jealously guarded by the followers of Islam. The very word 'Haram' (Zenāna) signifies something sacred and shows that she was held in honour verging on veneration. She was not allowed to mix freely with men: The fear that the members of opposite sexes are apt to go wrong if allowed to mix and move freely always haunted the minds of elderly patriarchs and it was therefore that they spared nothing to prevent the possibility of their womens' meeting and mixing with Namehrams (those with whom Nikāh can be contracted) and thus going wrong. The precaution was identified in the long run with living in seclusion

^{*} Al-Qur-ān, Chapter II, Verses 228-29; and Chapter IV, Verses 1, 3, 4, 7.

^{† &}quot;Paradise lies at the feet of mothers."—Muhammad, The best of you is one who is the best in his treatment towards his wife."—Muhammad.

or Pardah. The testimony of the contemporary chroniclers and foreign travellers shows that in Muslim India great importance was attached to the spotless character of women and the public reputation of girls for chastity. The greater the importance attached to the moral reputation of girls, the greater the rigidity of the Pardah.

Mention may appropriately be made about the Pardah system, referred to Pardah System. above. The origin of this ancient institution is wrapped in the mist of antiquity. According to some scholars, women of Hindustan moved about freely before the advent of Islam and that it was, therefore, the Musalmans who introduced the system in this country. This is a mistake that betrays a complete ignorance of ancient Indian history. Since the system of seclusion, as it exists to-day, has been subjected to a most adverse criticism, some Indian enthusiasts have begun to disown it, declaring it as foreign to the soil and attributing its existence in India to extraneous influences. In order to bring out the truth, it is necessary to deal with the subject at some length. There are a number of references to the observance of a very strict Pardah in the sacred literature of the Hindus. Let us take the two great epics, viz., the Rāmāyana and the Mahābhārata, the most important landmarks of ancient Hindu culture and civilization. A perusal of these two sacred books of remote

antiquity will show that seclusion in a very strict form was in existence in the India of those times, when, for instance, ladies like Sītā and Dhropdi could claim that the sun and the wind, nay even the gods, had not seen their persons. When Sītā came out of her seclusion in order to accompany her husband, Rāmā, to the jungle. the people took exception to her appearance in public and exclaimed: "How bad times have become that Sītā, of whom the gods could not obtain a glimpse, has now come out to face the vulgar gaze."* There is another episode in the Rāmāyana, in which it is related that after the conquest of Lanka (Ceylon) when Rama asked his wife, Sītā, to be brought to his presence, his courtiers began to clear the hall of men, whereupon Rāmā, than whom there could be no greater authority on Hindū scriptures, addressed them in the following words :-

"Listen gentlemen! On the occasion of grief or helplessness, or war, or the ceremony of choosing a husband, or a sacred sacrifice, or matrimony, it is no sin for a woman to come out of her seclusion, or for men to cast their looks on her. This Sītā is grief-stricken and helpless, and there is no harm if she comes before men, especially in my presence."†

From the above passage it is clear that it was a sin for women to appear in public and

^{*} Rāmāyana, Podhia Kandam, Swarj 33, Sloka 197. † Ibid., Yudh Kandam, Swarj 114, Sloka 942.

hence Pardah was a religious duty. It is also stated in the Rāmāyana that when Sītā was brought out of her seclusion and ushered in her husband's presence, she felt so shy that she bent low and could not walk "as if she was covering herself in her own body."* The Mahābhārala also contains similar references to the strict seclusion of women. When Yudhishtra lost his wife, Dhropdī, in a gambling match and when the winner, Daryodhana, tried to take her out in the open, she cried out: "The Rajas had seen me only on the occasion of choosing a husband (Swayambra). No one saw me ever before or after it. Even the sun and the winds could not see me. But misfortune has forced me to appear before men to-day. Alas! The Rajas have lost their ancient faith (Sanatan Dharam). No gentleman ever brought his wife before men. But, alas, now religion finds no place in the family." + Almost the same rules regarding Pardah existed in the Puranic times. When, for instance, Raja Manas, the uncle of Raja Srī Krishna, held a wrestling match in Muttra and invited his fellow Rajas from far and near to attend it, he constructed separate enclosures for women in such a way 'that they seemed to be floating high in the air, and thin porous cloth was drawn over to let the women watch the match below. 'I

^{*} Ibid. † Mahābhārata, Sloka 4, 5, 8, 9, Sabha Paroh, Adhyāya 69, p. 61.

[‡] See Hariwans Purāna, Vishnu Paroh, Adhyāya 19.

The public appearance of women is emphatically condemned and is regarded as a feature of Kalyug (age of decline) in the Brahma Purana.* The lighter literature too is not silent on the subject: Veil is mentioned as a mark of nobility in the Harsha-Charila of of Bana. † On seeing Shakuntala for the first time. Raja Dushyant exclaimed: appears to be a fully veiled girl, who has covered her body so closely that her body is completely hidden. In the house of these mendicants she appears to be a little sapling covered with dry leaves." Seclusion finds mention in the Arthāshāstra as well. In it we come across 'laws for making contracts between women who lived in seclusion and others which are absolutely peculiar to such women.' Thus there can be no doubt that Pardali is an ancient indigenous institution and has been in existence in India from times immemorial. According to Mrs. Frieda H. Dass, "It arose along with the division of persons into high and low castes and the seclusion of women became the hallmark of aristocracy". According to Mr. N. C. Mehta, "It is, of course, untrue that Islam brought the Pardah into this country. Seclusion of women can be traced in all ancient communities and

^{*} Brahma Purāna, Sloka 39, Adhyāya 22.

[†] Harshā Charita of Bānā, Act I, Scene 3.

[‡] Shakuntala Ankor, Sloka, 13.

[§] Kantulya's Arthāshāstra edited by Shama Shastri, p. 188 of English translation.

it was particularly among the aristocracy during the palmy days of Hindū civilization. Indian Muslims followed the custom of the country and adopted the prevailing hallmark of gentility."* Mr. Mehta's view derives additional support from the fact that most of the Indian Muslims, as has been stated more than once, were Indian by birth, race and descent and naturally therefore they kept up the customs and traditions they inherited as a legacy from their forefathers. Thus while the rich had elaborate arrangements of Pardah, the poor began to use what is now known as Burqa.

Something may also be said here about the Islamic Pardah. According to the Qur-an, the greatest guide of the Musalmans, women can move about and earn their living, but they have to cast down their eyes and to conceal those parts of their body that are apt to excite passions, and not to display their ornaments.+ Students of Islamic history know that during the lifetime of the Prophet women used to accompany their men to the holy wars and serve as nurses and do other odd jobs. History also shows that women attended mosques as well, though this feature has become rather rare, if not altogether extinct. We also read of numerous lady lecturers, professors and orators, scholars and poetesses in the hagiology of Islam. I

Also see Ancient Hindu Polity, by N.N Law p. 144.

[†] Vide At-Qur an, Chapter XXIV, Verses 30-31.

¹ See A Short History of the Saraceus, pp. 200 ff.

We may then conclude that women in ancient India were excluded from mixing with men and we learn that they moved the lapel of their Sārīs or other head-wears slightly over their face when they passed by a stranger. In other words, they did observe a certain amount of Pardah by using a veil which now passes under the name of Ghunghat and at times it was quite as rigid, elaborate and institutionalized as it was during the Muslim Period on account of the meeting of different cultures. Thus we know for certain that the exclusion of women from male society was common among the Hindus of old and that home was their sphere. When the Musalmans appeared on the stage of Indian history, they brought with them their own ideas about Pardah, which they had borrowed from the Persians in common with several other institutions. Apart from the sense of insecurity occasioned by the inroads of the invaders, which continued for so many centuries, the differences of cultures and conceptions of morality were other factors which contributed to the rigidity of the Pardah in this country. The position may be summed up as follows: The masses, consisting mostly of peasant women, moved about freely without wearing any veil or shrouds whatever; they did not live in seclusion and observed only Ghunghat. Respectable ladies went about in litters called Dolis which were carried sometimes by two and sometimes by four Kahārs or Dolibearers, accompanied by their male servants

or eunuchs. The women of middle classes used what are now called Burqās or long garments, covering their heads and coming down to their ankles.*

Politically-Islam enjoined toleration, opened careers to talents and em-Contributi on s phasized the protection of the of Islam to person and property of the people, Hindu culture. rich and poor, of all classes. united the peoples of India into a nation which, when required, offered a united front to a common enemy - Hindū or Muslim. India before the advent of Islam was a geographical expression, a congeries of small states, each aiming at its own aggrandizement and cherishing its own autonomy, grudgingly acknowledging, if ever, the supremacy of a common suzerain. Muslim domination broke up the numerous centres of independent authority, supplanted the series of small states, whose rulers stood between the Central Government and its subjects, and thus brought about the political unification of India and created a sense of greater allegiance to the power enthroned at the Socially-Islam levelled all social inequalities, condemned caste-restrictions and introduced a number of radical changes in Hindu society. It discouraged slavery, Sati and

^{*} Vide J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, pp. 342 ff. and Mr. N.C. Mehta's article on Pardah in The Leader (Allahabad) Mny. 1928. I am also indebted to Dr. S. N. A. Jafri's article on 'Pardah—Indian and Islamic' for some information on the subject.

infanticide. It also encouraged widow marriage and as a result of its influence, widows came to be treated with greater respect and the status of women was raised considerably high in the social scale. Some of the people gave up burning their dead and began to bury them. Interdining and inter-marriages also became popular among the Hindus of several classes. Islam also minimized the importance of birth and heredity and its influence quickened in Hinduism the feelings of social equality and brotherhood and tended to break down all social barriers and to bridge the gulf existing between the various classes of Hindus on the one hand and the Hindus and Muslims on the other. Religiously - Islam had a far greater influence. It won numerous converts from all classes, more particularly from those to which Hinduism had assigned a low position. Those who still clung to their old religion were indirectly influenced by the teachings of Islam. The monotheistic doctrine, though preached and propagated by the Vedās, was cast to the corner and numerous forms of idolatry were substituted for divine worship, so much so that almost every individual had his or her own god or gods who were worshipped and from whom aid was invoked in all misfortunes. The appearance of Islam in India and the introduction of monotheism revolutionized the religious life of the Hindus and in course of time the intelligentsia among them began to believe and declare that they did not worship the idols as gods but used them as

aids to concentration of thought and that though they appeared to worship them, in reality they worshipped Him to whom alone worship was duc. The influence of Islām which has filtered down to our own times, can be easily traced in the changed attitude of the Hindus, The Bhakti Movement, to which we will presently revert, was an important result of that influence: Its preachers and prophets had all drunk deep at the fountain of Islam. The Sikhs and the Sidhars, the Kabir-Panthis and the Aryasamajists - to name but a few of the offshoots of that movement - derived their inspiration from one source, that is Islam, and though they have drifted very largely away from the parent-source and have adopted a militant attitude against it, they owe a lasting debt of gratitude to it. Islam revived monotheism and restored the spiritual unity of India. It also. dealt a rude shock to the supremacy of the Brahmans and broke their monopoly of spiritual knowledge, emphasized the democratic side of religion, sounded the spiritual enfranchisement of the Shudras and opened the portals of religion which had not so far been open to them. It also shook the belief of a number of Hindus in transmigration and went a long way in removing the illusion that the soul travels along the unending wheel of births and deaths. It engrafted on the Hindū mind the belief in the day of judgment and the principles of Jaza and Sazā, i.e., reward and punishment, in the eternal life after death. Culturally - Islam

contributed no less to the culture of the Hindus. The Musalmans who came into India, made it their permanent abode and naturalized in it. For them it was impossible to live in the land of the Hindus in a state of perennial hostility. Living together led to mutual intercourse and to mutual understanding. In course of time the force of circumstances compelled them to find out a via media whereby to live together as friendly neighbours. They evolved out a new language out of the warf and woof of Persian and Sanskrit, and the current of common culture, Hindū-Muslim, abandoned its ancient beds and began to flow through this new channel, Urdu. The culture that was thus evolved was neither purely Muslim nor exclusively Hindu, but a happy union of The Muslim Kings and Chiefs encouraged Hindū arts and literature, sciences and philosophy and opened the doors of their schools and seminaries to all and sundry, without any restrictions of rank, race or religion. Like saints and sages, they too, in their own spheres, tried to bring about an approximation between the Hindus and the Muslims.* The result was an almost complete reconciliation of the two. It need not occasion surprise, therefore, if "the Hindus offered sweets at Muslim shrines, consulted the Qoran

^{*} The Din-i-Ilāhi of Akbar was not an isolated freak of an autocrat and Akbar's was not a solitary attempt; for many before him had tried the experiment for establishing universal peace in India.

as an oracle, kept its copies to ward off evil influence and celebrated Muslim feasts, and the Musalmans responded with similar acts".* It is indeed most unfortunate that they should forget their cordial relations of the past and become the bitterest enemies of each other now.

Thus under the edifying influence of Islām not only did Hindū religion and polity, Hindū art and literature, Hindū science and philosophy absorb Muslim elements, but the entire spirit of Hindū culture and the very mould of Hindū mind were modified.

We have traced the influence of Islam on

Influence of Ilindu casta and culture on Indian Musalmans.

Hindu life in its various phases.

Let us now consider the influence of Hindu caste and culture on Indian Musalmans. Since a vast majority of Indian Muslims were drawn from the masses of the

Hindūs, their social position and culture did not change all at once, though they decidedly improved in many ways. They had changed their religion no doubt, but they still retained their ancient customs and practices, habits and hobbies. The change of religion did not change their environments and atmosphere, which were permeated through and through with social isolation, superstitious ideas and caste restrictions. The result was the Indo-Muslim Society, which incorporated a number of Hindū social features.

^{*} See Influence of Islam on Indian Culture, p. 217.

The Bhakti Movement, referred to above, was the inevitable outcome of Bhakti the interaction of Islam and Movement. Hindūism. The term 'Bhaktā' has been defined as the worship of one God, devotion to Him and dedication of everything to His service. The preachers and prophets of this creed, viz., Rāmānanda, Kabīr, Dādū, Nānak, Chaitanaya and many others, who lived and laboured during the middle of the period under review, were all immensely influenced by the spirit of Islam. Though they differed on different points and founded their own sects, they all agreed on one important point: They denounced idolatry in the bitterest of terms and preached and propagated the unity of God. They tried to reconcile Hinduism with Islam and to promote the unity of India. They recognized no difference between Ram and Rahīm, Kesvara and Karīm, Ka'ba and Kailāsh, Qur-an and Puran and taught that Karma is Dharma. They preached peace and propagated the principle that everyone should try to add to human happiness, and thus pointed to the people that the shortest route to aproach God is through the people. But though their central theme was God and though their minds were deeply imbued with Islam, they left it in the midway in that they did not accept its principles and practices in toto. It was left to their successors to understand their object and to appreciate their importance.*

^{*}The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, p. 317.

CHAPTER XII

ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

The early emperors of India had very little experience in economic matters Introductory. and absolutely no knowledge of the economic coditions of the country (India) they conquered and therefore required time to adapt themselves to their new economic environ-Apart from this drawback, their political pre-occupations left but little leisure at their disposal to take interest in such affairs; but no sooner were they able to establish authority and restore law and order than they began to devote their attention and energy to the economic development of the country under their control. For the reasons already stated, the material for constructing the economic history of the period under consideration is very meagre and hence this chapter is bound to be sketchy. Nevertheless, it will be found contain enough to enable one to arrive at fairly correct conclusions about the interest taken in and the contributions made by the Muslim Kings to the economic development of India.

We begin with agriculture, the mainstay
of Indian economic life. India
was, as she has continued to be,
an agricultural country and a vast majority of

her population depended on agriculture, whe-ther directly or indirectly. The land was ex-tremely fertile and its productivity was extraordinary. It was rich in mineral wealth and material resources. It was equally vast and almost limitless in extent. The produce from it was pretty much the same in nature as it is to-day, though it must have been somewhat better in quality because land was not subjected to such intensive cultivation as has now become necessary in order to keep pace with the growing population. The means and methods of cultivation then in vogue have survived to our own times. The Emperors of Delhi as well as the provincial governors and independent rulers of provincial kingdoms understood and encouraged agriculture as far as possible. They introduced the Persianwheel and began digging wells and canals in this country. The names of many of them are intimately associated with the sinking of wells and the construction of canals in the tracts where rainfall was scanty. This was doubtless an important improvement on the existing means of irrigation and an enduring contribution to Indian agriculture. Waste lands were reclaimed and brought under cultivation and no damage to the standing crops was tolerated. Above all, the welfare of the cultivating classes was duly secured. Of the entire produce of the land under cultivation, usually 1/4th, but sometimes 1/3rd, was claimed by the State as its

share.* The fact that the land was almost limitless in extent set serious limits to its collection. Of the remaining produce, some was given to a certain number of classes, such as servants and menials by way of customary dues and the rest was retained by the peasants for their own daily use or for use on such occasions as birth, marriage and death as well as for use in bad days.

Life had few pleasures for the peasants of that period. Given timely and Peasants' favourable monsoons and a symstandard pathetic government, they were of living. quite happy and content with their lot. In the days of drought, they in general and their womenfolk in particular resorted to their personal deities (for they were mostly Hindus), offered prayers and in response watched eagerly towards the sky and anxiously awaited the clouds with their eyes full of tears and hearts full of hopes. In their worst calamities and most adverse circumstances, they reconciled themselves to the irresistible workings of fate and attributed all their misfortunes to Qismat (destiny). Their standard of living was very low and life had but few attractions for them. Once it is said that they moved about almost naked, wearing only a Langoti and a small shirt, the topic of clothing

See pp. 38, ff., where the land revenue system has been dealt with at some length.

is practically exhausted and then there remains little to comment upon furniture when the furniture of a family and other possessions consisted of a few bedsteads and cooking utensils, most of which were earthen. It may, however, be pointed out here that during the Muslim Period the standard of living of an average peasant had considerably improved.*

We have said something about agriculture.

Let us now turn to the industries of India. A large number of industries and crafts were fed on the surplus of agricultural produce, of which there was always ample to spend and spare. The most important manufactures which flourished on agricultural produce were ropes, baskets, unrefined sugar (Gur), oils of various kinds, scents and spirits.†

The accounts of the contemporary chroniclers of the country as well as Industrial foreign travellers show Development industries of considerable imunder the portance and magnitude were Sultanat. developed during the period The biggest of them was the under review. manufacture of textiles of all kinds, such as cotton cloth, woolen cloth and silks. manufacture of cotton cloth attained classical

^{*} J. A. S. B., Vol. I., (1635), pp. 196.

[†] See Ibid., pp. 196 and 203.

perfection and became proverbial all over the the world. Other important industries were wood-work, stone-work, metal-work, leatherwork, embroidery, indigo and paper. The factories were known as Kārkhānas or workshops. They were supported by the State as well as by enterprising businessmen. Almost all the Sultans of Delhi maintained big Karkhānas, where vast stores of silk and fine muslin were manufactured for the use of the Imperial Haram and courtiers. We are informed that 'the royal factories at Delhi sometimes employed as many as 4,000 weavers of silk alone besides manufacturers for other kinds of goods for the royal supply'. Similarly, Muhammad Tughluq is said to have employed 'no less than 4,000 manufacturers of golden, tissues for brocades used by ladies of the royal haram or given away in presents to amirs and their wives'. Almost all articles of royal use e.g., caps, shoes, curtains, saddles, embroideries, tapestries, waistbands, sashes, etc., were supplied by these Karkhanas. It may be observed here that if arts and industries flourished abundantly during the early Muslim period, it was mainly due to the patronage of the State.*

^{*} Vide J. A. S. B., Vol. I, (1985), pp. 205 ff., Education in Muslim India, Chapter XIII, pp. 199 ff. Elliot, Vol. III, pp. 105, 803, 340-41, 347 and 578; Travels of Marco Polo, Vol. II, pp. 278 and 328; J. R. A. S. (1895), pp. 531-32; and Travels of Ibu-Batüta.

Industries of considerable importance and proportions flourished in the pro-Provincial vincial kingdoms under Kingdoms. patronage of their independent rulers. Gujarāt and Bengāl are said to have led the whole of India in the manufacture and export of textile goods. The harbour facilities that they enjoyed as well as their commercial relations with other countries enabled them to build a textile industry of a vast magnitude. Mahuan, Varthema and Barbosa are warm in their praises of the textiles of Bengal, particularly cotton cloth, muslins, gold embroidered caps and silk handkerchiefs, which were manufactured in large quantities to meet internal as well as external demand. Gujarāt was likewise famous for the manufacture of cotton and silk cloth. Barbosa informs us that Cambay (Khambāyat) was an important centre of manufacture for all kinds of course and fine cloth. We also learn that varieties of velvets, carpets, 'silk-muslins', satins, taffetus and printed cloth were manufactured in other parts of Gujarāt also. Barbosa was greatly impressed by the 'very fine work' of 'the very good goldsmiths' of Gujarat. Mahadevanagri and Deogir in the Deccan were equally important centres of cloth manufacture. The cloth made there was 'of exceptional fineness and beauty'. According to Amīr Khusrau, it was so fine as to tempt a fairy.

^{*} Barbosa, Vol. I, pp. 141-42, 154-55 and Vol. II, p. 154; Varthema, p. 212; J. R. A. S. (1895), p. 532; and J. A. S. B. (1935), pp. 307 ff.

The Mughal Period, marked for mighty advances in almost every depart-Mughal Period ment of human life, was no less renowned for a high tide of industrial deve-lopment. Babar had no time to devote to the industrial improvement of the newly conquered country, yet it was he who founded the Shuhrat-i-'Am or Public Works Department which later on played such an important part in the industrial development of the country. His son, Humāyūn, too had little leisure from his political pre-occupations, still he set the ball rolling by actively patronizing such artistic skill as was displayed in the construction of Qasr-i-rawan, (moving-palace), Bāgh-i-rawān, (moving-garden), and Bazari-rawan (moving-bazar), which were built on boats and set affoat on the Jumna.* Akbar the Great organized the Public Works Department anew and personally inspected the Karkhanas (work-shops) at times. During his reign crafts and other fine textiles were manufactured at Agra and Fatehpur Sīkrī, good cotton cloth at Patan in Gujarāt and at Burhanpur in Khandesh, while Sunargaon was famous for its fine fabrics, 'the best and finest cloth made of cotton all over India'. The finished products produced under his patronage were such as are said to have done credit to the artisans of the twentieth century. Ship-building was also an important industry in those days.

^{*} The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangseb, p.42

Under Jahangir and Shah Jahan arts and crafts, industries and commerce flourished in India as never before during the Muslim Period. This is borne out by the cumulative testimony of native historians as well as foreign travellers who visited India during that period. Among others, Sir Thomas Roe has paid a well-deserved tribute to the skill of Indian artisans of Jahangir's reign,* Bernier, who visited India during the reigns of Shah Jahan and Aurangzeb, was no less impressed by the affluence and efflorescence of fine arts and the industrial advance of the country. He dwells at length on the achievements of the Kashmīrīs in arts and crafts, describes the manufacture of shawls in Kashmīr, Agra, Patna and Lahore, of chintzes in Masulipatam, and gives a graphic description of the Karkhanas, where arts and crafts were learnt and plied.+

Industrially, therefore, India under the Muslim rulers was in no way inferior to any other country of the world or to the India of the pre-Muslim period. Her industrial position during that period is very ably summed up by the Industrial Commission in the following words:—

"At a time when the west of Europe, the birth-place of modern industrial system, was inhabited by uncivilised tribes, India was

^{*} The History of India, by Elphinstone, p. 489.

[†] See Bernier's Travels, pp. 258-59, and 402-04.

famous for the wealth of her rulers and for the high artistic skill of her craftsmen. And even at a much later period, when the merchant adventurers from the west made their first appearance in India, the industrial development of the country was, at any rate, not inferior to that of more advanced European nations."*

This view of the Industrial Commission is shared and supported by many other distinguished authorities on the subject, e.g.

- "The skill of the Indians in the production of delicate woven fabrics, in the mixing of colours, the working of metals and precious stones and in all manner of technical arts has, from very early times, enjoyed a world-wide celebrity."† Professor Weber.
 - "The industry not only supplied all local wants but also enabled India to export its finished products to foreign countries." Ranade.
- "It was this trade and prosperity that attracted the European traders to India. Their rivalry to secure a footing in India at that time was occasioned not by the raw materials of the country but by the value and variety of her

^{*} Report of Industrial Commission (1918), p. 1.

[†] Ibid., (Minute of Dissent), p. 295.

[‡] Essays on Indian Economics, by Ranade, p. 171

manufactures and crafts."* - Professors Jather and Beri.

India was self-sufficient in almost every respect. She had always enough Volume of to spend in and to send out. The internal trade Banjaras carried on the business of conveying the surplus produce from one part of the country to another on a fairly large scale. It is not possible to give an exact estimate of the volume of internal trade, but a fairly correct idea can be conveyed by saying that villages, with their Mandis (markets), were brisk centres of trade where exchanges of commodities took place in peaceful times. Delhi and other capital cities were the centres of internal trade of their respective territories and the scenes of brisk trade activities. The volume of internal trade. we may roughly say, was fairly large, †

Commerce. Countries. The few articles that were imported from outside were the articles of luxury for the use of upper classes. Horses and mules of all kinds were imported from other countries. Apart from the enormous demand for these animals for military purposes, they were also employed for conveyance, racing and riding. The exports of India were

^{*} Indian Economics by Jather and Beri, Vol. I, p. 131.

[†] J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, pp. 215-16.

numerous, the most important among them being precious stones, indigo, cotton, hides and skins and 'many other kinds of merchandise, too tedious to mention.' Wheat, millet, rice, pulses, oilseeds, scents, etc., were also among the principal exports of agricultural produce. Needless to say that the foreign demand for the fine muslin of Dacca and the beautiful shawls of Kashmīr was very great.*

The system of borrowing and lending money on interest has been in Money-lending. vogue in India since Tamassuks (bonds) were regularly executed and the rate of interest was fixed by the State in accordance with the law which provided elaborate rules for the production and examination of evidence, both oral and documentary, on the subject. The Sākūkārs (money-lenders) and Mahājans (bankers) were very popular with the people who had loose habits and were given to luxury. High, and sometimes too high, rates of interest were charged and the system of usurious loans and compound rates of interest enormously added to the extent of indebtedness of poor peasants who borrowed huge sums of money for purposes of consumption and could not pay even the principal amounts. It can be gathered from the stray statements of Amīr Khusrau on the subject

[†] Ibid., pp. 220 ff.; and The Splendour That Was 'Ind, pp. 186 ff.

that 10 % per annum interest was charged on big sums and 20 % per annum on petty amounts.*

Coins of gold, silver and copper (of various denominations) were current du-Coinage. ring the Muslim period. They were of pure metal and standard weight. With the solitary exception of Sultan 'Ala-ud-Dīn Khiljī, who alone is said to have made an attempt. at debasing his coinage by reducing the weight of the silver Tanka from 175 to 140 grains of silver, no Muslim king is said to have debased his currency. The only attempt of Muhammad Tughluq to introduce token currency failed in spite of the wisdom the choice. The earliest coins that come across at the dawn of our period were Delhiwals. The Jitals were only a continuation of the Delhiwals of the pre-Muslim period. During the reign of Sultan Bahlol Lodhi, the Jitals were replaced by the Bahlolis. The silver Tanka, weighing 175 grains, was first introduced by Sultan Shams-ud-Din Iltütmish and continued as a standard coin or legal tender throughout the Muslim period. The Muslim Kings maintained the older division of silver coins into copper coins. Under them one silver Tanka consisted of 64 Jitals or Kānis of copper. One Hasht-Kānī was equal to eight Kānīs or eight Jitals. The Bahloli was reckoned at

^{*} Vide J. A. S. B. (1935), Vol. I, p. 218.

1/4th of the *Tanka*. Sultan Sikandar Lodhī instituted the copper *Tanka*, twenty of which went to make one silver coin of the same name. Gold Mohars were also used but were not in daily circulation and were not employed as a money of account. The ratio of gold to silver was 1:8 in the early Muslim period. It fell to 1:7 after the conquest of the Deccan by 'Ala-ud-Din Khilji, and was 1:9.4 at the time of Sher Shah Suri. An important feature of the coins current in those times was the monetary rather than token value. It was for this reason that under certain circumstances goldsmiths, silver-smiths and dealers in bullion in the South were permitted to manufacture coins of correct weight and intrinsic value by prescriptive right. During the Mughal Period the currency of India underwent considerable improvement in purity, weight and artistic execution. Akbar's extremely varied coinage richly deserves the showers of praises bestowed on it on account of its excellence both as regards the purity of metal, the fullness of weight and artistic execution. It may be observed here that neither Akbar nor his successors ever submitted to the temptation of debasing coinage either in weight or in purity, so that Smith is fully justified in pronouncing the Mughal currency as far superior to that of Queen Elizabeth and other contemporary sovereigns of Europe.*

^{*} J. A. S. B., (1935), Vol. I, pp. 339-41; and The

Weights and measures differed in weight and number in different places Weights & and with different classes of Measures. people, so that there was absolutely no uniformity. The official weights under the Sultans have been fixed by a modern scholar in concurrence with others, 'at an average of 28.78 lbs. avoirdupois to a maund (Man) or a little over a quarter of an hundredweight or less than half a bushel of wheat'. This is by no means an exact calculation. The different weights were Mans, Seers and Chhatanks, which have survived to our own times. Kroh or what is now called Kos, was the popular measurement of distance. It was about two miles according to our present reckoning. For the sake of convenience it was divided into three parts, each of which was called a Dhāwa. The yard, with which length was measured, was not uniform either. It differed in different places and for different commodities. Roughly calculated, the ratio between our present yard and the old Indian Gaz (yard) comes to 6:5, the former being slightly more in length. As regards the measuring of time, a year consisted of twelve lunar months and a day and night together went to make eight Pahars, each Pahar being equal to three hours of our present standard. A Pahar was subdivided into sixty Gharis. each Ghari equalling twenty-four minutes of our modern time. A Ghari was further subdivided

Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb, pp. 408-09.

into sixty Pals. Clepsydras were in use for measuring time and Gharyāls (gongs) were used for announcing hours in principal towns and cities.*

Since the standard of living differed with different classes, it is not easy Economic condito estimate the average cost of tion of people during the early living. Though Muslim Period. many references to the incomes of persons and the prices of various commodities in various periods in the accounts of the contemporary chroniclers, yet there can be no guarantee for the correctness of the results obtained on their basis or the accuracy of the conclusions drawn from them. It is estimated that a Tanka (rupee) would have purchased then twelve times the necessities that a rupee did before 1914. This is indeed a rough estimate made by scholars after making due allowance for other things. Taking the wages of Muhammad Tughluq's and Firoz Thughluq's slaves, they have estimated the minimum monthly income of one slave at ten Tankas. The soldiers, we are informed, were paid twenty Tankas each per month. Taking the prices of the commodities of the various periods, the cost of living works out at five Tankas per month for an average family, consisting of a man, his wife, one or two children and a servant. cost of living was, therefore, very cheap,

J. A. S. B., (1935), Vol I, pp. 341-42.

much cheaper than it is at present and the people were decidedly better off.*

As regards the Mughal Period, reliable statistics are available to show Durin g that an average workman of the those times was better off than Mughal his brother of to-day. It is Period. estimated that a rupee in terms of important food-grains such as wheat, barley, rice, gram, jowar, gliee, etc., was, three centuries ago, worth thirteen times as much as a rupee of to-day. The average daily wage of an ordinary workman, as worked out by competent scholars, is 2.7 d. If Corvat, an English traveller, with a superior standard of living, could maintain himself "very competently" in his travels through the Mughal Empire, "with meate, drinke and clothes", for 2 d. a day, t we can safely say that a common labourer and a

^{*} See J. A. S. B., Vol. 1, pp. 233 ff. and pp 241-43.

[†] In one of his letters Coryat writes: "At this present I have in the city of Agra...about twelve pounds sterling, which, according to my maner of living uppon the way at two-pence sterling a day (for with that proportion I can live pretty well, such is the cheapnes of all eatable things...), will mainetaine mee very competently three yeares in my travell with meate, drinke and clothes". (Early Travels in India, p 267). In another letter he writes: "I spent my ten moneths travels betwixt Aleppo and the Moguls court but three pounds sterling, yet fared reasonable well everie daie; victuals beeing so cheape in some countries where I travelled, that I oftentimes lived competentlie for a pennie sterling a day. (Ibid., p. 248).

native of the country could maintain himself quite comfortably with an income of 2.7 d. per day. Dr. Smith says that "a man could live on 1 d. to 2 d. a day". The inference is self-evident. While comparing a farmer of Akbar's times with one of these days belonging to Lyallour. the most opulent of his class in India, Professor Brii Narain comes to the conclusion that the former was more prosperous than the latter.* Dr. V. A. Smith and Mr. W. H. Moreland also hold the same view, for both of them admit that in Akbar's time an ordinary labourer had more to eat than he has in these days and was therefore happier in those days than in these. t If the lot of the cultivators of those times was happy, it was because instructions to the collectors of land revenue were couched in extremely humanitarian terms and worked with remarkable lenity.

^{*} See The Mughal Empire From Babar To Aurangzeb and Indian Economic Life.

[†] In his book 'From Akbar to Aurangzeb', however, Mr. Moreland comes to a different conclusion and says that the condition of the peasantry deteriorated a great deal during the reigns of Jahāngīr and Shāh Jahān' and became still worse in the reign of Aurangzeb. I have not found it possible to agree with Mr. Moreland and my own impression is that conditions during the reigns of Jahāngīr, Shāh Jahān and Aurangzeb remained much the same as under Akbar the Great. Mr. Faruki has dealt with the subject at some length in his scholarly monograph 'Aurangzeb and His Times', and for the present I must content myself with referring the reader to pages 470 ff. of that book. The reader should read both the versions and draw his own conclusions.

liberal concessions were granted to the cultivators in bad times, rebates and remissions were not grudged, and their interests were duly safeguarded. Nor must we fail to take note of the difference between the economic conditions of these times and those of Mughal India. Mr. Moreland says that land was cultivated in small holdings in the seventeenth century, but leaves us in the dark as to the average size of a holding. That it was larger than the average holding of to-day cannot be doubted, because larger proportion of population is now supported by land than in those times. Moreover, the yield per acre in those days must have been much greater than at present because of the depreciation in the quality of land caused by more intensive cultivation in order to keep pace with the increase in population. Even if it be supposed for the sake of argument that the fertility of the land then under cultivation has not diminished during the last three hundred years, which is far from possible, we cannot but admit that extensive cultivation, necessitated by growing population, embracing inferior lands, must result in the decrease of average produce.

On the whole, therefore, the Muslim Period was characterized by economic prosperity. Doubtless there were intervals of economic distress, occasioned by an invasion or a famine, but such times were rare and then we know that, generally speaking, the State spared no effort to restore normal conditions.

CONCLUSION

We have now come to the close of our study and are now in a position Achievements to sum up the subject. A perusal of of the preceding pages must have Muslim rulers. impressed upon the mind of the reader the fact that the Muslim rulers of India were great kings and remarkable administrators. The political unification of India, which they accomplished but which their Hindu predecessors had not in spite of their earnest endeavours, bears eloquent testimony to their military genius. But they were great not only as conquerors; they were equally great as rulers: They were richly endowed with administrative talents, but for which they might not have established their rule and guided the destinies of India for so many centuries. Where they got their administrative talents from is not difficult explain: They were inherent, intuitive and not acquired. With few exceptions, they all identified themselves with the welfare of their subjects, irrespective of their caste or creed, protected them from external invasions. relieved them from the oppression of native tyrants and earned their everlasting gratitude. This made their rule not only possible but also popular. They made India their home and contributed very vastly to her wealth of civilization.

The extent of their influence on India cannot be over-estimated. It has flowed down to our own times and can be traced in almost all the departments of Indian life. It manifests itself most supremely in the changed religious outlook of the Hindus about God, in the spiritual enfranchisement of the Shudras, in the intellectual emancipation of all classes, in the details of domestic life, in the development of dress, in the celebration of fairs and festivals, in art and literature, in the ceremonial of marriage, in the customs and institutions of the courts of Rajput, Marhatta and Sikh Princes,in fact in the entire paraphernalia of Indian civilization. On his arrival in India if Babar found the Hindus and Muslims living peacefully side by side as friendly neighbours and if the two communities thought so much alike that he noticed their peculiar 'Hindustanī way', it was largely due to the influence of Islam. His illustrious successors 'so gloriously adorned and so marvellously enriched this legacy that India might well be proud to-day of the heritage which they in their turn have left behind'.* There is no doubt that India reached the pinnacle of her greatness under the Great Mughals, yet the times of the Turks and the age of the Afghans do not fall far behind the era of the Mughal Emperors. In intellectual culture, Amīr Khusrau, Malik Muhammad Jaisī,

Influence of Islam on Indian Culture, by Tara Chand, p. 142,

Chāndī Dāss and Mukand Rām stand side by side with Allama Abul Fazl, Sa'dullah Khan, Todar Mal, and Chandar Bhan of the Mughal Period. Tulsī Dāss was indeed an unrivalled figure of the age in so far as religious poetry was concerned, but the soil that produced him was prepared long before the Mughals had appeared on the stage of Indian history. In art and architecture, the glories of Shah Jahan's reign were still in the womb of the future, but the artistic and architectural achievements of the preceding period are by no means inferior, particularly when allowance is made for the time scanning the interval between the fall of the Sultanat after Firoz Shah Tughluq and the establishment of the Badshahat (Mughal Empire) under Akbar the Great. While the Mughal Period may justly be proud of the Taj, an epic in stone, the period preceding it has to its credit the Qutb Minar, 'the loftiest and most beautiful of its class in the world'. While comparing these superb structures, it must be noted that the former was constructed some four centuries after the construction of the latter and in a relatively much settled and advanced state of affairs. In music, Amir Khusrau was quite as unrivalled in his time as Mian Tansen in his. In the field of administration, we cannot deny the claims! of the Mughal Emperors, who were talented administrators, but the administrative achievements of Balban, 'Ala-ud-Din Khilji, the first three Tughlugs, and several provincial governors!

and independent rulers cannot be minimized. They paved the way for the greatness of the Great Mughals, and it was in the light of their experiments and on the foundation of their institutions that the latter were able to raise such splendid superstructures in the realm of administration. In one respect, moreover, the period preceding the Mughal Period may well be considered as superior to that which followed it: Whereas the former was the age of growth, vigour and vitality which bloomed into maturity in the fulness of time, the latter was one of decline and decay, carrying with it the germs of disintegration and demoralization, and its greatness and glory cannot be dissociated from the loss of vitality and vigour.

Before concluding this account we must remove one misunderstanding Was their rule—that Muslim rule in India in India a rule was a rule of foreigners.*
Right from the rise of the Sultanat down to the decline of the Badshahat,

The view that Musalmans are aliens — a view propagated by such communal organizations as the Mahasabha — is equally false and frivulous. It must be remembered that with the exception of a few Semitic races, such as the Sayyads, QureshIs, etc., the ancestors of a vast majority (9/10th) of Indian Muslims were Hindus and hence Indians They embraced Islam and left behind generations of Musalmans who multiplied in numbers with the march of time. Change of religion does not imply change of nationality and a Hindu who embraces Islam to-day does

Muslim rulers can be regarded as foreigners only in the sense in which the English kings have been to England since the time of William the Conqueror. William went to England as a foreigner indeed, but he made that country his home and all his successors down to the present king are as much English as any Englishman can be. Or to make another comparison: Muslim Kings of India can be foreigners only in the sense in which all the Presidents of the United States of America have been owing to their foreign extraction. Or nearer home: they are foreigners like the Aryan rulers of India. They may be regarded as foreigners only in this sense and in no other. For Aibak, the first king of the Sultanat, and Babar, the first king of the Badshahat, came from foreign lands no doubt; but, like the Aryan rulers of India, they adopted India as their own country, made her their permanent abode and completely identified themselves with her peoples, ruling her as Indian national and not as foreigners. Their successors, who were born in India, lived and died in India and

not cease to be an Indian. Thus there can be no doubt that most of the Indian Muslims are Indian quite as much as Hindu Indians are. As regards the Sayyads and others who came from outside and permanently settled down in this country, it may be noted that they are Indian in the same sense as the Aryans who preceded them. From foreign lands they had come indeed, but foreign they certainly did not remain to the soil: They made India their home and completely identified themselves with her people and became Indian through and through.

thus they were Indian every inch. If they retained a distinctive stamp, it was mainly of religion, but that too was no bar to their being Indian. In spite of their religious differences, they ruled India as Indian national kings. Did the then inhabitants of India regard them as foreigners? Certainly not. They lived, felt and fought for the Empire shoulder to shoulder with their Muslim brethren and sacrificed their all for its stability. They even fought against their co-religionists of the South in defence of it. Does it not point to the presence of a nation in India? Does it not show that the Muslim Government was the national government of India? The fact that the proudest of Rajpūts took pride in giving away their daughters in marriage to Muslim kings speaks volumes. They would not have submitted to such alliances if they had regarded them as humiliating and had thought that they were giving away their daughters to foreigners. Proverbially proud of their valour and highly sensitive, having the greatest regard for their honour, they would have preferred death to such indignities if only they had regarded them so. The fact is that the Hindus regarded themselves as free and not as slaves under the Muslim Kings. When they were admitted to all those powers and privileges which the sons of the ruling race enjoyed and were entrusted with the highest responsibilities, there was no reason why they should have regarded the Muslim Kings as foreign rulers. "Trust so noble evoked

loyal gratitude, political co-operation engendered a deeper social harmony, high responsibility preserved the haughty self-respect of a people conquered indeed but not disinherited or dishonoured in the conquest". During the Muslim Period the Hindus and Muslims were merged into one nation in spite of their religious differences. Living together in peace and amity for centuries, subject to the same laws, enjoying same powers and privileges and occupying same positions and acknowledging allegiance to a common Motherland, the Hindus and the Muslims were essentially one people under the Muslim Kings. The fusion of ideas and ideals and the fellowship of common rights and privileges found full expression in the daily life of the people, and the result was a new culture, a new art and a new outlook on life and letters, symbolizing a sympathetic understanding between the followers of two different faiths. The ties of mutual love and respect, which bound the brave Rajputs to the Muslim throne were exceptionally strong and this is borne out by the fact that they fought for the Empire with a rare fidelity. The Hindus and the Muslims, the Rajputs and the Mughals all sank their sectarian differences and strived to serve the larger and nobler cause of a common Empire, a common Motherland and common Welfare, so much so that the Hindus never hesitated to fight against the Hindus in the interests of the Empire and the Muslims never shirked from fighting a Muslim enemy in

defence of their Motherland. Hindus and Muslims offering a united front to Babar at the First Battle of Panīpat and fighting against a number of Hindu Rājas and Muslim Kings may be cited to illustrate this point.

FINIS

SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY

English :-

Agrarian Systems of Muslim India, The, W.H. Moreland (Cambridge: 1929).

Akbar the Great Moghul, Vincent A. Smith (2nd edition).

Ancient Indian Education, F. E. Keay (first edition).

Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan, Lieut.-Col. James Tod, 2 volumes, (Calcutta: 1894).

Archaelogical Survey of India Reports, (1806-1926).

Archæology of Delhi, The, Carr Stephen, (Calcutta: 1876). Architecture of Fathpur Sikri, E. W. Smith (Allahabad: 1894-98)

Aurangzeb, Stanley-Lane-Poole (R.I. S., Oxford: 1893).

Aurangzeb, M. Elphinstone, edited by Sri Ram Sharma,

(Lahore: 1929).

Aurangzeb and His Times, M. Zahir-ud-Din Faruki (Bombay: 1935).

Bihzad and His Paintings in the Zafarnamah MS., Thomas Arnold (London: 1930).

Book of Duarte Barbosa, The, (H. S.) 2 volumes, (London: 1918-21).

Brief History of the Indian Peoples, A., W. W. Hunter, (Oxford: 1903).

Caliphate, The, Thomas Arnold (Oxford: 1924).
Caliphate, The, William Muir, (London: 1891).

Cambridge History of India, The, Volumes 3 and 4, (Cambridge: 1928, 1936).

Chronicles of the Pathan Kings of Delhi, The, Edward Thomas, (London: 1871).

Delhi: Past and Present, H.C. Fanshawe (London: 1902). Early History of India, The, V.A. Smith, (Oxford: 1914). Early Travels in India, 1583-1619, edited by William

Foster (Oxford University: 1921).

East India Trade in the 17th Century, Shafaat Ahmad Khan.

Education in Muslim India, S.M. Jassar (Peshawar: 1936) Embassy of Sir Thomas Roe to the Court of the Great Mughal, 1615-19 (edited for H. S., 1899).

Empire of the Great Mughal, The, De Laet, translated by Hoyland and edited by Banerji, (1928).

Encyclopædia Britannica, The, (12th edition).

Fall of the Mughal Empire, The, Jadunath Sarkar, (Bombay: 1936).

From Akbar to Aurangzeb, W. H. Moreland (Lond: 1923).

Gardens of the Great Mughals, C. M. V. Stuart (London: 1913).

Harim and the Pardah, The, E. Cooper (London: 1915).
 Hindu-Muhammadan Feasts, E. Denison Ross (Calcutta: 1914).

History of Aryan Rule in India, The, E. B. Havell, (London: 1918).

History of Aurangzeb, (five volumes), Jadunath Sarkar, (Calcutta: 1912 etc.).

History of Bengal, The, Charles Stewart, (London: 1813).

History of India, A Short, E. B. Havell, (London: 1924).

History of India, The, M. Elphinstone, (London: 1857). History of India as told by its own Historians (8

volumes), Elliot and Dowson (London: 1867-73).

History of Indian and Eastern Architecture, J. Fergusson,

(London: 1882).

History of Jahangir, Beni Prasad, (London: 1922).

History of Mediæval India, Ishwari Prasad (Allahabad: 1938.)

History of the Military Transactions of the British Nation in Indosan from the year 1745 A, Robert Orme (4th edition).

History of the Rise of the Muhammadan Power in India. J. Briggs (Bombay: 1831).

Imperial Gazetter of India, The, (Oxford: 1908)

India and Her People, Swami Abhedananda (Calcutta: 1924).

India at the Death of Akbar, W. H. Moreland, (London: 1920).

Indian Architecture, E. B. Havell (London: 1915).

Indian Economics (2 volumes), Jather and Beri (first edition)

Indian Painting under the Mughals, P. Brown (first edition).

Indian Year Book, edited by Sir S. Keed (Bombay: 1931) Influence of Islam on Indian Culture, Tara Chand (Allahabad: 1936).

Islam in India, Herklots (also see Qanan-i-Islam by Ja'far Sharif), William Crooke, (London: 1921).

Islam in the World, Zaki Ali (Lahore: 1938).

Kabir and Kabir Panthis, F. E. Keny, (London: 1922). Land of the Five Rivers, The, H. K. Trevaskis (Oxford University Press: 1928).

Legacy of India, The, edited by G.T. Garratt (Oxford:1937). Life and Times of Sultan Mahmud of Ghazna, The, Muhammad Nazim, (Cambridge University Press: 1931)

Life and Works of Amir Khusrau (London: 1929).

Literary History of Persia (4 volumes), E. G. Browne (Cambridge: 1928).

Making of India, The, Abdullah Yusuf Ali (London: 1925) Mediaval India Under Muhammadan Rule, Stanley-Lane-Poole (London: 1926).

Mediaval India Under Muslim Kings, S. M. Jaffar (in press).

Memoirs of Babur (being the English translation of the Tuzk-i-Bābarī) Leyden and Erskine (Oxford University Press: 1921).

Memoirs of Jahangir, translated into English by Major David Price (1829).

Mughal Administration, Jadunath Sarkar, (second edition).

Mughal Empire from Babar to Aurangzeb, The, S. M. Jaffar (Peshawar: 1936)

Mughal Kingship and Nobility, R. P. Khosla (Allahabadi 1935).

Muhammadanism, D. S. Morgoliouth (London: 1926).

New Account of the East Indies, A, Alexander Hamilton (London: first edition).

- Ovservations on the Mussulmans of India, Mrs. Mir Hassan Ali (Oxford: 1917).
 - Oriental Biographical Dictionary, An, T. W. Beale, edited by H. G. Keene (Allen & Co., 1894).
 - Oxford History of India, The, V. A. Smith (Oxford University: 1919).
 - Peoples and Problems of India, T. W. Holderness, (London: 1928).
 - Persian Painting, Basil Gray (London: 1930).
 - Preaching of Islam, The, T. W. Arnold, (Westminster: 1896).
 - Present-Day Problems of Indian Education, M. M. Zuhur-ud-Din Ahmad, (Bombay: 1935).
 - Promotion of Learning in India during Muhammadan Rule, N. N. Law, (London: 1916)
 - Religion of Islam, The, M. Muhammad Ali (Lahore: 1936).
 Report of Industrial Commission, (1918).
 - Revenue Resources of the Mughal Empire in India (1593-1707), The, Edward Thomas (London: 1871).
 - Seven Cities of Delhi, The, H. C. Hearn (London: 1906), Short History of India, A, E. B. Havell (London: 1924).
 - Short History of the Saracens, A, Syed Amir Ali (London: 1921).
 - Some Aspects of Muslim Administration, Tripathi (Allahabad: 1986).
 - Spirit of Islam, The, Syed Amir Ali (London: 1922).
- Splendour That Was Ind, The, K.T. Shah, (Bombay: 1932).
 - Storia Do Mogor, Niccalao Manucci edited by W. Irvine, 4 volumes (London: 1907-8).
- Studies in Mughal India, Jadunath Sarkar (Calcutta: 1919).
 - Travels in India, Early, edited by William Foster (Oxford University Press: 1921).
 - Travels in India, Tavernier's, (2 volumes), translated by V. Ball (Oxford: 1925).
 - Travels in the Mughal Empire (1656-68), Bernier's, translated by A. Constable and edited by V.A. Smith, (London: 1914).
 - Travels of Ludovic Varthema, The, (London: 1863).

Travels of Marco Polo (2 volumes), Henry Yule (London: 1903).

Travels and Adventures of a Turkish Admiral, Sidi 'Alī Reīs, A. Vambery (London: 1899).

Travels of Ibn Batūta, H. A. R. Gibb (London: 1929). Voyage to Surat in 1689, A. Ovington (Oxford: 1929).

Web of Indian Life, The, Sister Nivedita (Bombay: 1918).

Persian :-

Adab-i-'Alamgiri, (a collection of 'Alamgir's letters written by his secretary, Abul Fateh Qābil Khān and collected by Muhammad Sādiq of Ambāla).

Ahkām-i-'Alamgiri, Hamld-ud-Din Khan Nīmchāh, translated by Jadunath Sarkar as Auccdotes of

Aurangzeb.

Ain-i-Akbari, Abul Fazl, (3 parts, B. I. S., Calcutta: 1872-73) translated into English by Blochmann and Jarrett in 3 volumes.

Akbarnāmah, Abul Fazl, (3 parts, B. I. S., Calcutta: 1877) translated into English by H. Beveridge.

'Alamgirnāmah, Maulvi Munshi Muhammad Kāzim Shīrāzī (B. I. S., Calcutta).

'Amāl-i-Sāleh or Shāh Jahān Nāmah, Muhammad Sāleh Kambo (B. I. S., Calcutta: 1932).

Bādshāh-Nāmah, Abdul Hamīd Lāhorī (B.I.S., Calcutta). Basāt-ul-Ghanāim, (Haqīqat-hāi-Hindustān), Lachhmī Narāin Shafiq.

Dabistān-ul-Mazāhib, Mohsan Fānī (?), (Calcutta: 1809). Farhat-ul-Nāzirin, Muhammad Aslam.

Fatühät-i-'Alamgiri, Ishwar Dass.

Hasht Bahisht, Amir Khusrau (Aligarh: 1918).

Humāyūn-Nāmah, Gulbadan Bānu Begum, (text with translation, edited by A.S. Beveridge, London: 1902). Igbālnāmah, Mo'tamid Khūn (B. I. S., Calcutta).

Khulāsat-ut-Tawārikh, Sujān Singh (Subhan Rāi).

Jāmi-ut-Tawārikh, Faqir Muhammad.

Lub-ut-Tawarikh-i-Hind, Brindaban Dass.

Mā'sīr-i-'Alamgīrī, Muhammad Sāqī Khān Musta'id.
Māsir-i-Rahīmī, Mulla Abdul Bāqī (B. I. S., Calcutta).

Mā'sīr-ul-Umarā, Shāh Nawāz Khān (B. I. S., Calcutta).

Malfūzāt-i-Taimūri, (autobiography of Timūr) translated
by Charles Stewart.

Malta'-i-Anwar, Amīr Khusrau, (Lucknow: 1884).

Mirāt-i-Ahmadi, 'Alī Muhammad Khān.

Muntakhib-ul-Lubāb, Muhammad Hāshim Khāfi Khān (B. I. S., Calcutta: 1923),

Muntakhib-ut-Tawārikh, Abdul Qūdir Badāonī, (B. I. S. Calcutta: 1868) translated by Ranking, (Calcutta: 1898),

Siyar-ul-Muta'aklikhirin, Sayyad Ghulum Hussain, (N. K. P.) translated by Colonel Briggs.

Qiran-us-Sa'dain, Amir Khusrau (Lucknow: 1845).

Tabqāt-i-Akbari, Nizām-ud-Dīn Ahmad, with text and translation (B. I. S Calcutta).

Tabqāt-i-Nāsiri, Minhāj-i-Sirāj (Calcutta: 1864, B. I. S.) translated by Raverty (London: 1873).

Takmil-i-Akbarnāma, Ināyatullah.

Tärikh-i-Ferishta, Muhammad Qäsim Ferishta, translated into English by Briggs and Khairāt'Ali (Bombay: 1831). Urdu translation in 2 volumes (N. K. P.).

Tārikh-i-Firoz Shāhi, Afif, (B. I. S., Calcutta: 1891). Tārikh-i-Firoz Shāhi, Z. Barnī (B.I.S., Calcutta: 1862).

Tārīkh-i-Guzidah, Hamdullah Mustaufi, edited by E. G. Browne (London: 1910).

Tārīkh-i-Rashīdi, Mirza Muhammad Haidar Dughlat, translated by E. D. Ross and annotated by Elias (London: 1898).

Tärikh-i-Salātin-i-Afghāna, Ahmad Yadgār.

Tazkirat-ul-Ulamā, translated by Sanāullah Khan, (Calcutta: first edition).

Tazkirat-us-Salātin-i-Chaghtāiya, Kamwar Khan.

Tazkirat-uw-Wāqiyāt-i-Humāyūn, Jauhar, translated by Charles Stewart (1832).

Tuzk-i-Bābari, Bābur, translated into English by Leyden and Erskine as Memoirs af Bābur (Oxford University Press: 1921).

Tuzk-i-Jahāngiri, Jahāngir, translated by A. Rogers and edited by H. Beveridge for R.A.S. (London: 1909-14). Wāqīyāt-i-'Alamgiri (Zafarnāmah-i-'Alamgiri) 'Aqil Khān Rāzī.

Wāqiyāt-i-Jahāngiri, translated into English by David Price (1829).

Zafarnāmah-i-'Alamgīri, see Wāqiyāt-i-Alamgīri. Zubdat-ut-Tawārīkh, Shaikh Nur-ul-Haq.

Urdu:-

Ab-i-Hayat, Maulana Muhammad Hussain Azad (Lahore: 1917).

Alamgir, Maulvi Abdur Rahman (1st edition : Lahore). 🗘

Asar-us-Sanadid, Sir Sayyad Ahmad Khan (Delhi: 1854).

Aurangseb 'Alamgir, Maulana Shibli No'mani (Delhi).

Darbār-i-Akbari, Maulana Muhammad Hussain Azad (Lahore: 1927).

Hindustān ke Ma'āshratī hālāt, A. Yusuf Ali (Allahabad: 1928).

Madāris wa Dār-ul-Ulūm, Maulāna Shibli No'mānī. Makātib-i-'Alamgiri, Sayyad Najib Ashraf Nadvi.

Qanin-i-Islam, Ja'far Sharif (The original, of which the English translation is available in the form of 'Islam' in India', is nowhere to be found and is said to have been lost. In its present form the book is a medley of its author's, translator's and editor's views and information).

Salātin-i-Bahmani, Khwaja Hasan Nizami (Delhi: 1925). Shir-ul-Ajam, Maulāna Shibli No'māni, five volumes (Azamgarh: 1324 A. H.).

Tārīkh-i-Hindustān (ten volumes), Maulvi Muhammad Zakāullah Khān (Aligarh: 1915-19).

Tärikh-i-Islām, Abdur Rahman Shauq (Lahore: 1937).

Tazkara-i-Ulamā, Maulāna Muhammad Hussain Azād (Lahore: 1922).

Umarā-i-Hunād, Muhammad Said Ahmad (1932).

Waqā-i-'Alamgiri, Chaudhari Nabi Ahmad Sandelvi (Aligarh: 1930).

Wāqiyāt-i-Dār-ul-Hukūmat-i-Delhi, (3 volumes) Maulvi Bashīr-ud-Dīn Ahmad (Agra : 1919). Wāqiyāt-i-Mumlikat-i-Bijāpur, (three volumes) Maulvi Bashir-ud-Din Ahmad (Agra: 1915).

Arabic:

Al-Our-an, translated by Abdullah Yusaf Ali (Lahore). Tuhfat-un-Nuzzār fi Gharā'ib-il-Amsār, Ibn Batuta

(Cairo: A. H. 1322), translated into English by H. A. R. Gibb (London: 1929).

Zafar-ul-Walih bi Muzaffar Alih, Haji-ud-Dabīr, translated by Sir E. D. Ross as An Arabic History of Gujarāt in 2 volumes, (London: 1910, 1921).

Periodicals :-

Calcutta Review, The, Calcutta. Hindustan Review, The. Patna. Indian Antiquary, Bombay,

Indian Review, The, Madras.

Islamic Culture, Hyderabad (Deccan).

Jamia, Delbi. (Urdu monthly of Jamia Millia).

Journal of Indian History, Allahabad.

Journal of the Punjab Historical Society, Lahore.

Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal, Calcutta. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain

and Ireland, London.

Journal of the Royal Society of Arts, London.

Journal of the Royal Historical Society, London. Ma'rif, Dar-ul-Musannifin, Azamgarh, Deccan (Urdu

monthly).

Memoirs of the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal, Calcutta.

Modern Review, The. Calcutta.

Muslim Review, The, Lahore.

Muslim Review, The, Madrasat-ul-Waizeen (Lucknow).

Muslim University Journal, Aligarh.

Muslim University Magazine, Aligarh.

Twentieth Century, The, Allahabad.

INDEX

Abdul Fatch, 149-50. -, Hasan, 135. Karīm-al-Jilī, 189. Abdur Rahlm, Khān-i-Khānāu, as a poet and a patron of poetry, 149; as a patron of music, 162. Abdullah Qutb Shah, (Sultan of Golconda), as a patron of poetry, 147-48 Abu Hifs Rabī nin Sähibal-Asadi-al-Basri, 189. Abul Faiz (FaizI), 149. -, Fazl, 149; on painting, 132-33. Adina Masjid, the, 99. Administration, art of - as course of study, System of -, 25 ff. architecture at --, 108-9; gardens at -, 119-21. Agriculture, as a course of study, 79; encouraged by Muslim Kings, 209-11: agricultural produce, 219. Ahl-i-Daulat, 161. Ahl-i-Murād, 161. Ahl-i-S'ādat, 161. Ahl-i-Tarab, 161. Ahmadabad, its buildings, 100-101; its industries, 214. Shah, (Sultan of Ahmad Gujarāt), as a builder, 100; as a patron of poetry, 146. — —, Bahmani (Sultān) 102. Akbar, the Great Mughal, 10, 18-19, 29 f. n.; his

buildings, 105-6; his gardens, 119; his patronage of painting, 130-33; poetry, 149-50; music, 161-62; his injunction against enslaving the prisoners of war, 195; industrial advance under him, 215; his coinage, 221; economic condition people during his reign. 224-25. Alai Darwaza, the, 94. Ala-ud-Din Hasan Bahmani (Sultfin), tomb of -, 192. -, Khiljī, (Sultān of Delhi), 34, 52; false charges against him, 58 ff; architecture, 94; music, 158. Alī Hujverī, Sayyad, 189. Alīyār Shāh Sāhib, 189. Allahabād, 106, Amīr Hasan, 143-44. Amīr Khalīl Khān, 98. Amīr Khūsa, 158. Amīr Khusrau; on flowers, 113; as a scholar, 142-44; as a musician, 156-58. Amusements and recreations. 179-82. Angya, 175. Anup Chitra, 135. Agiga 168. Arām, Slave King, 15. Architecture, Indo-Islamic, 91 ff; - of Slave Kings, 92-93; - Tughluq Kings, 94.96; - Sayyad Lodhi Kings, 96-97; --

Emperor,

provincial rulers, 97-103; -Mughal Emperors, 103-10; cultural importance of -109-10. Arhai din kā Ihauupra, 93. Arts, Fine, 91 ff; arts of illuminating and illustrating books, 138-39. Asaf Khān, his tomb robbed by the Sikhs, 107. Asari Mahal, the, 102. Arif, 150. Asoka, discouragement of Hinduism, 72-73. Atala Masjid, the 99. Great Aurangzeb, the Mughal, 19-29, f. n., 32; false charges against -, 67-74: toleration -, 73-74; his theory of royal education, 89 f. n.; his buildings, 109; his paintings, 136; his skill in poetry, 150; industrial condition of India in his reign, 216; economic condition of the people -, 225 f. n. Azān (call for prayers), 168.

B

Bābā Farīd, 189.
Bābar, the Mughal Emperor; his buildings, 103; — patronage of painting, 126; — poetical achievements, 148-49; — skill in music, 160.
Bābā Rihān, 189.
Bābā Tālib Isfahānī, 150.
Bādshāh (at) 5 ff.

achievements, 151. Bahā-ul-Hag, tomb of -. 98. Bahlol Lodhi, (Sultan) 220. Bahmani Kingdom, its buildings, 101-2. Bahram Quli, instrumental performer, 162. Bait-ul-Mal, 29. Balban, Sultan Shams-ud-Din, as a patron of poetry, 142-43, 157. Banda Nawaz Sayyad Muhammad Gesti Darāz, 189. Bara Gumbad, the, 96. Bara Khan, tomb of -, 96. Bari Sone ki Masjid, the 99. Barbak, 21. Barbosa, (Portuguese traveller), describes Ahmadabad, 101: admires textiles of Gujarāt, 214. Basant Panchmi, 181. Bāz Bahādur, Sultān of Mālwa, 101; - as a lover of music, 159. Bengāl, its architecture, 99-100; its industries, 214. Bernier, on the form and extent of Ahmadabad, 101; - achievements Kashmīris in poetry, 154 f.n. - - in arts and crafts. 216. Bhakti Movement, 154, 205, 208.

Bādshāhi Masjid, the 109.

Bahadur Shah, the Mughal

his

poetical

Bihārī Mall, Rāja, 106.
Bihrūz, 158.
Bihzād, 126-27; his School and its influence on Indo-Mughal Painting, 127-29.
Bijāpur, its buildings, 102.
Bir Ball, Rāja, 106.
Bir Mandal 162.
Bishan Dāss, 135.
Bismillāh-khānī, ceremony of, 168.
Budī Butt, (musician) 159.
Burgās (veil), 175, 203.

C

Calligraphy, as a fine art, 139-40. Catrou, Fr., on Mughal paintings, 133. Chaitanya (a preacher), 208. Chandar Bhan, 150. Chánd Bibi (Sultana), her accomplishments, 160. Chand Khan Gwallori, 161. Chand Minar, the, 102. Chatar Khan, 162. Chatr, (royal parasol) 9. Chaugan (polo), 7, 179. Chauka (kitchen), 178. Chaupar (a game), 189. Chausar (a game), 180. Cherumal Perumal Kings of Mālābār, their interest in the spread of Islam, 191. Chhapparkhat, 172. Chihillum, 170. Chitramani, 135. Chhote Khan, Tomb of, 96. Chhoti Sone ki Masjid, 99.

Clepsydras, 18.
Coinage, 220-21.
Commerce, 218-19.
Communication, means of, 47-48.
Cenversions, 70-72, 189-92.
Cosmetics, 176.
Coryat, T., (a traveller), on cheapness of eatables, 224.
Court etiquette, 20-22.
Curriculum, in Muslim schools and colleges, 78-79.

D

Dadi kā Gumbad, the, 96. Dadū, (a preacher), 208. Dancing, 164. Dārā Shikoh, son of Shāh Jahān; his garden at Delhi, 121; as a patron of painting, 135. Darbar, 20-23, 153. Dasturi-i-raftan, 186. Dāud Dhārī, 161. Dāūd Shāh Bahmanī, 102. Delhi, architecture of -, 92 ff.; gardens of -, 121. Desehra (a festival), 181. Devādāsis, 164, 184. Dīwālī (a festival), 181. Diwan, 27, 46. Diwan Dhari, 161. Diwan-i-'Am, of Akbar, 106; - of Shah Jahan, 108. Diwan-i-Khas, of Akbar, 106; - of Shāh Jahān, 22, 108. Diwān-i-Wizārat, 96. Dost Muhammad, Ustad, 162. Dramatic Societies, 157-58.
Dresses, 172 ff. — of ladies, 175.
Drinking, 177-78, 183.
Dürbäsh (royal baton), 9.

E

Economic conditions, 209 ff. - of the people under the Sultans, 223-24; — under the Mughals, 224-26. Education, Muslim, 75 ff.; aims and objects of -, 79-80; some features of -, 80-82; - of Hindus, 82-83; female -, 85; technical -, 88; Muslims' contributions to Indian ed u cational thought and practice, 88-89; co-education, 89; Aurangzeb's theory of education, 89 f. n. Espionage, 31. Etiquette, Court -, 20-21; general -, 185-86.

F

Faiza, 150.
Faqīr-ullāh, 135.
Famine relief, administration of —, 88-36.
Farīd-ud-Dīn Attār 72, 189.
Fatū Khān, 158.
Festivals, 180-81.
Firoz Shāh Bahmanī, Tomb of —, 102; as a poet and patron of poetry, 147; as a

lover of music, 159.
Firoz Shāh Tughluq, Sultān of Delhi, 15, 19, 34; his public works, 94-96; — gardens, 117-18; as a patron of poetry, 144; — of music, 158.
Flowers, 115-16.
Food, 177-79
Fruits, 116-17.
Furniture, 170-72.

G

Gambling, 184-85. Gangan Mahal, the, 102. Ganjafa, 180. Gardening, 113 ff. Muslims' love of -, 114; Sultan's gardens, 117-118; Provincial rulers' -, 118-19; Mughal -, 119-20; their features, 121-22; their cultural importance, 123-24. Ghālib, Asadullāh, 151. Gaur, buildings of, 99-100. Bahmāni, Ghiyās-ud-Din Tomb of, 102. Sultan, his Tughluq, famine policy, 34; - land revenue -, 40; as a patron of poetry, 144; - of music, 157. Gol Gumbaz, the, 102. Gangan Mahal the, 102. Golconda, buildings at -. 102 3; gardens at -, 118. Gopāl Naik, 157-58. Gujarat, monuments of -,

100-101; industries of -, 214.

H

Hafiz, a poet of Shīrāz, 147. Haft Gumbad, the, 102. Hamid Raja, 158. Hamilton, A, on Aurangzeb's toleration, 73-74. Harl Dass, 161-62. Hasan, Imam, 5. Hauz-i- Alūi, the, 94. Hauz-i-Khās, the, 94-95. Hauz-i-Shamsi, the, 93. Hayati Gilani, 150. Hindola Mahal, the, 101. Houses, 170-72. Humayun, Mughal Emperor 29 f. n.; his wooden structures, 104; - patronage of painting, 129-30; - of music, 160-61. Hussain, Imam, 17. - Shah, Sultan of Jaunpur, Jāmi Masjid built by -, 99; as a patron of music, 159. - -, Sultan of Bengal,

I

Tomb of -, 99; as

patron of poetry, 146.

Ibn Nishātī, 147-48.
Ibrāhīm Shāh, Sultān of Jaunpur, as a builder of Atala Masjid, 99; as a patron of poetry, 146.

— Lodhī, Sultān, 15.

Id-ul-Fitr (a festival), 181. Id-ul-Zuha (a festival), 181. Iltutmish, Sultan, buildings of -, 93. Imam Shah, 190. Industries, 212 ff. Cottage -. 212; Industrial development under the Sultanat, 212-13; - in Provincial 214; -- in Kingdoms, Mughal Period, 215-17. Infanticide, suppression of, 29, 204. Is-hāqī, Mulla, 161. Islam, 187, its influence on Indian culture, 203-7. Ismāil Shāh, Sultan, 43. 'Adil Shāh, Sultān of Bijapur, as patron of poetry, 147; —, of music, 160. Ismāil Bokhārī, 189. I'timād-ud-Daula, Tomb of 106-7.

J

Jahängīr, the Great Mughal, architecture of —, 106-07; marble statues of —, 110-11; gardens of —, 119-20; patronage of painting, 133-35; passion for poetry, 150; — for music, 162; industrial advance, 216; economic condition, 225 f. n. — Dād (a singer), 162.

Jahāngīrī Mahal, the, 106.

Jahāz Mahal, the, 101.

Jalāl-ud-Dīn Firoz Khiljī, Sultān, 15, 52 f. n., 117;

125 f. n. as a lover of music, 158. - Sā'dat Asar, Mir, 150. Tabrezī, 189. Jāmi 'Masjid(s), at Ahmadābād, 100; at Daulatābād, 100; at Delhi, 108; Fatehpur Sikri, 105: at Firozābād, 95; at Jaunpur, 99; at Kulbarga, 102; at Sambhal, 103; at Srīnagar, 98. Jashus, 20, 23, 180. Jaunpur, architecture of, 99. Jewellery, 177. Ihanjhiri Masjid, the, 99. Jizīa (a tax), 36; its significance, 56-58; levied by Aurangzeb 69-70. Jodhābāī, palace of, 106. Justice, administration of, 27-28.

K

Kabīr, (a preacher) 208.
Kabīr-ud-Dīn Aulīyā, shrine of, 95.
Kaiqubād, Sultān of Delhi; his garden at Kalugarhi, 117.
Kanyādān, (giving a girl in marriage), 168-69.
Karbala, Tragedy of, 17.
Kārkhānas, as technological institutes, 88; as workshops, 213, 215, 216.
Kashmīr, its architecture, 98-99; — gardens, 120-21.
Khānqāhs, the, 35; used as

schools, 76.

Khālis Mukhlis, the, 99.

Khirāj (a tax), 36-38

Khizāb, (hair-dye), 176.

Khizr Khān, King, 15.

Khots, 59-63.

Khums (a tax), 36.

Khurram Dād, 162.

Khwābgāh, the, 106.

Kornish (a salutation), 20.

Kun Pandya, Hindū King, encouraged Islam, 191.

Kurra Bughra Khān, son of Balban, founder of a dramatic society, 157.

T.

Lal Darwaza Masjid, 99. Lal Gumbad, the, 95-96. Law, administration of, 27-28. Legislation, social, 29-30. Libraries, 86-87.

28.
Legislation, social, 29-30.
Libraries, 86-87.
Literary societies, 87.

M

Madrasas (colleges), 76, 100, 102.
Mahājans (bankers), 219.
Mahāpattar, 162.
Mahmud, Sultān of Ghaznīn, 140-42.
— Shāh Bahmani, as a patron of music, 159.
— Gāwān, 102.
— Khiljī, Sultān of Mālwa, as a patron of letters, 146.
— Tughluq, Sultān of Delhī, 15.

- Shāh, Sultān of Gujarāt, as a patron of poetry, 146. Mahuan, on industrial advance of Bengal, 214. Malwa, its monuments, 101. Makhu, 162. Maktabs (schools), 76, 168. Mandani, Tomb of, 98. Mangni (betrothal), 168. Mansur, 134-35. Mansur-al-Hallaj, 189. Measures, 222-23. Mian Nanak Jarja, 161. Mihluri Mahal, the, 102. Mir Abdullāh, 162. - Hāshim, 135. - Khusrau, 151. - Ma'sum Kashi, 150. Mohtasibs (censors), 29. Money-lending, 219-20. Monuments, see architecture. Morals and manners, 182-85. Moti Masjid, built by Sikandar Shah's minister, 97; — Shāh Jahān, 108. Mubarak Shāh, KhiliI Sultan, 15; Tomb of -, 96. Muhafiz Khān, mosque of, 100. Muhammad son of Balban, as a founder of a literary society, 87; - patron of poetry, 143. 'Adil Shah, Sultan Bijāpur, Tomb of, 102.

- Hussain, Ustād, 162.

- Jaisī, on flowers, 113.

- Nádir Samargandi, 135.

- Shah Bahmani, Tomb of,

102; as a poet and patron

of poetry, 146-47. - - II, Tomb of, 102. - - II, Sultan of Gujarat, as a patron of poetry, 146. - Tughluq, 15, 29 f. n. ; 34; false charges against 64-66; his literary achievements, 144. - Chungi, 158. - Tughluq II, 15. Muin-ud-Din Chishti, 189. Mujāhid Shāh Bahmani, Tomb of, 102. Mujtahids, 13. Multan, its monuments, 98. Munir, 150. Mugaddams, 26, 51, 59-63. Mushā'iras, 152-53. Music, in the early Muslim period, 156; -in Provincial Kingdoms, 158-60; in -Mughal Period, 160-62: technique of -, 162-63; musical instruments, 163-64, cultural influence of music, 164-65. Muzaffar Khān Turbatī, 44. - Shāh II, Sultān of Gujarat, as a patron of letters, 146.

N

Nānak, Sikh Gurū, 208. Nasir 'Alī, 150, — Khān, 158. Nāsīr-ud-Dīn Mahmūd, Sultān, 15, his calligraphy, 140. Naubat (band), 9. Nauroz (a festival), 7, 181. Nazīrī Nishāpurī, 150. Nizām-ud-Dīn Auliyā, shrine of, 95. Nūr Jahān, Empress, as a builder, 106; her tomb, 107. Nūr Mahal ki Sarāi, 111. Nūr-ud-Dīn or Nūr Satāgar 189.

P

Painting, early indifference, 126; progress during the Mughal Period, 126 ff.; cultural importance 137-38. Panchāyats (juries), 28. Paper, introduced by Muslims into India, 87-88. Parwez Däd, 162. Peacock Throne, the, 22. Pardah, 184; ancient Indian -, 198-201; Islamic -. 201; - in Muslim India. 202-3. Peasants, 210; their standard of living, 211-12, Poetry 141 ff; progress in pre-Mughal period, 142-45; - Provincial Kingdoms. 145-48; --Mughal period, 148-51; some features of poetry, 152; Mushairas or poetical contests, 152-53; cultural importance of poetry, 153-54. Police Force, 30-31, Poli kā Gumbad, the, 96. Postal System, 31,

Purbin Khān, 162.

Q

Qadm-i-Rasīl, the 99.
Qazī, 13, 27, 28 f. n.
Qilā-i'-Kolina, the, 104.
Quli Qutb Shāh, Sultān of
Golconda, Tomb of, 102-3.
Qutb Minār, the, 92-93.
Qutb Shāh (saint), 92.
Qutb-ud-Dīn Aibak, Sultān,
his buildings, 92-93.
Quwwat-ul-Islām Masjid,
the, 92-93.

R

Ram Dass, 161-62. Rāmā Nand, 208. Rang Mahal, the, 108. Ranjīt Singh, Raja, 107. Recreations, 179-82. Religious influences, 187 ff.; - freedom, 187-88; - propaganda, 189-92. Revenue System, 38 ff. under the Sultanat, 38-42; - under Sher Shah, 42-44; - under the Mughals, 44-47; general, 210. Rukhsat, 169. Rukn-i- Alam, Tomb of, 98. Rukn-ud-Din Firoz Shah, son of 1ltutmish, 15, 157. Rupmati, 101, 159.

S

Sadhūs (Hindū saints), 174. Sadr-ud-Din, 190.

Salim Chishti, Saikh, shrine of, 105. Salīm Shāh Sūrī, 104. Sarmad, 150. Sati, suppression of, 29-30; 169-70; 203. Sati Burj, the, 105. Sculpture, 110-12. Service, Government, 31-32. Shādna Shahīd; shrine of, 98. Shahab-ud-Din Taj Khan, Tomb of, 96. Shah Hamdan, mosque of, 99. Shāh Jahān, Mughal Emperor, 21; architecture of -, 107-9; gardens of -. 120-21; painting under -, 135; poerty - -, 150; music - -, 162; industrial advance - -, 216; economic condition, - -, 225. Shāh Jalāl, 145. Mansūr, 44. Shamsi Idgah, the, 93. Shams-ud-Din or Shams-i-Tabrez, Tomb of, 98. Shariyat (Muslim Canon Law), 16, 27. Sheoratri (a festival), 181. Sher Shah Suri, Sultan, 34-35; revenue system under -, 42-44; architecture, of -, 104. Shihāb Khān, 162. Shikar (hunting), 180. Shigdars, 26. Shish Gumbad, the, 96. Shuhrat-i-'Am, 215.

Sijdah (a salutation), 10. Sikandar Lodhī, Sultān, 34. his interest in agriculture, 44; as patron of poetry, 144-45. Shāh, Sultān of Kashmir, 98. - - Sultan of Bengal, 99. Sind, monuments of, 98. SIrI, Fort of, 94. Sitalpattis. 172. Slavery, 192 ff. manumission, 193; welfare of slaves, 194, 95, 204. Social life, 167 ff. Sohula Makan, the, 106. Sola Masjid, the, 102. State, the Muslim, 5 ff. Persian influence on -, 6-8; influence of secular State on Islam 16-19. Subhan Khan, 161. Succession, law of, 23-24. Sultan (at), 5 ff; powers of -, 8-10; limitations of -, 11-15, their importance, 15-16.

T

Tāj Mahal, the 108-9; — gardens, 121.
Tālib-i-'Amlī, 150.
Tān Sen, Miān, 161.
Taslīm, (a salutation), 21.
Taxation, 36-38, 62-64.
Teachers, 80-81.
Teaching, method of, 77-78.
Temples, 54-56.
Thomas Roe, Sir, on Mughal

pictures, 133-34; — on fine arts under Jahängīr, 216.

Tilaka, see Mangnī.

Todar Mall, Rāja, 44.

Toilets, 176.

Toleration, 49 ff.; — under the Sultānat, 50 ff. — — Bādshāhat, 67 if.

Trade, 218-19.

Transportation, means of, 47-48.

U

Universities, Muslim, 76. Urdu (language), its evolution, 83-85. Usmān (Caliph), 17.

ν

Vakil-i-dar. 21. Varthema, L., on industrial condition of Bengäl, 214.

W

Weights and measures, 222.

Woman, her position in Muslim society, 195 ff.; importance attached to her chastity, 196-97.

Y

Yazid, the tyrant, 17. Yusuf, 'Adil Shah, Sultan of Bijapur, 160. — Gardezi, Shah, 98. — -ud-Din, Sayyad, 190.

Z

Zafar, see Bahādur Shāh.
Zain-ul-'Abidīn, Sultān of
Kashmīr, 98, his love of
music, 159.
Zakāt a tax), 29, 34, 36, 37,
70.
Zamīnībos, (a salutation), 21.
Zamorin, The, encourages
propagation of Islam, 191.
Zauq, Ibrāhīm, 151.
Zeb-un-Nisā, princess, 151.
Zimmīs, under Muslim Rule,
50 ff., 188-89.

THE MUGHAL EMPIRE

FROM BABAR TO AURANGZEB

(Cloth-bound. pp. 441+XXIV (8vo Crown) Price Rs. 5|-)

Extracts from Sir Abdul Qadir's Introduction:-

".....Students of Indian history owe a debt of gratitude to Mr. S. M. Jassar of Peshawar for his book, which gives a very readable account of 'The Mughal Empire', opening with the reign of Babar and coming down to Aurangzeb. Mr. Jaffar has taken great pains to study the numerous books on the subject that are available in English, Persian and Urdu, and has beautifully summarised the material contained in them. The long list of books used or consulted by him, given at the end of his valuable work, will show the range of his vast study and research. The result is a book considerably different from and decidedly superior in treatment and style to the existing text-books on Indian history. The author, as an enlightened Muslim, is naturally in sympathy with the Great Mughal Rulers who professed the faith of Islam and succeeded in establishing a vast and wonderful Empire in a country to which the Founder of the dynasty originally came as an invader from his Central Asian home. Mr. Jaffar does not conceal his admiration for the Moghals, yet he is not forgetful of his duty as an historian and comes out with frank criticisms of the policies and administrations of the Emperors whose reigns are described by him.

"It is refreshing to note that the author has not confined his attention to the events of the period with which he is concerned, or to the dates of those events. These details may be important in themselves, but they are, after all, rightly called the dry bones of history. He has clothed the dry bones with flesh and blood and colour by dealing with the many aspects of the social life of the people, their progress in arts and letters and the effect of each reign on these vital things. I am sure that this part of his effort will be very much appreciated by his readers. I think it is time that this line of history be developed to the fullest extent possible. I know that the materials for it are comparatively meagre and have to be sifted and collected with great research out of the heaps of rubbish in which they are lying scattered. The work, however, is worth doing, and Mr. Jaffar is one of those who recognise its value and have tried to accomplish it. He has already contributed very substantially to this neglected field of Indian history by writing two other welldocumented books, one on 'Education in Muslim India' and another on 'Some Cultural Aspects of Muslim Rule in India.'

"While dealing with the Muslim point of view and trying to explain the actions of Moghal Emperors, which have been adversely criticised by some modern historians, Mr. Jaffar does not ignore the general Indian point of view, and he brings out the contribution made by Mughal Rulers to Indian culture and to the fusion of Hindu and Muslim cultures into one common heritage

"Besides the special features of Mr. Jaffar's excellent book, briefly referred to above, there are many other features, equally attractive, which need not be dilated upon here and will be better appreciated by the reader when perusing the book itself. I think it can be safely said that the author has succeeded in giving to the students of Indian history an accurate as well as an instructive account of the Mughal Rule in India in its palmy days. The book is a most useful contribution to Indian historical literature and should interest not only the general reader, but also students of history in schools and colleges."

EXTRACTS FROM OTHER OPINIONS

Dr. Sir Shafaat Ahmad Khan, Kt., D. Lit., F. R. Hist. S., University of Allahabad:—

"I have read 'The Mughal Empire' with great interest. The book displays great erudition and industry, and is marked by an originality of treatment and freshness of outlook which are complete contrast to the existing works on the subject. I am greatly impressed with the book."

Dr. E. G. Carpani, Ph. D., The Indian Research Institute, (Italian Branch), Bologna, Italy:—

".....I cannot but congratulate you (author) on the excellent work you have done. Your able

and scholarly investigations are a valuable and welcome contribution to the study of Indian history and culture, throwing much light on its dark places. I entertain a very high opinion of your publications."

Professor H. K. Sherwani, M. A., (Oxon), F. R. Hist. S., etc., Head of the Department of History and Politics, Osmania University:—

"..... Your excellent book.... fills a gap in books on Indian history in that it delineates the episodes of the Mughal era from an unprejudiced and correct view-point, bringing out the cultural aspect of that unique period....I heartily congratulate you on your achievement."

Islamic Culture, Hyderabad Deccan :-

".....As far as it goes, his book is a useful corrective to several existing books on the period. In a concise and lucid manner, he tells the story of Mughal rule in India; its splendour, its tolerance, its concern for the people of all classes and creeds, its justice and cultural greatness—all find a place in the description. He is scrupulously fair to the Rajputs, the Sikhs and the Marathas; and his zeal for Islam does not blind him to the beauty and greatness of other creeds. There is no other book which, in the same compass, gives such a clear picture of the period."

Asiatic Review, London :-

".....An able and conscientious volume wherein is gathered up perhaps all that history knows of Moghal Rule in India..."

EDUCATION IN MUSLIM INDIA

(1000-1800 A.C.)

(Cloth-bound, pp. 261+XIX (8vo. Crown) Price Rs. 5/8-)

FOREWORD

(By Prof. H.K. Sherwani, M.A. (Oxon), F.R. Hist. S., F.R. S., A, etc.. Head of the Department of History & Politics, Osmania University, Hyderabad-Deccan)

"I believe it was Froude who once said something to the effect that one should not raise one's pen to write unless one can add to human knowledge, and there is no doubt that Mr. S. M. Jaffar has done a great service to the cause of education in general and Indian Culture in particular by writing this book on 'Education in Muslim India' and thus made a distinctive contribution to the field of Indian historical literature.

"Time was when a student of Indian history had to be content with knowing something about warring dynasties, court intrigues, internecine feuds and other matters which went to make the 'history' of this country a subject of useless, if not actually harmful, study. Happily we have now come to feel the necessity of the whole of Indian history being rewritten not so much from the point of view of occurrences at the capitals of various states as in order to delineate the spread of culture and to demonstrate the value of its present composite form, so that our people may not be led away by the false notion that what-

ever paraphernalia of civilization we possess does not go back to more than a century and a half. Indian civilization, with its real and inherent unity in the midst of its outward diversity, is age-long and not a mere graft, and this is one of the great and abiding results of the events which go to form the history of India.

"Mr. Jaffar has stressed the right point when he describes how the people began to drink at the fountain of knowledge without regard to their rank or religion, and education, once the monopoly of the chosen few, nearly ceased to have any barriers round it. It was not merely instruction in the traditional Reading, Writing and Arithmetic which was imparted, but the magnificent monuments of the Age, the wonderful technique of apparel, wood and metal-ware, the great precision in the execution of public monuments, the abundance not only in commodities but in the monetary wealth as well which went to purchase them, the strides taken in the arts of war as well as of peace - all these things lead one to estimate, in however meagre a manner, the great progress made in the equipment of the people, high and low, with the right kind of vocational and technical knowledge.

"I am very glad to find that Mr. Jaffar has brought out these and many other equally important and attractive traits in his valuable work by tapping the information contained in the contemporary chronicles and has thus filled

..

a long-felt want. I am sure that the book will be of great use to the student of Indian history as well as to the general reader, and trust that it will receive the recognition it so fully deserves."

EXTRACTS FROM SOME OTHER OPINIONS.

Right Honourable Sir Akbar Hydari, Kt., P. C., etc., Hyderabad (Deccan):—

- "I congratulate you (author) on the results of your labours... The sincerity of scholarship with which the material has been handled places your book in a position, I should think, to bear valuable testimony to the progress and vitality of cultural India under the ægis of the Muslim Kings in India. I hope the book is receiving the welcome it so thoroughly deserves..."
- Dr. Sir Zia-ud-Din Ahmad, Kt., C.I.E., M. A., Ph. D., D. Sc., ex-Vice-Chancellor, Muslim University, Aligarh:—
- ".. I have read with great pleasure and profit...an excellent treatise... I heartily congratulate its author on the merits of this important achievement."
- Dr. P. K. Acharya, I.E.S., M. A., Ph. D., D. Lit. (London), Head of Oriental Departments, University of Allahabad:—

The book "will supply educationists and general public with a store of useful information ...all will be grateful to him (author) for his C. I. E., I. . i.,

"He (author) has made a most useful contribution to the study of Muslim Rule in India."

Dr. Beni Prasad, M. A., Ph. D., D. Sc. (London), University of Allahabad:—

"It is a very careful, painstaking and scholarly piece of work and should be welcome to students of Indian history."

Dr. K. G. Saiyidain, D. Ed., Director of Education, Kashmir:—

"...Highly interesting and valuable book...
hasten to offer you my congratulations on completing a very useful piece of work. Students of Indian educational history should be grateful to you for this work."

Dr. Zakir Hussain, M.A., Ph. D., Principal, Jamia Millia, Delhi: -

"...Your admirable study...Students of Indian history will welcome this treatise as a useful guide...a valuable contribution to Indian historical literature."

S. MOHAMMAD SADIQ KHAN, PUBLISHER, KHUDADAD STREET, KISSA KHANI, PESHAWAR CITY, N.-W.F.P. (INDIA)